

Journal of **Current Chinese Affairs**

China aktuell

Kraef, Olivia (2014), Of Canons and Commodities: The Cultural Predicaments of Nuosu-Yi "Bimo Culture", in: Journal of Current Chinese Affairs, 43, 2, 145-179.

URN: http://nbn-resolving.org/urn/resolver.pl?urn:nbn:de:gbv:18-4-7505

ISSN: 1868-4874 (online), ISSN: 1868-1026 (print)

The online version of this article and the other articles can be found at: <www.CurrentChineseAffairs.org>

Published by

GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies, Institute of Asian Studies in cooperation with the National Institute of Chinese Studies. White Rose East Asia Centre at the Universities of Leeds and Sheffield and Hamburg University Press.

The Journal of Current Chinese Affairs is an Open Access publication. It may be read, copied and distributed free of charge according to the conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-No Derivative Works 3.0 License.

To subscribe to the print edition: <ias@aiga-hambura.de> For an e-mail alert please register at: <www.CurrentChineseAffairs.org>

The Journal of Current Chinese Affairs is part of the GIGA Journal Family which includes: Africa Spectrum • Journal of Current Chinese Affairs • Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs • Journal of Politics in Latin America • <www.giga-journal-family.org>







Of Canons and Commodities: The Cultural Predicaments of Nuosu-Yi "Bimo Culture"

Olivia KRAEF

Abstract: The Nuosu are a subgroup of the so-called Yi ethnic group. Today around two million Nuosu live in Liangshan Autonomous Prefecture in Sichuan Province and translocal urban contexts, such as Chengdu and Beijing. For many centuries, the Nuosu have cultivated a belief system composed of a combination of animism and ancestor worship. Since the resurrection of religious activity across China that began in the early 1980s, this faith - represented by the three types of religious practitioners known as bimo, sunyi, and monyi - has reportedly been experiencing a comprehensive revival at folk level. For the bimo, this revival has been paralleled and increasingly overlaid by a scholarly reappraisal of Nuosu religion under premises other than religious. Bimo practice and identity have thus become subsumed under the illustrious concept of "bimo culture". In this article, I trace the genealogy of the concept of "bimo culture" as part of a cultural canon of and for the Yi which is intended to promote development at the local level but which is also contributing to a weakening of the status of the bimo and Nuosu ritual life in Liangshan today.

■ Manuscript received 9 May 2012; accepted 6 September 2013

Keywords: China, Yi, Nuosu, Liangshan, Bimo, ethnic elites, religious policy, cultural canon

Olivia Kraef is a research associate and Ph.D. candidate with the Seminar of East Asian Studies (Institute of Sinology/Chinese Studies) at Freie Universität Berlin, Germany. She began conducting research on the Nuosu-Yi of Liangshan, Sichuan province, in early 2002 as part of her master's thesis on gender and intellectual migration. Her doctoral dissertation focuses on Nuosu-Yi music, cultural policy, and change in Liangshan.

E-mail: <olivia.kraef@gmx.de>

Introduction

The Nuosu constitute a subgroup of the so-called Yi ethnic group and spread over Sichuan, Yunnan, Guizhou and Guangxi provinces. Todav around two million Nuosu live in Liangshan Autonomous Prefecture – a mountainous area in south-west Sichuan – and translocal urban contexts, such as Chengdu and Beijing. (Nuosu territory originally encompassed an area larger than today's Liangshan Autonomous Prefecture, also known as Da Liangshan (大凉山, Greater Liangshan). The term Liangshan ("cold mountains") was coined by the Han Chinese (see Harrell 2000b: 3)). The Nuosu claim a special place within the larger Yi ethnic group. Geographical seclusion and the long history of opposition towards outside influence helped to maintain a distinct people, identity, and cultural heritage. Until 1956, when the area was claimed by Chinese communist forces, the Nuosu were an unruly people with great clan disputes over land, weapons, women, and power - they were thus often referred to as the "Independent Lolo" and their territory as "Independent Lololand" (Harrell 2001a: 10, 2001b: 64, 66; Bamo Ayi 2001: 118). (The denomination "Lolo" or "Luoluo" (倮倮), which was used to refer to the Nuosu and related tribes by the Han Chinese, is considered pejorative and has been replaced by the broader notion of an "Yi ethnic minority".) With the founding of the People's Republic of China, the rigid clan and caste system - which has the nuoho (诺伙, also 诺合, Black Yi or "nobles") at the apex, the quho (曲伙, White Yi or "commoners") below them, and the two castes of the mgapiie and gaxi (阿加, 呷西, "serfs") at its base – gave rise to the Marxist notion that the Nuosu were a "slave society"; this is a stigma which the Nuosu have been unable to rid themselves of to date. The Nuosu have their own language and script; in the local tongue, "Nuosu" (诺苏) simply means "people".

For many centuries, the Nuosu have cultivated a belief system, which scholars describe as a combination of animism and ancestor worship. Ever since the resurrection of religious activity across China as part of the reform and opening policies after the early 1980s, this faith – represented by three types of religious practitioners known as bimo (毕摩, "ritual specialists or priests"), sunyi (苏尼, "male shamans"), and monyi (莫尼, "female shamans") – has been reportedly experiencing a comprehensive revival at folk level. For the bimo, gen-

erally acknowledged as the central figure amongst these three types of religious agents, this revival has been paralleled and increasingly overlaid by a scholarly reappraisal of Nuosu religion under premises other than religious and by a reshifting of cultural markers that has come to locate the *himo* at the core of Nuosu culture and even "Yi culture" as a whole. Within this context of reappraisal, bimo practice and identity has manifested itself in the illustrious concept of "bimo culture" during the last three decades - which has since been adopted by Yi (primarily Nuosu) and Chinese scholarly and political circles as the dominant stance on the bimo and issues pertaining to ritual beliefs in Liangshan. Both as a concept and increasingly as a commodity, "bimo culture" has been interacting in multiple ways with substantial efforts by Liangshan's prefectural and county-level government to advance tourism and economic development in the area. Last but not least, this trend has recently resulted in concrete steps to promote the inclusion of "bimo culture" in China's list of national intangible cultural heritage (ICH) assets.

In this article, I relate the terminological genesis, debates, and manifestations of Yi and Nuosu "bimo culture" and probe into the possible reasons and mechanisms behind the reconfiguration of Yi and Nuosu religious belief as a "cultural" rather than religious phenomenon. Instead of viewing the revival and political rehabilitation of the bimo as exclusively determined by Chinese reform and opening policies, I argue that the question of religion amongst the Nuosu, as well as the dominant vocabularies in the discussion surrounding "bimo culture" today, has its roots in scholarly discourses of nearly a century ago. These discourses include the work of renowned Chinese scholars such as Ma Xueliang and Lin Yaohua. I contest that their inherently hermeneutic approach was gradually expanded by Nuosu scholars and local elites in the 1980s to form the current notion of "bimo culture". "Bimo culture" has undergone at least two reconfigurations: the creation of and the maintenance of a perspective that sees the bimo as the epitome of Nuosu culture and the core of Nuosu society.

Locating Bimo: Nuosu Society and Culture before 1956

Nuosu traditional religious belief has been described as a combination of animism and ancestor worship (Heberer 2006), or "indigen-

ous animism" (Olson 1998: 380). Alternatively, Chinese-language texts by Nuosu scholars on religious belief in Liangshan have employed the term *yuanshi zongjiao* (原始宗教, "original religion" or "primitive religion") (Baqie 2004: 136f; Xie 2010; Meng 2009) and more recently *bimo zongjiao* (毕摩宗教, "*bimo* religion") (Baqie 2004: 136f).

Amongst the Nuosu, all religious matters are regulated by the "religious specialists" (Bamo Qubumo 2001) of the bimo, the (male) sunyi, and the (female) monyi. In scholarly literature, the sunyi and monyi are generally subsumed under the term sunyi (Zeng et al. 2004: 116) and described as a type of wushi (巫师, "wizard" or "sorcerer"), who employ the beating of a goatskin drum and dancing to mediate with spirits and thereby rid clients of unwanted spiritual influences. Zeng et al. (2004: 116–117) list five different types of situations which usually call for a sunyi or monyi ritual intervention. Bamo Qubumo (2001: 454) describes the sunyi as "shamans" who together with the bimo act as "the mediators between humans and supernatural beings". Furthermore, the sunyi as "religious specialists" derive their authority:

from spirit-possessed inspiration rather than from book knowledge; unlike a bimo, a sunyi may come from any clan, even from the serf or slave stratum, and could be either a man or a woman (Bamo Qubumo 2001: 454).

The *bimo* are generally considered the most important Nuosu religious or ritual practitioners. "Bi" literally means "to recite or chant a scripture or to perform a ritual", and "mo" is "a person with knowledge or accomplishment" (Bamo Ayi 2001: 119). The *bimo* is often described as a "high-status religious specialist" (Ma 2000: 51) of traditional and contemporary Nuosu society who presides over all major and minor rituals of life, death, major events, and celebrations and is versed in Nuosu history, astronomy, and (herbal) medicine. Specifically, the *bimo* are considered "mediators between human beings and [...] supernaturals [gods, ghosts, ancestors, spirits]" who represent their clients "to the supernaturals and even [control] people's access to health and wealth through supernatural intervention" (Bamo Ayi 2001: 119).

In traditional Nuosu society and at the rural, semi-rural, and semi-urban levels in Liangshan today, the *bimo* fulfil vital functions for spiritual, physical, and social well-being – primarily in the form of rituals for good fortune, health matters, daily life, and funeral rites.

Bimo lineage and succession consists exclusively of males. Based on the notion of "polluted females", "[f]emales have neither the right nor the opportunity to receive bimo education or engage in bimo professional activities" — this includes touching ritual instruments and partaking in certain rituals (Bamo Ayi 2001: 121). The exception to the rule was the daughter of the eminent bimo Asu Laze (阿苏拉则, Nuosu transliteration Ashy Lazzi), Lazzi Shysi. Bamo Ayi states that while Lazzi Shysi learned the:

highest-level magic from her father, understood the most powerful and complicated classics, had unusual talent [...] and was able to suppress demons, banish ghosts, and rescue people from dangerous accidents, she still had to dress in male clothing when she performed as a *bimo* (Bamo Ayi 2001: 119).

Eventually – and this part is lost in Bamo Ayi's account – her real identity was discovered (both her ears were pierced, whereas Nuosu men only pierce their left earlobe), and she had to give up her practice immediately.

Almost all of the bimo clans come from a White Yi background (Harrell 2001b: 97; Lu 1999: 30). There are, though, many tales told by scholars and bimo alike that claim the bimo used to be of Black Yi descent, but fell from grace and became part of the commoner caste. Despite the prevalent depiction of traditional Nuosu society as a hierarchic system of three to four different castes (see above), it also featured five distinct social or professional groups, or dengji (等级, Aniu and Jilang 2007: 4; Interview 1 2011; variously translated into English as "strata" or "ranks", Bamo Ayi 2001: 121), that cut across the caste system. These were the zi (兹, leaders), mo (莫, "mediators"), bi (毕, "religious mediators"), ge (格, "artisans"), and zhuo (卓, "commoners"). All bimo trace their genealogies back to Asu Laze - considered the greatest bimo of all time – who lived and practised in what is today known as the centre of Nuosu culture in Liangshan, Meigu County. (These five strata have also been described as preceding the later caste system (Aniu and Jilang 2007: 7). They are not to be confused with the caste system, though which easily happens due to a certain arbitrariness of the Chinese terminology. In Chinese, dengji (等 级) and jieceng (阶层), which also means "stratum", are used interchangeably, as in Aniu and Jilang, 2007: 6f.)

Due to the significance attributed to the *bimo* for Nuosu religion and culture, research on the *sunyi* and *monyi* has generally been neg-

lected. Reasons for the "religious" and therefore scholarly hierarchy of "high-status religious specialists" (Bamo Qubumo 2001: 455; Ma 2000: 51) versus "shamans" are unclear, but could have originally resulted from the fact that the bimo were (and still are) considered to be the most cultured of the three: the bimo could (and still can) read and write traditional Nuosu script. There is also a vast amount of bimo scriptures supporting bimo practice and rituals. After all, in a Chinese context, someone you wenhua (有文化, is "cultured") if he or she is literate (i.e. educated). Furthermore, bimo research has its own distinct history dating back to the 1930s. Unlike the sunyi and monyi, the bimo have their own written tradition of scriptures and rituals, which is both complex and extensive and continues to attract the attention of Nuosu, non-Nuosu Chinese, and international researchers. Another reason why the bimo are generally deemed more important than the sunyi and monyi is the difference in their modes of initiation: the sunyi and monyi are initiated through illness or visions, while the bimo pass through a complex educational system.

After the Nuosu territory was claimed by communist forces in 1956, the so-called Democratic Reforms began almost immediately. In their function of maintaining the balance between this world and the netherworld and as part of the old Nuosu world order, the bimo were integral to sustaining the socio-political Nuosu caste hierarchy. Their religious duties and their role as supporters of clan politics and as mediators, which were outside of the state's control, made the bimo politically unacceptable. During the general social and cultural upheavals after 1956, the bimo and sunyi were persecuted by local authorities (Heberer 2006: 53). Some of them recanted and became barefoot doctors, but the majority resisted this kind of accommodation with the new political order (Heberer 2006: 53). Religious suppression peaked during the ten turbulent years of the Cultural Revolution (1966-1976), and the bimo - like many other religious practitioners of different faiths in China - lost all physical and professional rights. Bimo practice and rituals were branded as mixin (迷信, "feudal superstition") and forbidden, albeit secretly continued in many places according to some sources (Heberer 2006: 53; Bamo Ayi 2001: 118). Those discovered practising could expect severe punishment. The bimo were also forced to join "study groups" to be re-educated and were declared class enemies and targets of class struggle (Heberer 2006: 53; Bamo Ayi 2001: 118).

The Dawn of "Bimo Culture": Reform and Cultural Revival in Liangshan

After the beginning of the reform policies in the early 1980s, the relaxation of political control and the new autonomy law in particular initiated a grand revival of local faith as part of a supposedly "dramatic revival of traditional [Nuosu] culture" (Bamo Qubumo 2001: 455). The liberalisation of religious practice allowed for overt organisation, implementation, and display of beliefs (mostly in the form of essential religious rituals), which are still regarded today as a major part of everyday Nuosu life in Liangshan. According to Bamo Ayi, "when minority policy turned away from promoting assimilation of Han ways", both rural and semi-urban Nuosu "spontaneously" began "working toward the revitalization of traditional Nuosu culture". In the villages, "the movement for cultural revitalization is characterized by the resurgence of the clans", which in turn determined the revival of religious or ritual activities (Bamo Ayi 2001: 118). Here again, the bimo are linked to the political power structure in traditional (pre-1956) Nuosu society. A part of this "spontaneity" may also be attributed to Nuosu society's acute need to compensate for large rituals (e.g. funerals) that could not be held during the Cultural Revolution. (This is somewhat akin to the revival of rituals as described by Mueggler 2001.)

"Bimo Culture" and the Legacy of Bamao Hermeneutics

There were other more apparent reasons for this type of revival, though, which went beyond the mere reappearance of *bimo* activity at grassroots level and which question the notion of a "spontaneous" or "bottom-up" phenomenon. *Bimo* revival in Liangshan was primarily a top-down occurrence given that it was largely determined by new legislation and incentives favourable to cultural revival. The new Chinese Convention of 1982 and, especially, the Nationalities Autonomy Law of 1984 marked the beginning of nationwide policies of ethnic pluralism and the reassessment of ethnic minority cultures (Harrell 2000b: 6). The nation's diversity was no longer negated. The increasing presence of ethnic minorities in the national media and within the realm of academia were as much an indicator of the new political

trend as were the new laws that granted ethnic minorities (particularly their political elites) unprecedented command over their own affairs. The new laws and the new sense of local power they seemed to guarantee soon motivated ethnic elites all over China to reappropriate their own ethnic culture. As I have shown elsewhere (Kraef 2012), this motivation was in many instances co-determined by a keen awareness that the time had come to reshuffle notions of political power and (Marxist) stigma in order to consolidate local interests visà-vis the state.

The early 1980s in Liangshan also witnessed a major drive towards ethnification - a process that soon linked the political to the scholarly realm. Bamo Erha, former vice mayor of Liangshan prefecture, became a principal driving force in the process of new cultural development. Bamo Erha, who was then "actively pursuing his agenda of reviving and developing Yi culture" (Bamo, Harrell, and Ma 2007: 11), eventually motivated his elder daughters, Ayi and Qubumo, to pursue careers in academia and to undertake tasks which no woman, except perhaps Lazzi Shysi, had ever dared to do - namely, to become followers, and in this case researchers, of the bimo. In 1984 Bamo Ayi, the current deputy director general of the international department of China's State Ethnic Affairs Commission, became the first Nuosu to pass the entrance test for graduate study at the renowned Zhongyang Minzu Daxue (中央民族大学, Minzu University of China, formerly the Central Institute for Nationalities, Zhongyang Minzu Xueyuan, 中央民族学院) and to focus on Yi historical documents as part of the master's programme in the major Tibeto-Burman languages. Her advisor during both her master's and her doctoral degree was Ma Xueliang.

Ma Xueliang (1913–1999) was a renowned Han Chinese ethnologist, philologist, and historian who authored numerous books on Yi and related languages and peoples (Bamo, Harrell, and Ma 2007: 304). Ma proved to be crucial for Bamo Ayi's research on *bimo* in that he designed a class focused on "documentary and field research in Yi studies" especially for her (Bamo Ayi 2007: 11, 12). In *Fieldwork Connections* (Bamo, Harrell, and Ma 2007), where she relates the beginnings of her research on the *bimo*, Bamo Ayi recalls Ma Xueliang stating that:

if you want to understand Yi-language classics, you have to first understand rituals and customs. You need to understand the

meaning of the texts through rituals and customs; and, conversely, if you understand the basis of rituals through the texts, you can better understand the origins of the rituals (Bamo, Harrell, and Ma 2007: 12).

This logic of an inherent connection between language and religion reflected Ma Xueliang's own research on bimo scriptures. In the early 1940s Ma had conducted extensive anthropological fieldwork amongst Yi groups, including a translation of bimo scriptures. His great interest in the scriptures was determined by his university education in the early days of the Chinese Revolution. During the turbulence of the anti-Japanese war, which forced the nation's scholars and main universities to relocate (first to Chongqing and later to Kunming), Ma Xueliang became the assistant to writer and activist Wen Yiduo. Wen, in turn, was part of the lingering spirit of the May Fourth Movement of 1919, which had placed strong emphasis on the reappropriation of textual sources in the quest for a unifying spirit of national development and political reinvigoration. This inspired a strong hermeneutic current in the assessment of local Han and minority cultures, which the fleeing scholars encountered en route to Kunming, and lastingly impacted these scholars' work. In the ensuing discussion, I have chosen the term "hermeneutic" rather than "textual" to emphasise the cultural particularity (Han Chinese, etic) of this historical-ideological approach to Chinese minority textual sources, as well as to do justice to Ma Xueliang's postulated reciprocity of textual and practical (rituals and customs) analyses.

As part of this hermeneutic approach, Ma's research did not only determine Bamo Ayi's later path-breaking definition of "bimo culture", it also – perhaps more importantly – co-established Yi studies as an academic field. As a linguist who had been trained and raised in the spirit of "culture" as contested by the May Fourth Movement, Ma Xueliang was naturally drawn to the study of bimo scriptures. Yet his studies were not so much geared to their religious content. In his earliest definition of "bimo culture", he stated the following:

The *bimo* is the standard of Yi culture (yizu wenhua zhi benwei, 彝族文化之本位); he epitomises Yi culture (yizu wenhua de jidachengzhe, 彝族文化的集大成者). The *bimo* scriptures and documents are the core of traditional Yi culture and involve issues such as social structure, historical development, cultural transmission, ethnopsychology, and ethnic identification. The study of *bimo* culture can be called "the key"

(qian yi fa er dong quanshen, "牵一发而动全身") to Yi culture (ZMD-SYW, Chinese terms included for clarity).

This definition emphasises the centrality of the *bimo* – previously referred to as *bamao* (贝耄) in the works of, amongst others, Lin Yaohua and Ma Xueliang – to Nuosu society. For Ma Xueliang and other Han Chinese scholars before him, the scriptures both belonged to and were passed down by the *bimo*, who were practically the only group of people within traditional Nuosu society who could read and write. By pointing to the *bimo*'s key function for the understanding of Nuosu culture, Ma Xueliang took earlier observations by scholar Xu Yitang one step further. Xu had written extensively on religion amongst the Nuosu based on fieldwork in the 1940s and had denoted the *bimo* as the "most educated amongst the Luo people" (Li 2006: 250).

Scholars such as Xu Yitang, Ma Xueliang, and the frequently cited Lin Yaohua did not only acknowledge the existence of religion amongst the Nuosu but provided some of the first comprehensive attempts to describe and appropriate it. In 1943 scholar Lin Yaohua (1910-2000) conducted his first research (lasting 87 days) on the Nuosu and compiled his findings in his famous work Liangshan Yijia de Jubian (凉山彝家的巨变 1995). Lin Yaohua dedicated a large section of his report to a detailed description of faith in Liangshan. According to Lin (1995: 95) Nuosu religion (something he terms "a religion of an 'early' social character") is inextricably connected to wushu (巫术, sorcery), and all Nuosu rituals adhere to wushu. Yet Lin does not use the term wushu in a derogatory way; on the contrary, it forms the basis of his explications of Nuosu ritual life. He considers both the bimo and the sunyi to be wushi (Lin 1995: 93f). For Lin, the difference between these two types of wushi lies in that they represent "different systems" – though they share the same goals in the practice of sorcery (Lin 1995: 94).

Although Lin Yaohua and Ma Xueliang sought to objectively describe Nuosu religion, they more or less retained the idea that this faith could not be considered a religion. The fundamental insight Ma Xueliang derived from translating and studying the scriptures was "mainly" an understanding of the "recording of primitive religion" (Li 2006: 332, my emphasis). This notion builds on Lin, who argued that Nuosu religion resembled that of primitive society; this later became part of Bamo Ayi's definition of "bimo culture". Ma's shift towards a

cultural rather than a religious understanding of the *bimo* and their scriptures placed the *bimo* at the core of traditional Nuosu society.

Ma Xueliang's work bears a vital dimension for the study and understanding of Nuosu society then and now. Not only did his emphasis on the bimo and their "culture" (as represented by their scriptures in Ma Xueliang's approach) divert attention away from the lasting importance of the clans for Nuosu individual and group identity, it also questioned Ma Changshou's findings of the late 1930s that claimed bimo practice and bimo social status were in fact already in steady decline - even in the Nuosu heartland (Ma, Li, and Zhou 2006: 546). Although Ma Changshou was, to my current knowledge, the earliest of all Han Chinese scholars to conduct comprehensive research on the Nuosu in Liangshan, his work is blatantly absent from Li's recent study (2006) of early descriptions of Nuosu culture and society. In 1937 and 1939 Ma Changshou conducted extensive research on the Nuosu of Liangshan, which he later published under the title Liangshan Luo Yi Kaocha Baogao (Ma, Li, and Zhou 2006). In one memorable passage, Ma Changshou describes questioning a young Nuosu man as to why he did not wish to learn bimo practice and being told that the declining social status of the bimo provided no incentive to continue this practice. For Ma Changshou, the bimo's loss of social status within the old social order was a result of the separation between worldly and religious power - namely, the differentiation within Nuosu society between the (above-mentioned) specialist groups or ranks of nzy and bi.

Diverging viewpoints in early research on the Nuosu also existed in the defining of Nuosu religion. On the basis of his fieldwork in Liangshan in 1941, Han Chinese scholar Jiang Yingliang ascertained that the Nuosu had "no notable religion worth studying" (Li 2006: 266). Ma Changshou (Ma, Li, and Zhou 2006: 531f) had already discussed the issue of Nuosu faith in the late 1930s, arguing that it could not be defined as "religion". He noted that according to a "strict" meaning of religion the "ways the Luo Yi [Nuosu] deal with the supernatural could not be termed 'religion'". To prove his point, Ma cited the absence of the notion of prayer from Nuosu ritual life, pointing out that in a case of illness, the Nuosu do not pray to any spirits for a cure, but instead song hun (送魂, "send the soul") or zhou hun (咒魂, "curse the soul"). To further support his argument, Ma Changshou highlights the fact that in Nuosu ritual beliefs – unlike in

a religion – the power of (Nuosu) human heroes extends to the heavens. He underlines his thesis with extensive examples from the rich heritage of Nuosu myths (Ma, Li, and Zhou 2006: 1532ff).

From Bamao to Bimo (Bimox) to "Bimo Culture"

Ma Xueliang's research on the bimo not only provided the foundation for Bamo Ayi's reappropriation of bimo practice and its social function under the reconfiguration of "bimo culture", it also shaped the ensuing development of the term and its "developmental economies" as both a theory and a commodity. In a complex twist of rhetoric, it also determined Ma Xueliang's inclusion into a canon of Yi studies. In his paper on the genesis of the field of Yi studies in China, Li Lie (2006) provides an in-depth discussion of the work of Ma Xueliang and Lin Yaohua, amongst others, with special emphasis on these scholars' contributions to the body of research on the bimo and Nuosu religion. Li draws a clear line between the etic interpretations of Nuosu culture by Han Chinese scholars of the post-May Fourth generation and the emic understandings of early Nuosu intellectuals, such as Leng Guangdian and Qumu Zangyao. However, based on the scholarly impact he grants to Ma Xueliang's work, Li clearly endows him with the status of co-founder of what has since become Yi studies. Despite or because of Li's work, it is difficult to determine in retrospect whether Ma's groundbreaking influence on the appreciation of the bimo and the establishment of Yi studies anticipated or ensued the publication of Ma Changshou's research report in 2006.

Li's work, which reads much like a "who's who" in Yi studies and which was originally submitted as a doctoral dissertation to his supervisor Bamo Qubumo, reinforces the notion of an intricate connection between the scholarly appreciation of the *bimo* and the field of Yi studies. Specifically, Li's chronological and topical discussion of what he has selected as the earliest and most significant works on "the Yi" reveals manifold points of intersection between the genesis of "*bimo* culture" and the genesis of modern-day Yi studies. This is reflected by Harrell's work (2000a), which depicts (the beginning stages of) Yi studies to be as much an outcome of the re-ethnification of minority cultural discourse as they were part of the fundamental political changes of the 1980s. For Harrell, too, the *bimo* appear to

play a fundamental role. He notes that it was allegedly at one of the early Chinese Yi studies conferences in Kunming that:

it was decided [...] that the Nuosu term <u>bimox</u> would be adopted to refer to the priesthoods in all the diverse Yi written traditions, and that their knowledge, now defined as that of ethnic intellectuals rather than superstitious practitioners, was a legitimate topic for study and writing. This, to my knowledge, was the first instance of a major research effort that was directed specifically toward Yi concerns, rather than with using the Yi as a case study for some larger or more general theory (Harrell 2000a).

Harrell supports the notion that "bimo culture" was, at least originally, founded as a concept in its own right.

Modern Definitions of "Bimo Culture"

Ma Xueliang's definition and interpretation of the *bimo* led to a major terminological step that had a lasting impact on later research on the *bimo*. Starting in the early 1980s as part of Bamo Ayi's research on the *bimo* and Bamo Erha's institutional support, an extended, "emi-fied" notion of "*bimo* culture" went from being a scholarly phenomenon to a direct outcome of the fundamental political changes and reappropriation of religious matters at the time. In its revamped and extended format, the notion of "*bimo* culture" not only marked the beginning of an entirely new (emic) appropriation of ethnic minority culture but also became the turning point for what is now called "Yi studies".

Bamo Ayi, her sister Qubumo, and their students were largely influenced by what could be termed the "Ma Xueliang school" or "hermeneutic approach" within Yi studies – that is, the assumption that language in the form of written sources (bimo scriptures) forms the foundation for the understanding of "Yi culture". Insisting that research on "bimo culture" required a clear understanding of what exactly demarcates it, Bamo Ayi (2003: 105) formulated the first comprehensive definition of the term in the early 1990s. For her, "bimo culture" is yizhong teshu de zongjiao wenhua (一种特殊的宗教文化, "a type of special religious culture") that is "created and passed on by the bimo; which is represented by recorded scriptures and rituals, and at whose core lies a combination of shengui xinyang (神鬼信仰, "ani-

mism") and wushu jiyi (巫术祭仪, "sorcery-plus-sacrificial rites")" (Bamo Ayi 2003: 105; Chinese terms included for clarity).

It is, furthermore, a type of "encyclopaedia-style comprehensive culture", which "involves and holds many facets of Yi traditional culture", such as "Yi philosophy, social history, educational ethics, astronomy and the calendar system, literature and the arts, customs and social rites, and medicine and hygiene."

According to Bamo Ayi's definition, "bimo culture" represents a "cultural system" and a set of specific contents. It is distinct from "written culture' and 'ritual culture' as part of 'orally transmitted culture', and 'everyday culture" — that is, different from minzhong wenhua (民众文化, "common (Yi/ Nuosu) people's culture") (Bamo Ayi 2003: 105).

Much like Ma Xueliang, Bamo Ayi allocates the *bimo* a central role in Yi culture: "Bimo culture is the culture which is 'created and transmitted' by the *bimo* as a special group of *teshu de shenzhi qunti* (特殊的神职群体, "religious practitioners") within Yi society" (Bamo Ayi 2003: 105). Elsewhere, Bamo Ayi has described the *bimo* as "the only literate group in Nuosu areas", and has noted that:

even today, they are the only ones who can read and understand traditional scriptures and old documents, compile historical scriptures, and write new texts relating to philosophy, literature, history, astronomy, medicine, agriculture, arts and crafts, rituals, religion, and ethics (Bamo Ayi 2001: 119).

According to Bamo Ayi, these skills determined the bimo's position as "village intellectuals" (Bamo Ayi 2001: 119) — a view supported by her sister Qubumo, who summarised Ma Xueliang's original designation of the bimo with Bamo Ayi's elaborated definition (Zhongguo Minzu Bao 2006). This definition consensus was also reflected by the 4th International Conference on Yi Studies in August 2005 in Meigu County, Liangshan. The conference was primarily organised and coordinated by Bamo Qubumo, whose formulaic depictions of the bimo as yizu chuantong de zhishifenzi (一种传统的知识分子, "the traditional intellectuals of the Yi people"), yizu wenhua de zhuyao chuanbozhe (彝族文化的主要传播者, "the main proponents of Yi culture"), and the ones who yingxiang zhe yizu de zhengti wenhua xitong (影响着彝族的整体文化系统, "determine the complete cultural system of the Yi") have since permeated a variety of related articles and Internet platforms (CASS 2005a, 2005b).



Figure 1: A bimo in Traditional Attire, Bapu Town, Meigu County, Liangshan

Source: Olivia Kraef, August 2004.

Bamo Ayi's definition of "bimo culture" is not only exclusive in that it draws a clear line between high culture (written transmission) and low culture (oral transmission), but also because it is founded on the principle of exclusion of religious terminologies which have been and continue to be used synonymously with "bimo" – most pertinently, the concepts of shamanism and (black) magic. This clear distinction

between (cultural) concepts and vocabularies is reiterated by Bamo Oubumo:

There is another religious specialist, the sunyi, or "shaman," whose authority is derived from spirit-possessed inspiration rather than from book knowledge; [...] So, bimo refers to a man who engages in religious activities by reciting scriptures. Meanwhile, the su in sunyi means a person, and the nyi means shaking while dancing and beating a drum. A sunyi is akin to a shaman, or a religious practitioner who beats a drum, shakes, and dances exuberantly. The actions of a bimo are calm – he simply recites scripture; in contrast, a sunyi uses body language. If asked to describe the difference between bimo and sunyi, a Nuosu would tell you that a bimo is mild while a sunyi is wild; a bimo gracefully chants while a sunyi violently shakes (Bamo Qubumo 2001: 454).

Although the clear distinction between bimo and sunvi can already be found in Lin Yaohua (1995: 93f) and Ma Changshou (Ma, Li, and Zhou 2006), Bamo Qubumo's comparison reflects the established notion of the bimo as intellectuals. The sunyi, in contrast, are regarded as shamans. This process of distinction (and essentially exclusion of the sunyi from intellectualism and cultural maintenance) serves to establish a more distinct picture of both the function and social standing of the bimo within Nuosu society and to legitimate the Nuosu (elites') claim to a religious culture of their own under the notion of "bimo culture". This elevation of the bimo has somewhat happened at the expense of the sunyi. Maybe this is the result of juxtaposing the calm recital of scriptures with wild dancing, shaking, and the beating of drums, which has generated a potentially derogatory distinction. This distinction, in turn, runs contrary to prevalent definitions of the concept of shamanism. According to Walter and Neumann (2004: xi), for instance, "[m]ost basically, shamanism can be defined as a religious belief system in which the shaman is the specialist in knowledge". Although this definition encompasses the use of trance for the purpose of spirit mediation in the professional realm of a shaman - more typically a sunyi characteristic than bimo - Walter and Neumann's notion of shamanism certainly implies the concept of "knowledge". In the context of faith in Liangshan, though, the bimo are thus established as the only ones who have exclusive knowledge due to their literacy and compulsory education in scriptures and chanting.

Bagie (2004: 136) reintroduces the question of magic into the modern debate on "bimo culture", but does so only in relation to the sunyi. According to him, the Nuosu "primitive/ original religion phenomenon" "formed two types of religion" - namely, bimo zongjiao wenhua (毕摩宗教文化, "bimo religious culture") as represented by the bimo and saman wuxi wenhua (萨满巫觋文化, "shaman wizard culture") as represented by the sunyi (Bagie 2004: 136). Noteworthy here is the fact that "shaman" is not paired with the term jiao (教), which would depict a religion or faith of some sort, but with wenhua (文化, "culture"). Whereas this tactic may serve to further neutralise the notion of (black) magic in Nuosu ritual life and thus turn it into a civilised culture of knowledge, Bamo Qubumo's agenda may have been geared more towards establishing "bimo culture" as a "culture" in its own right – that is, alongside other officially recognised realms of religious "culture", such as "Naxi Dongba culture" and "northern shaman culture".

"Naxi Dongba culture" refers to an ancient "animistic belief system" of the Naxi ethnic minority in China's Yunnan province (ADCA n.d.). *Dongba* (lit. "knowledgeable one") both designates this belief system – primarily expressed via handwritten documents in Dongba writing (which consists of pictographs and is promoted as the only hieroglyphic writing system still in use today) – and the Naxi shamans who practice this belief (ADCA n.d.). Similar to the *bimo*, Naxi Dongba shamans "occupy hereditary positions" and "act as intermediaries between heaven, humanity and earth" (ADCA n.d.). They must be "well versed in ancient dances, literature, traditional medicine and folk customs to effectively perform ceremonial, divinatory and healing duties" (ADCA n.d.). Activities and fields of local knowledge mastered by the Dongba shaman include arts and crafts, scholarship, religion, and medicine; these "somehow exceed the tasks of an ordinary shaman" (ADCA n.d.):

[F]or this reason Dongba shamans are primarily considered being the bearers of Dongba culture, which consists mainly of the Dongba religion, the arts, classic scriptures and pictographic Dongba script (ADCA n.d.).

This description of Dongba "shamans" and script bears a striking resemblance to the rhetoric of "bimo culture" hermeneutics. It could be argued that the scholarly discourse on "bimo culture" (as headed by the Bamo sisters) in many ways used "Naxi Dongba culture" as a

blueprint to establish "bimo culture" as an academic field of interest and to create the notion of "bimo culture" as a founding element of Yi studies and thus as a central element of "Yi culture". Indeed, when I first interviewed Bamo Qubumo in Beijing in March 2002, she related the amount of research she had already conducted on "Dongba culture". Nevertheless, unlike "Dongba culture", "bimo culture" is yet to receive the same level of recognition by the Chinese state and UNESCO (see ADCA n.d.).

Perhaps not quite as spectacular or vocal as "Naxi Dongba culture", the notion of a "northern shaman culture" in China, as well as its "protection", has also gained substantial academic and political momentum over the past decade. In an interesting twist of rhetoric, the "shaman culture" of China's north-eastern provinces has thus come to demarcate a "vital place of origin of global shamanism" and has given rise to regularly staged shaman performances, which are part of those areas' efforts to have northern Chinese shamanism accepted by UNESCO into the ICH ranks (China Northeast Government Xinhuawang 2007).

By defining the bimo as "intellectuals" and their practice as "culture", "bimo culture" is separated from the potentially condescending notion of "shamanism" and cast instead as intellectual and rational. In regard to Nuosu religion, these omissions correspond to questions involving taboos and the dark side of occult practices (e.g. curses) and to the seemingly uncontrolled (as in unsanctioned) body language during rituals. In religious or ritual contexts, however, it seems questionable to try and differentiate between a transcendental state of mind that is achieved via chanting and one via dancing and drumming. In the bimo exhibition hall of Liangshanzhou Nuli Bowuguan (凉山 州奴隶博物馆, Liangshan Prefecture Slave Museum), there is at least a brief mention of the fact that the bimo also conducted complex, and potentially large-scale zhou hun (咒魂, "soul cursing") rituals. Similarly, there were designated "curse bimo" in Meigu; they do not appear in the "bimo culture" discourse, because dark magic is irreconcilable with notions of modernity and civilisation. But the "curse bimo" and curses played a very important role in pre-liberation Liangshan, especially in cases of escaped slaves and clan feuds (as is partially illustrated in the film The Bimo Records, discussed below).

It is understandable that some authors should seek to distance Nuosu religion from derogatory and misleading concepts such as mixin ("feudal superstition"). Such steps, however, require both a discussion and consensus regarding new terminology. This dilemma is also revealed by the contemporary English-language terminology generally employed in discussions on the bimo and sunyi. By using "practitioner" and "specialist" interchangeably, these come to mean the same thing. In traditional Nuosu society, the idea of five different professional ranks reflects a traditional understanding of specialists. If then – as Bamo Qubumo (2001) highlights – the sunyi and monyi occupy an inferior sociocultural standing compared to the bimo, and if the *sunyi* and *monyi* were obviously not part of the five professional groups in traditional Nuosu society, then referring to the sunyi and monyi with the same terms used for the bimo should be reconsidered. The idea of the *himo* as the core of traditional Nuosu ritual belief and "Yi culture" in general creates an internal hierarchisation of cultural and social roles and functions. An emphasis on the role of the bimo in Nuosu religion has already seen the study of sunyi and especially monyi traditions marginalised. In the long run, this may lead to further topdown fragmentation within Nuosu religion (as sunyi and monyi practice receive little official or scholarly attention or support); in turn, this could further weaken the coherence and long-term sustainability of religious practice in Liangshan.

Rhetorically, Bamo Ayi and Bamo Qubumo extended the demarcation of Ma Xueliang's original textual limitations with notions of a discursive "system". This system still allowed for the circumnavigation or reshuffling of religious terminology (such as zongjiao, 宗教) a trend Bamo Avi certainly, if only partially, reversed in English publications (for instance Bamo Ayi 2001) - while redefining the parameters of "culture". Although Bamo Ayi admits that there is a core consisting of animism and sorcery in "bimo culture", this core is diminished by an emphasis on its comprehensive "extensions", which encompass via time and space all that is defined as "Yi culture". Culture here, though, remains "high culture", and "bimo culture" as understood by Bamo Ayi comes to exclude all things "common" or "folk". Bamo's terminological manoeuvre proved sustainable in making "bimo culture" acceptable in scholarly and ultimately official circles (Liangshan) and thus enabled Bamo Avi to stay clear of the complex question of religion. In Bamo Qubumo's definition, too, the aspect of sorcery or wizardry is completely absent. Her emphasis on an understanding of "bimo culture" as (yet) another type of (religious) "cul-

ture" – which exists alongside other faiths in their very local expressions (here "Naxi Dongba culture" and "shaman culture" in northeastern China; Bamo Qubumo in interview 2002) and thus embodies a distinct "culture" – further paves the way for the public and official appreciation of "bimo culture" as indeed a "special religious culture", which is based on a sophisticated writing system and maintained by the bimo as intellectuals. Through Bamo Ayi and the political-scholarly (and now essentially emic) vantage point of Nuosu elites in Liangshan, "bimo culture" thus advanced from a scholarly reappropriation of religion in Liangshan to an emphasis on the notion of "culture" and determined the progression of the bimo to centre stage in modern Nuosu society and development in Liangshan as a whole.

The Institutionalisation of "Bimo Culture"

The scholarly discourse, which in its revitalised format had never quite been separate from local political and economic concerns, officially merged with Liangshan prefecture's development policies in the mid- to late 1990s and continued to intensify after the year 2000. This unification has resulted in a drive to institutionalise the bimo and "bimo culture" at the local level. Its most notable measures include the founding of the Meigu Xian Bimo Wenhua Yanjiu Zhongxin (美姑县毕摩文化研究中心,Meigu County Bimo Culture Research Centre; hereafter,MCBCRC),bimo (museum) exhibitions,and local public and media performances featuring bimo and "bimo culture".

The Bamo sisters' efforts to establish and popularise research on "bimo culture" led to the founding of the MCBCRC in Bapu, Meigu, in 1996. The sisters had conducted a substantial part of their fieldwork in Meigu County, which has since been officially designated as bimo zhi xiang (毕摩之乡, "home of the bimo"). The founding of the centre received substantial support from local authorities, especially those whose families were directly affiliated with one of the many bimo families in the county. Initially, the centre focused on accommodating national and international scholars wishing to conduct research on the bimo. It organised scholarly field trips, offered a library, provided help with translations and other resources, and held regular scholarly meetings and conferences. Gaha Shizhe, a native of Meigu County, was appointed head of operations until 2014. The centre has a small group of permanent staff, which includes scholars and admin-

istrative personnel. In an interview in August 2011, Gaha mentioned the centre's shift in emphasis from supporting *bimo* research to promoting literacy and the general use of standard Chinese in the area (Interview 1). Although the centre's workload has diminished over the past nine years, it continues to publish its own magazine, *Bimo Wenhua* (毕摩文化, lit. "*bimo* culture" though the official English title is *Bimo Practice*), and is still a major resource for scholars, development workers, and the media.

If the founding of the MCBCRC signified the official institutionalisation of the *bimo* and "*bimo* culture" – as well as the "value" of the *bimo* and "*bimo* culture" in regard to religious, cultural, and scholarly concerns – then the year 2004 marked the beginning of the economic and developmental importance of the *bimo* and "*bimo* culture" for Meigu County. Various *bimo* and "*bimo* culture" publications appeared in relation to (a) tourism development in Liangshan; (b) ecological systems, heritage, and preservation; and (c) China's drive to protect its ICH (e.g. Aniu and Jilang 2007; Xie 2010). This transition from focusing on ritual descriptions, scripture analysis, and the *bimo* as the epitome of Nuosu culture to being concerned with developmental issues and the role of the *bimo* as the primary catalyst of the development of "Yi culture" coincided with Shen Luqing's term as mayor of Meigu County (2004–2006).

Shen, supposedly a native of Meigu County, is currently head of Liangshan prefecture's Tourism Bureau. During his time as Meigu County mayor, he played a crucial role in advancing policies geared towards the county's development and substantially expanded Meigu's reputation as the heartland of Nuosu culture. He achieved this via a plethora of policies and activities that not only promoted a joint strategy involving "bimo culture" and economic development but also facilitated the cooperation between academic and economic (tourism) agents to comprehensively develop the bimo as an "economic (tourism) resource" (Gaha 2004: 105ff; see also Mi 2004). For Meigu County, notoriously underdeveloped due to geographical factors and a clear dearth of local industry, the bimo and "bimo culture" thus became a major commodity for cultural "export". Under Shen's tutelage, the Meigu County administration began supporting and investing in bimo development work at the rural and especially semirural levels. This included building (albeit never completing) the "bimo culture village" and the "bimo culture show centre" (see also Aniu and

Jilang 2007: 248), holding semi-scholarly *bimo* meetings at the county level, and reorganising and relaunching Meigu's song and dance troupe. Last but not least, Shen organised the 4th International Conference on Yi Studies which took place in Bapu Town, 19–24 August 2005.

As part of Gaha's proposed measures for the development of the bimo as an economic agent, Meigu also began staging ritual performances - for instance, as part of the 4th International Conference on Yi Studies. The repertoire of the Meigu song and dance troupe was extended to include local bimo performances. Those bimo who agreed to become part of these performances received a regular wage for their iron-licking stunts and catwalk displays of ethnic costume. In August 2004 and again in June and August 2005, I witnessed several of these shows at the local theatre. Until Shen was transferred to another post outside of Meigu in 2006, these performances were regularly held for both visitors and locals. Most of the performing bimo were part of a group that was also actively promoted by the MCBCRC. They regularly participated in the centre's county-level activities and also featured in local conferences and scholarly meetings as designated Yi "intellectuals". In August 2004 I attended a bimo conference in Bapu, to which the Meigu County administration had invited distinguished local and international scholars, as well as several of these himo. Some of these himo even starred in film and television productions.

With the assistance of the MCBCRC and the Bamo sisters, Shen also oversaw the most prestigious and certainly most expensive bimo media project so far: The Bimo Records (毕摩纪, Bimo Ji, 2006). Directed by Yang Rui, Bimo Ji was described as a "beautiful documentary" (Yang 2006) that tells the stories of three very different bimo ("the good-magic shaman, the revenge shaman and the communist cadre shaman") and a Nuosu school teacher in Lama Jolo Township, Meigu County – though Yang has said the film is not a documentary but instead a yishu pian (艺术片, "art film") that seeks to "show" zongjiaogan (宗教感, lit. "religious feeling") (Yang 2006). Rather than providing a clear focus on the cause of the bimo, the film's emphasis on imagery and the impressive interplay of light and shadow intends to show an almost otherworldly sociocultural reality (Yang 2006). Despite the fact that the film was widely acclaimed in Greater China and the UK (NYU 2010), it left a number of Nuosu with mixed feel-

ings – especially those Nuosu scholars, artists, and politicians who had been involved in its preparation and production (including Bamo Ayi) and had secretly hoped that the film would further promote "bimo culture" as a platform for Nuosu culture in Liangshan.

Through the collective efforts of Shen Luqing and others, "bimo culture" has come to entail not only a revised notion of "civilisation" but has also undergone a smooth integration into broader plans for tourism and economic development. Only a few years ago, the bimo in Liangshan were represented by few scholarly publications and an underwhelming permanent exhibition at the "bimo culture" hall at the Liangshanzhou Yizu Nuli Bowuguan (officially translated as Museum of Slave Society of Yi Nationality in Liangshan prefecture). Since then, Shen has turned the bimo into a veritable presence in local Liangshan cultural politics. In 2011 new plans for marketing the bimo were underway. Shen is apparently launching a new 10-year tourism development plan for Liangshan, which may also include the infamous "bimo culture village".

"Bimo Culture" as Intangible Cultural Heritage

The developments described above have recently found their theoretical and empirical catharsis in the quest to have "bimo culture" included in China's national intangible cultural heritage (ICH) list (TZB n.d.). As a first step towards this goal, bimo scriptures were accepted into China's heritage archives in March 2010 (see Wang 2010). According to a recent publication on the "ICH character" of "bimo culture", Bamo Ayi's definition of "bimo culture" is the primary of two main "misunderstandings" that are "obstructing" the ICH application of "bimo culture", as well as its protection (Xie 2010: 2). The second "misunderstanding" is that "bimo culture" has been falsely taken for "feudal superstition".

Although the term "bimo culture" is maintained, there is a clear deviation from Bamo Ayi's definition. Xie confirms the denomination of the bimo as the main religious practitioners as well as their "ritual and folk knowledge". He also maintains the notion of the bimo as intellectuals and their role as "protectors" and "promoters" of "Yi culture" (Xie 2010: 4). Yet although "bimo culture" is understood as having been "created by the bimo", the vital distinction between Xie and Bamo Ayi lies in the latter's equation of "bimo culture" with "reli-

gious culture". Moreover, Bamo Ayi promoted differentiating between "bimo culture" and "folk culture". Xie, however, reintegrates the latter into the former – thus locating "bimo culture" as a "culture" "at the heart of Yi folk-transmitted culture" (Xie 2010: 5).

This redefined notion of "bimo culture" allows Xie and the group of co-authors to adapt it to the factors determining and legitimising an application for protection and development through ICH status. At the same time, it represents a more comprehensive solution to the problem of situating religion and its stigmatisation (for instance as mixin or "feudal superstition"). Through the erasure of Bamo Avi's earlier distinction of "bimo culture" as a type of "high" culture and "folk culture", the new ICH-acceptable definition for the first time incorporates different notions of "culture" as they have increasingly been used in a bimo and Yi (Nuosu) cultural discourse since at least the mid-1990s. Specifically, Xie's publication not only re-evaluates the value and importance of bimo scriptures - and Yi script in general for "Yi culture", it also includes references to other (inherently Chinese) cultural vocabularies (such as the notion of yuanshengtai) that have both marked and demarcated ethnic minority cultural discourse since 2003. In Xie's treatise Liangshan reverts back to the "rare and only 'bimo culture primitive natural environment' in China" (Xie 2010: 2).

An extended definition of "bimo culture" also allows for the inclusion of other aspects, which were formerly omitted or altered in the Bamo sisters' definition – thus enhancing its potential for ICH status. For example, bimo chants and chanting are included as artistic characteristics under the category of "artistic value" or "music and dance value" and are deemed particularly relevant as a basis for the study of folk-song traditions in an Yi context (Xie 2010: 32). Relating this last point back to the Bamo sisters' delineation between "bimo culture" and "shamanism", chanting here becomes an art rather than a religious or spiritual device, thus further confining it within politically and culturally acceptable terms.

"Bimo Culture" versus the Bimo?

Political displacement and redirection (Schechner 2003) of *bimo* practice may prove to be a strong factor in the continuing decline of local folk *bimo* practice in Liangshan. Interestingly, the results of this trend have recently been criticised from within the ranks of Nuosu *bimo*

scholars. In 2005 Nuosu linguist Munai Reha pointed out the necessity to identify those parts of local "bimo cultural resources" which "should be preserved and saved before development" and claimed that these "resources should be reasonably developed" in order to avoid the risk that "the balance of the local cultural ecology will be broken" (Munai 2005). Munai Reha's master's student Sha Zhijun makes a similar argument – although he sees "outside forces" (Sha 2006) rather than emic (scholarly) or local efforts negatively impacting "bimo culture" in Meigu.

In an interview in August 2011, Gaha Shizhe lamented the steady and "inexplicable" decline of the number of practising bimo in Meigu since the first bimo census by the MCBCRC in 1996 (Interview 1). The first census revealed that there were 8,680 bimo in Meigu alone, which saw Meigu County account for the highest density of bimo amongst all counties in Liangshan. However, subsequent censuses in 2002 and 2010 showed that the number of practising bimo had dropped to around 5,000 and then 2,973, respectively (Gaha 2004; Interview 1). For Gaha, though, this demise in practice is not the most worrisome aspect, but rather the drastic decline in the depth of traditional knowledge of the scriptures and rituals. The younger bimo increasingly extend and ameliorate rituals to accommodate both clients' needs and hide the fact that they lack the expertise required for these rituals. Gaha also laments the deterioration in copying and in the number of available bimo scriptures.

Rural and semi-urban Nuosu still consult the *bimo* in all matters pertaining to life, death, illness, and everyday good fortune. I have not been able to find out whether the *bimo* are still asked, willing, and/ or able to perform cursing rituals. For the common people as much as for Nuosu officials in Liangshan, the *bimo* are a part of everyday life and the masters of the important annual good-luck rituals every Nuosu family in and outside of Liangshan is expected by tradition to perform. In the county town of Bapu, there are at least two places where *bimo* and *monyi* offer their services on a daily basis. In Xichang, Shengli Daqiao ("Victory Bridge") has become a meeting point for *bimo*. Here, they provide various consulting services for a range of fees. From conversations with younger Nuosu, I understood that the *bimo* has come to act as a type of *minjian/ minzu xinliyisheng* (民间/民族心理医生, "folk psychologist") in this public function.

Figure 2: A *bimo* Offering Consultation on the Side of the Road, Xinqu, Bapu Town, Meigu County



Source: Olivia Kraef, April 2006.

The evident rapid decline in the number of *bimo* and *bimo* knowledge and scriptures in Liangshan demands an explanation. Compulsory nine-year state education and migration have certainly all contributed to ruptures in the transmission and lineage of *bimo* practice and knowledge. Even alternative, non-hereditary lineage practices such as apprenticeships (Bamo Ayi 2001: 122f) cannot bridge this generation and knowledge gap. Although *bimo* practice has for many become a

much more lucrative business than it was perhaps ten or more years ago, it still does not offer young Nuosu men the same level of economic promise as does migration to larger cities, such as Chengdu and Guangzhou. Also, the influx of modern media has opened up a new world to youngsters. For young Nuosu men, the desire for modernity and development, which television and the Internet carry into Liangshan's remote corners, may be stronger than the wish to commit their lives to becoming a da bimo (大毕摩, great bimo). The question also remains as to whether the introduction of the new simplified writing system as part of the Nuosu language reform has not also severely impacted the continuation of written bimo tradition in Liangshan (Kraef 2013).

But *bimo* practice, knowledge, and cohesion are not undermined only by policies and developments that run contrary to their persistence and maintenance, but also – ironically – by those Nuosu academics and public figures most versed in *bimo* knowledge who do not recognise the legitimacy of *bimo* practice and instead continue to consider it *mixin*. Ironic, too, are the diminishing number of *bimo* scriptures in Meigu and the underwhelming exhibit of the "*bimo* culture" showroom in the Liangshan Slave Museum if one considers the rumours that old scriptures which survived the large-scale scripture burnings of the Cultural Revolution were on sale in some backyard in Xichang for a lot of money until only a few years ago.

Conclusion: Yiology, Bimology, and the Canonisation of Yi (Nuosu) Culture

Parallel to groundbreaking new policies, the re-ethnification of ethnic and local cultures, and the revival of religious activity across China in the early 1980s, Nuosu religion in Liangshan has undergone a rapid reappraisal and restimulation at both the local and folk levels. Most pronouncedly, it has also become an integral part of scholarly, official, and developmental discourses. At the official level, Nuosu ritual life is directly subsumed under the idea of the *bimo* as intellectuals, religious specialists, and practitioners who symbolise the core of Nuosu (and Yi) culture as a whole. Accordingly, Bamo Ayi's (2003) comprehensive formulation of "*bimo* culture" on the basis of a revisited, originally etic hermeneutics has since become a very heterogeneous

concept and tool in a variety of different academic, official, and economic settings.

The process of reconfiguring the notion of Nuosu religion as "bimo culture" is grounded on inherently conflicting interpretations and approaches. Ma Xueliang engaged in extended participation in bimo life in Liangshan, but his focus was rather on the translation and description of bimo scriptures and rituals, and his interpretation of the social status of bimo was based on an essentially hermeneutic approach to culture. For him, it was the texts (scriptures), rather than the social practices, which constituted the basis of an understanding of "Yi culture" and which located the bimo at the core of Nuosu society. Studies such as that by Ma Changshou (Ma, Li, and Zhou 2006), however, found that bimo practice and faith were in decline even before the founding of the People's Republic of China in 1949. The significant decrease in the number of practising bimo and the diminishing scope of religious practice in Liangshan can be interpreted with arguments apart from those which solely blame post-1949 Chinese politics.

Consequently, "bimo culture" is not just a top-down phenomenon which can be exclusively understood in terms of a state-minority dichotomy. Rather, Nuosu elites are employing state policies and discourses to create an emic displacement of religious activity with the aim of re-establishing their own ideas of culture, and for the purpose of (re-)creating a cultural canon. This canon is as much a vehicle for expanding these elites' (local) power as it is for promoting development at the local level. Also, the bimo as an isolated "cultural" phenomenon and their new position as representatives of their people's culture and identity has been implemented as a welcome instrument for the decentring of the politically and culturally irreconcilable role still played by the Nuosu clans in the determination of Nuosu individual and group identity. By definition, this process of canonising the bimo and "Yi culture" implies an exclusion of sorts - at the very least it entails a circumnavigation of problematic terminology such as "religion". It also involves the elites' active attempts to reconfigure a heritage of derogatory aspects stemming from the ethnic classification in the 1950s (e.g. being denoted as "backward" or a "slave society") – something the Nuosu have been grappling with for more than half a century – in order to accommodate these into improved terms of historical and cultural imagery, or even overcome them.

Apart from the ideological implications of its terminological development, the emic, hermeneutic, and economic-cultural (performative) displacement and reconfiguration of "bimo culture" - and the aims associated with it – have not always been smooth or stringent. Scholarly disputes continue to run parallel to each other, rather than in direct confrontation, and are constantly reshuffled together with the influences of economic and tourism development in order to produce yet more possible uses for "bimo culture". The internal reconfiguration of the bimo's universe as "bimo culture", the rehabilitation of the bimo as intellectuals, and the recognition of their spiritual world as a scientifically (科学, kexue) acceptable entity prevented the bimo from being rehabilitated as part of a religious system. Although the concept of "bimo culture" as a distinct "culture" vis-à-vis similar constructs (such as "Dongba culture" and "shaman culture") helped secure the foundations for official acceptance of and funding for local ("cultural") development, it further weakened the already fragmented collective stance of non-mainstream faiths and philosophies in China. The decision against launching a joint cause with "northern shamanism" is in line with the Liangshan elites' desire to have their very own cultural definition, which can aid their ambitions to expand their personal power. By creating their own "bimo culture" cause, the Nuosu – and perhaps all Yi – are elevated to a more "civilised" notion of spiritual life. Expanding the demarcations of its cultural contents (e.g. scriptures, art, etc.), as in Xie's treatise on "bimo culture" as ICH, also generates greater visibility and greater potential for financial and structural support from the government at the provincial and central levels. Even the category "religious culture", which "bimo culture" is awarded in the ICH treatise, is not so much an effort to reintroduce Nuosu religion into Yi cultural discourse vis-à-vis the state, but rather an attempt to set "bimo culture" apart from the (lingering) notion of "feudal superstition" amongst the Yi. This differentiation prolongs rather than resolves the fundamental issue of bimo faith as it continues to exist at folk level in Liangshan today.

The heterogeneity of creating and cementing "bimo culture" as a (cultural and identity) canon has therefore weakened the already diminished importance of the bimo in daily Liangshan life and for Nuosu (Yi) culture as a whole. By becoming yet another "cultural" format, the bimo are in fact losing power rather than gaining it. Judging by the relevant statistics, bimo practice is on the road to extinction. Efforts

such as those by Bamo Erha and his daughters to describe, develop, and popularise a revitalisation of the bimo beyond notions of "feudal superstition", or at least beyond scholarly and gender restrictions, may have even accelerated the demise of bimo practice and knowledge. The bimo's loss of power, or their displacement, and the decentralisation and successive reconfiguration of Nuosu religion and the bimo by the state and elites, on the one hand, and the Nuosu folk level, on the other, are placing Nuosu ritual life at great risk of cultural assimilation. The demise of the bimo can thus be considered a consequence of a displacing and decentring scholarly and cultural discourse that has rendered "bimo culture" a spectacle which is arbitrarily reproduced for economic and political purposes, such as local development drives and achieving ICH status. This discourse, rather than local modernisation or the influx of state schooling and modern medicine, may lie at the core of dwindling religious practice at the local level. Ironically, an eventual inclusion of "bimo culture" into the ICH ranks may prove its final downfall.

References

- ADCA see Beijing Association of Dongba Culture and Arts
- Aniu, Shiri, and Wuye Jilang (eds) (2007), 凉山毕摩 (*Liangshan Bimo*), Hangzhou: 浙江人民出版社 (Zhejiang renmin chubanshe, Zhejiang People's Publishing House).
- Bamo, Ayi, Stevan Harrell, and Lunzy Ma (2007), Fieldwork Connections: The Fabric of Ethnographic Collaboration in China and America, Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Bamo, Ayi (2003), 关于彝族毕摩文化研究的几个问题 (Guanyu Yizu Bimo wenhua yanjiu de jige wenti, Issues in Yi Bimo Culture Research, in: Qingxia Dai (ed.), 中国彝学,第二辑 (Zhongguo Yixue, di'er ji, Chinese Yi Studies, Volume 2), Beijing: 民族出版社 (Minzu chubanshe, Minorities Publishing House), 104—117. (Reprint of the same article by Bamo Ayi, which first appeared in 凉山彝学 (Liangshan Yixue, Liangshan Yi Studies (1997), 3).
- Bamo, Ayi (2001), On the Nature and Transmission of Bimo Knowledge in Liangshan, in: Stevan Harrell (ed.), *Perspectives on the Yi of Southwest China*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 118–131.

- Bamo, Qubumo (2001), Traditional Nuosu Origin Narratives: A Case Study of Ritualized Epos in Bimo Incantation Scriptures. Oral Tradition, 16, 2, 453–479, online: http://journal.oraltradition.org/files/articles/16ii/Bamo.pdf (29 April 2013).
- Baqie, Rihuo (2004), 毕摩宗教与生态互动 (Bimo zongjiao yu shengtai hudong, Mutual Action of Bimo Religion and Ecology, in: 凉山民族研究 (*Liangshan Minzu Yanjiu, Liangshan Nationalities Studies*), 12, 136–144.
- Beijing Association of Dongba Culture and Arts (no date), Information of the "Beijing Association of Dongba Culture and Arts (ADCA)" on Dongba Culture, online: www.dongba-culture.com/adca/ADCA-English.htm (10 December 2013).
- CASS see Chinese Academy of Social Sciences
- China Northeast Government Xinhuawang (2007), 中国北方萨满文 化原生态展演在吉林举行 (Zhongguo beifang Saman wenhua yuan-shengtai zhanyan zai Jilin juxing, Primitive Show of Northern Chinese Shaman Culture Held in Jilin), online: http://chinaneast.xinhuanet.com/2007-05/01/content_9944080.htm (10 December 2013).
- Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (2005a), The 4th International Conference on Yi Studies: 毕摩文化 (Bimo Wenhua, Bimo culture), online: http://iel.cass.cn/yistudies/zjmg/bmwh.htm (10 December 2013).
- Chinese Academy of Social Science (2005b), IEL Research Base in Moggu: Kenre as Verbal Dueling: 毕摩文化 (Bimo wenhua, Bimo Culture), online: http://iel.cass.cn/read.asp?channelid=348 (29 April 2013).
- Gaha, Shizhe (2004), 谈诺苏毕摩文化的旅游及开发利用 (Tan Nuosu Bimo wenhua de lüyou ji kaifa liyong, Talking about the Tourist Function, Development and Utilization of Nuosu Bimo Culture), in: Anduo Wei (ed.), 凉山彝族文化艺术研究 (Liangshan Yizu Wenhua Yishu Yanjiu, The Research of the Culture and Art of Yi Nationality in Liangshan), Chengdu: 四川民族出版社 (Sichuan minzu chubanshe, Sichuan Ethnic Publishing House), 103–106.
- Harrell, Stevan (2001a), *Perspectives on the Yi of Southwest China*, Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Harrell, Stevan (2001b), Ways of Beijing Ethnic in Southwest China, Seattle: University of Washington Press.

Olivia Kraef Olivia Kraef

Harrell, Stevan (2000a), Yi Studies as a Social and Historical Field, unpublished document, online: http://faculty.washington.edu/stevehar/yistudies.html (29 April 2013).

- Harrell, Stevan (2000b), The Survival of Nuosu Culture, in: Stevan Harrell, Bamo Qubomo and Erzi Ma (eds), *Mountain Patterns The Survival of Nuosu Culture*, Seattle: University of Washington Press, 3–9.
- Heberer, Thomas (2006), Religiöse Auffassungen, in: Thomas Heberer and Anja-Desiree Senz (eds), *Chinas Volk der großen kühlen Berge Die Yi gestern und heute*, Duisburg: Kultur- und Stadthistorisches Museum Duisburg, 52–55.
- Interview 1 (2011), with Gaha Shizhe, former head of the Meigu County Bimo Culture Research Centre (美姑县毕摩文化研究中心, Meigu Xian Bimo Wenhua Yanjiu Zhongxin; hereafter, MCBCRC), Meigu, August.
- Interview 2 (2002), with Bamo Qubumo, senior researcher at Chinese Academy of Social Sciences/Institute of Ethnic Literature (among others), at her sister Bamo Ayi's Beijing home, March.
- Kraef, Olivia (2013), Building Yi (M)other Tongue Virtual Platforms, Language Maintenance and Cultural Awareness in a Chinese Minority Context, in: Erich Kasten and Tjeerd de Graaf (eds), Sustaining Indigenous Knowledge: Learning Tools and Community Initiatives to Preserve Endangered Languages and Local Cultural Heritage, Berlin: SEC Publications Exhibitions & Symposia Series, 219–248.
- Kraef, Olivia (2012), Spiel mit dem Feuer Chinas immaterielles Kulturerbe und der Schutz von Minderheitenkultur am Beispiel des Liangshan Yi Fackelfestivals, in: *Das Argument 296 Schönes neues China*, Hamburg: Argument Verlag, 154–166.
- Li, Lie (2006), 民族想像与学术选择—彝族研究现代学术的建立 (Minzu xiangxiang yu xueshu xuanze Yizu yanjiu xiandai xueshu de jianli, Yi Studies/ Ethnic Imagination and Intellectual Choice: Reflection on the Foundation of Modern Scholarship on Yi Studies in China), Beijing: 人民出版社 (Renmin Chubanshe, People's Publishing House).
- Lin, Yaohua (1995), 凉山彝家的巨变 (*Liangshan Yijia de Jubian, The Lolo of Liangshan*), Beijing: 商务出版社 (Shangwu chubanshe, Commercial Press).

- Lu, Wanfa (1999), 毕摩文化与毕摩教育关系初探一 浅谈彝族教育发展史 (Bimo wenhua yu Bimo jiaoyu guanxi chutan qiantan Yizu jiaoyu fazhanshi, Initial Research on the History of the Relationship between Bimo Culture and Bimo Education), in: 民族教育研究 (Minzu Jiaoyu Yanjiu, Journal of Research on Education for Ethnic Minorities), 1, 28-32.
- Ma, Changshou, Shaoming Li, and Weizhou Zhou (2006), 凉山罗彝考察报告 (*Liangshan Luo Yi Kaocha Baogao*, Report on the Investigation of the Liangshan Luo Yi), Chengdu: 四川出版社 (Sichuan chubanshe, Sichuan Publishing Group).
- Ma, Erzi (2000), The Bimo, Their Books, and Their Ritual Implements, in: Stevan Harrell, Bamo Qubumo and Ma Erzi (eds), *Mountain Patterns The Survival of Nuosu Culture*, Seattle: University of Washington Press, 51–57.
- Meng, Huiying (2009), 再论原始宗教 (Zailun yuanshi zongjiao, Further Discussion on Primitive Religion), in: Huiying Meng (ed.), 宗教信仰与民族文化, 第二辑 (Zongjiao xinyang yu minzu wenhua, di'er ji, Religious Faith and Ethnic Culture (Volume 2)), Beijing: 社会科学文献出版社 (Shehui kexue wenxian chubanshe, Social Sciences Academic Press), 1–17.
- Mi, Wuzuo (2004), 试析毕摩文化旅游资源的开发和利用 (Shixi Bimo wenhua lüyou ziyuan de kaifa he liyong, Exploitation and Utilization of Bimo Culture Tourist Resources), in: 凉山民族研究 (Liangshan Minzu Yanjiu, Liangshan Nationalities Studies), 12, 145—153.
- Mueggler, Erik (2001), The Age of Wild Ghosts: Memory, Violence, and Place in Southwest China, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Munai, Reha (2005), 毕摩文化及其保护与开发 (Bimo wenhua ji qi baohu yu kaifa, Bimo Culture and Its Preservation and Development), in: Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Yi Studies: Bimo Practice, Traditional Knowledge, and Ecosystem Sustainability in the 21st Century, Meigu, 134—135.
- NYU (2010), On the Edge of Documentary in China: The Films of Yang Rui at NYU, online: http://dgeneratefilms.com/tag/bimo-records (20 January 2014).
- Olson, James Stuart (1998), An Ethnohistorical Dictionary of China, Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.
- Schechner, Richard (2003), Performance Theory, New York: Routledge.

Sha, Zhijun (2006), 美姑彝族毕摩文化社会功能及其变迁 (Meigu Yizu Bimo wenhua shehui gongneng ji qi bianqian, Social Function and Change of Meigu Yi Bimo Culture), Beijing: 中央民族大学硕士学位论文 (Zhongyang minzu daxue shuoshi xuewei lunwen, Minzu University Masters Degree Thesis), online: <www.docin.com/p-186 836024.html> (29 April 2013).

Tianzhaobei (no date), 从毕摩文献申遗成功探究彝族毕摩文化的进一步保存的传承 (Cong Bimo wenxian shenyi chenggong tanjiu Yizu Bimo wenhua de jinyibu baocun he chuancheng, Discussion on Further Steps towards Protection and Transmission of Bimo Culture Based on the Successful Application of Bimo Scriptures for Inclusion as Intangible Cultural Heritage), online: <www.tiaozhanbei.net/project/514/> (29 April 2013).

TZB see Tianzhaobei

- Walter, Mariko Namba, and Eva Jane Neumann (eds) (2004), Shamanism: An Encyclopaedia of World Beliefs, Practices, and Culture (2 Volumes), Santa Barbara: ABC-Clio.
- Wang, Huijing (2010), 毕摩文献一入选名录, 并非终点 (Bimo wenxian ruxuan minglu bingfei zhongdian, Accepting Bimo Scriptures into the Directory is Only the Beginning), online: http://iel.cass.cn/news_show.asp?newsid=8395&pagecount=2 (29 April 2013).
- Xie, Shijian (ed.) (2010), 凉山彝族毕摩文化的非物质文化遗产性及法律保护机制研究 (Liangshan Yizu Bimo wenhua de feiwuzhi wenhua yichanxing ji falii baohu jizhi yanjiu, Study on Intangible Cultural Heritage Aspects of Liangshan Yi Bimo Culture and Its Legal Protection), Chengdu: 电子科技大学出版社 (Dianzi keji daxue chubanshe, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China Press).
- Yang, Rui (2006), Yang Rui in Interview with Wu Shuohua (10B), 毕摩纪一"杨蕊的浪漫与纪实" (Bimo ji "Yang Rui de Langman yu jishi", The Bimo Records (The Chinese Tribal Myth): Yang Rui's "Romanticism" and Recording), in: 大众 DV (*Dazhong DV*, *Popular Digital Video*), 17–27.
- Zeng, Ming, Luo Qu, Aniu Shiri, and Jilang Wuye (2004), 大凉山美姑彝族民间艺术研究 (Da Liangshan Meigu Yizu minjian yishu yanjiu, Greater Liangshan Meigu County Yi Folk Culture Research), Chengdu:四川民族出版社 (Sichuan minzu chubanshe, Sichuan Ethnic Publishing House).
- Zhongguo Minzu Bao (中国民族报, China Ethnic News) (2006), 毕摩文化与国际彝学一彝族学者巴莫曲布嫫访谈录 (Bimo wenhua yu

- guoji Yixue Yizu xuezhe Bamo Qubumo fangtanlu, Bimo Culture and International Yi Studies An Interview with Yi Scholar Bamo Qubumo), online: http://222.210.17.136/mzwz/news/20/z_20_3627.html (3 September 2013).
- Zhongyang Minzu Daxue Shaoshuminzu Yuyan Wenxue Xi (中央民族大学少数民族语言文学系, CUN Department of Minority Languages and Literatures) (2012), 中央民族大学四川凉山彝族毕摩文化调研团,媒体报到: 沉醉毕摩文化,领略彝乡风情 (Zhongyang Minzu Daxue Sichuan Liangshan Yizu Bimo Wenhua diaoyantuan, meiti baodao: Chenzui Bimo Wenhua, linglüe Yixiang fengqing, Media Report of the Liangshan Yi Bimo Culture Research Team of Minzu University of China: Immerse Oneself in Bimo Culture, Appreciate Local Yi Customs), online: httml> (10 December 2013).
- ZMD-SYW see Zhongyang Minzu Daxue Shaoshuminzu Yuyan Wenxue Xi

Contents

The Entanglement between Science and Politics

Edi	torial	
-	Karsten GIESE Editorial	3
Intr	roduction	
•	Sascha KLOTZBÜCHER Western-Chinese Academic Collaboration in the Social Sciences	7
Ana	alyses	
•	Heike HOLBIG Shifting Ideologics of Research Funding: The CPC's National Planning Office for Philosophy and Social Sciences	13
-	Doris FISCHER The Impact of Changing Incentives in China on International Cooperation in Social Science Research on China	33
•	Josef Gregory MAHONEY Changes in International Research Cooperation in China: Positive Perspectives	47
•	Sascha KLOTZBÜCHER "Embedded Research" in Collaborative Fieldwork	65
•	Christian GÖBEL Let's Not Go There: Coping with (Pre-) Selection Bias in Collaborative Field Research	87

Contents Contents

Research Articles

•	KAO Ya-ning Religious Revival among the Zhuang People in China: Practising "Superstition" and Standardizing a Zhuang Religion	107
	Olivia KRAEF Of Canons and Commodities: The Cultural Predicaments of Nuosu-Yi "Bimo Culture"	145
•	Martin SAXER Re-Fusing Ethnicity and Religion: An Experiment on Tibetan Grounds	181
Со	ntributors	205