**Evaluation guidelines of Afrika und Übersee**

Please use the following classificatory system to rate the contribution in the respective section.

excellent 4

good 3

acceptable 2

insufficient 1

**Please justify your evaluation and/or give a more elaborate appreciation.**

**A Adequacy of the article**

a) With regard to the thematic scope of Afrika und Übersee:

b) Concerning the subject in terms of its scientific value and scientific and general standards:

**B Organisation of the article and characteristics of formal quality:**

a) Consistency of title and content:

b) Structuring (paragraphs, subtitles):

c) Readability/overall consistency:

d) Writing style:

e) Correctness of English:

f) Bibliographic references:

**C Treatment of the topic:**

Scientific content and the author’s contribution

a) from an empirical perspective:

b) from a theoretical perspective:

c) from a general perspective:

Originality of the article’s content

a) with regard to the general scope of the journal:

b) in comparison with other approaches in the respective field of research:

Significance of the provided data supporting the argument:

**D Comments and suggestions:**

**E Recommendation (please provide specific remarks in D for a)–e))**

a) The article should be published without further revision

b) The article should be published after minor revision

c) The article should be published but a revision of the following points is highly recommended

d) The article is not publishable in its current form. A fundamental revision and resubmission seems to be necessary. The following points should be discussed at more detail

e) This article is not publishable and should be rejected