

## Aethiopica 20 (2017)

# International Journal of Ethiopian and Eritrean Studies

\_\_\_\_\_

MICHAEL KNIBB, King's College London (Emeritus)

## Article

Textual Commentary on the Ethiopic Text of Ezekiel 1–11

Aethiopica 20 (2017), 7-49

ISSN: 1430-1938

Edited in the Asien-Afrika-Institut Hiob Ludolf Zentrum für Äthiopistik der Universität Hamburg Abteilung für Afrikanistik und Äthiopistik

by Alessandro Bausi

in cooperation with

Bairu Tafla, Ulrich Braukämper, Ludwig Gerhardt, Hilke Meyer-Bahlburg and Siegbert Uhlig

## Textual Commentary on the Ethiopic Text of Ezekiel 1-11\*

MICHAEL KNIBB, King's College London (Emeritus)

#### Introduction

The aim of the following article is to provide a textual commentary on the Gə<sup>c</sup>əz text of Ezekiel 1–11. It is based on the text in my critical edition of the book and is intended to complement that edition and also what is said in my Schweich Lectures, *Translating the Bible: the Ethiopic Version of the Old Testament*.<sup>1</sup>

The translation of the Book of Ezekiel into Classical Ethiopic belongs at some point in the period that lasted from the mid-fourth to the early seventh century,<sup>2</sup> during which all the biblical books were translated into Ethiopic, although it is unlikely that Ezekiel was translated early in this process. Thirty-seven manuscripts of this text are known at present to exist, and these range in date from the first half of the fifteenth century to the twentieth century. There is thus a gap of approximately one thousand years between the date of the translation of Ezekiel and the date of the oldest manuscript of the text (Tānāsee 9).

The manuscripts can be divided on the basis of their age, the extent of the text they cover, and of whether their text reflects knowledge of the Hebrew original, into two main groups, Eth I and Eth II, containing respectively an older and a younger type of text. The manuscripts belonging to Eth I date mainly from the fifteenth and sixteenth century and have a considerably abbreviated text in chapters 42–48 in comparison with the Greek and Hebrew. The Eth II manuscripts date from after approximately 1600 and have a complete text in chapters 42–48. In addition, the text contained in the Eth

- \* The Greek text of Ezekiel is quoted from Ziegler's edition of the Greek text and is used by permission. The Hebrew text of Ezekiel is quoted from *Biblia Hebraica Stuttgartensia*, edited by Karl Elliger and Wilhelm Rudolph, 5th revised edn, edited by Adrian Schenker, © 1977 and 1997 Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, Stuttgart, used by permission. The fifteen Ethiopic manuscripts discussed in the article are identified by their shelf marks, and it is hoped that these will be self-explanatory. A list of the manuscripts and their locations is given at the end of the article.
- <sup>1</sup> Respectively Knibb 2015 and Knibb 1999.
- <sup>2</sup> For what follows, see Knibb 2015, 1–37; Knibb 1999, 11–46.

II manuscripts, in contrast to that of the Eth I manuscripts, can clearly be seen to have been revised against the Hebrew.

Of the thirty-seven manuscripts of Ezekiel, fifteen were collated by me for the edition, namely all eleven manuscripts with the older type of text that were known to me at the time I commenced work on the edition plus two each from the seventeenth and the eighteenth century as representatives of the younger type of text.

There are numerous variants within the Eth I manuscripts, and these include a significant number of textual variants. On the basis of the conjunctive errors within them, the manuscripts can be divided into four families. Family 1 consists of Ṭānāsee 9 (first half of the fifteenth century) and two manuscripts from Gundä Gunde, EMML 26 (fifteenth century) and EMML 25 (early sixteenth century). As noted, Ṭānāsee 9 is the oldest manuscript of Ezekiel that we possess, and its age gives the text it contains a particular significance. But it does contain numerous mistakes and idiosyncratic readings that are not present in EMML 26 and EMML 25.

Family 2 consists of Berlin Petermann II, Nachtr. 42, British Library Or. 501 (both from the fifteenth century), EMML 2080 (perhaps from about 1500) and EMML 2082 (sixteenth or seventeenth century). It will be apparent that this type of text was in circulation at approximately the same time as Family 1, and it is difficult to determine the precise relationship between the two types of text, which both probably had their origins in the fourteenth century in the literary revival that began during the reign of 'Amdä Ṣəyon and is associated with the traditions about *Abba* Sälama 'the translator'. There are a number of double readings in the manuscripts of Family 1 that in some cases reflect influence from a Syriac-based Arabic version, and these readings are generally not present in the manuscripts of Family 2. However, in terms of agreement with the Greek text, it does appear that Family 1 is older.

The three manuscripts that belong to Family 3—Abbadianus 55, EMML 1768 and a manuscript from Aksum Səyon—all date from around 1500 and contain a text that has been revised in comparison with the other older manuscripts. In most cases the revisions seem to have been intended to provide a smoother text, but it is possible that some of the revisions may already reflect knowledge of the Hebrew text.

Family 4 consists of only one manuscript, Cambridge Add. 1570, which is dated to 1588/1589. This manuscript clearly belongs with Eth I and has links with the manuscripts of Family 2, particularly with Berlin Petermann II, Nachtr. 42. But equally clearly it has a text that has been revised against the Hebrew, and this is evident throughout the text, but particularly in chapters 40–48. This raises the question of the relationship of this text to

that of the Eth II manuscripts. It seems likely that the Hebraizing revisions to the text of Cambridge Add. 1570 and to the text present in the Eth II manuscripts were produced independently of one another, but there is nonetheless some evidence to suggest that one was produced in the light of the other.

The younger group of manuscripts (Eth II) consists of those dating from the seventeenth century onwards, which, almost without exception, have a Hebraizing text.<sup>3</sup> Manuscripts of this group have a significant number of readings in common with the manuscripts of Family 3, and the Eth II text can be seen to be based on, and to represent a further development of, the text of Family 3.

The Ethiopic version of Ezekiel, like that of the other biblical books, was made from a Greek text. This is evident from the way in which it follows the Septuagint and its word order very closely, from the existence of numerous transliterations from the Greek, and from the mistranslations that can only be explained from the Greek. It has long been recognized that the Ethiopic version of Ezekiel belongs in fact with the Alexandrian text (the A-text), of which Codex Alexandrinus (A) is the prime witness. Amongst the minuscules allied to Codex Alexandrinus, the Ethiopic text of Ezekiel frequently agrees with the pair 106–410, but there is also clear evidence of agreement with 534, the close ally of 130. Both 534 and 130 belong to the Catena group; it is 130 that Ziegler, the editor of Ezekiel in the Göttingen edition of the Septuagint, identified as being the most closely related of the minuscules to the Ethiopic Ezekiel.<sup>4</sup>

The manuscripts of Families 1 and 2 give us access to the Ethiopic text of Ezekiel as it existed in the fifteenth century—at the earliest, in the case of Tānāsee 9, in about 1400—and reflect an ongoing process of revision whose origins, as suggested above, can be placed in the fourteenth century, in the literary revival that began during the reign of 'Amdä Ṣəyon. Many of the changes that were made were of the kind that are spontaneously introduced whenever texts are copied by hand and were no doubt intended to improve the text. But quite apart from these changes, there is evidence that the text was revised in the light of the Syriac-based Arabic version. This can be seen in the double readings that occur, particularly in the manuscripts of Family 1, and in agreements in vocabulary and syntax.<sup>5</sup> However, in contrast to what occurred in the case of Jeremiah, where extensive additions were made

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The four manuscripts collated as representatives of Eth II are Abbadianus 35, British Library Add. 24, 991, British Library Or. 502 and British Library Or. 504.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ziegler 1977, 19; cf. Knibb 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Knibb 1999, 29–35.

to the original Ethiopic text from the Syro-Arabic version, in the case of Ezekiel revision on the basis of a Syro-Arabic text appears to have occurred sporadically and not to have been systematic.<sup>6</sup>

The manuscripts of Family 3 provide further evidence of revision, probably during the fifteenth century, and reflect the text as it existed at about 1500. As already observed, it was this type of text that was revised against the Hebrew to produce the text present in the Eth II manuscripts. The Hebraizing character of the latter text, like that of the text of the Cambridge manuscript, is evident throughout the book, but is much more obvious in chapters 40–48, while in chapters 1–39 it is more marked in the Eth II text than in the Cambridge text. It can be seen in the correction of the text against the Hebrew (the Masoretic text) and in the filling, in the light of the Hebrew, of the substantial gaps present in chapters 42–48 in the Eth I text. It can also be seen in the use of constructions or vocabulary that reflect those of the Masoretic text, and in the use, particularly in chapters 40-48, of transliterations from the Hebrew. It is the closeness of some of the transliterations to the Hebrew original that makes improbable the suggestion that influence from the Hebrew was mediated through an Arabic original such as the translation of Sa<sup>c</sup>adya Ga<sup>c</sup>on. The date of the Cambridge manuscript (1588/1589) strongly suggests that its text belongs in the sixteenth century, and the same is probably true of the process of revision that culminated in the Eth II text.

As a note to the reader, I would like to add that, in early September 2017, Ted Erho informed me that he had just found in Leiden University Library a fragmentary manuscript with the Ethiopic text of Ezekiel 37:23–48:13 that was perhaps datable on palaeographic grounds to the late thirteenth or early fourteenth century. The manuscript is thus at least a century older than the oldest manuscript of the Ethiopic Ezekiel that was known previously, namely Tānāsee 9 (see the Introduction above). The manuscript is very interesting because of its age, and I plan to publish a study of it and of its significance as soon as possible. I am very grateful to Ted Erho for informing me of the existence of the manuscript and to the staff of the Leiden University Library for their kind assistance.

## Sigla

The sigla used for the Ethiopic manuscripts and for the other witnesses are the same as in my edition:

```
<sup>6</sup> Knibb 2014, 505–507.
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Cf. Löfgren 1927, xlvi.

Table 1 List of Sigla

MS Group	Sigla	Manuscript
Eth I	Т9	Ţānāsee 9
Eth I	В	Berlin Petermann II, Nachtrag 42
Eth I	L1	British Library Or. 501
Eth I	A1	Abbadianus 55
Eth I	E1	EMML 26
Eth I	E2	EMML 25
Eth I	E3	EMML 2080
Eth I	E4	EMML 2082
Eth I	E5	EMML 1768
Eth I	С	Cambridge Add. 1570
Eth I	AS	Aksum Şəyon MS
Eth II	A2	Abbadianus 35
Eth II	L2	British Library Add. 24, 991
Eth II	L3	British Library Or. 502
Eth II	L4	British Library Or. 504
Greek	ල	The text of the Septuagint as reconstructed in the Göttingen Septuagint <sup>8</sup>
Greek	В	Codex Vaticanus, a prime witness for the original Greek text
Greek	A	Codex Alexandrinus
Greek	O	The Hexaplaric (Origenic) recension
Greek	L	The Lucianic recension
Greek	С	The Catena group 9
Hebrew	$\mathfrak{M}$	The Masoretic text
Syriac	$\mathfrak{S}$	The Peshitta as edited in the Leiden edition 10
Arabic	રા	The Arabic text based on the Syriac version <sup>11</sup>

Ziegler 1977.
 The sigla used for other Greek manuscripts and textual groups are the same as in the Göttingen edition (Ziegler 1977).
 Mulder 1985.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The Syro-Arabic text is quoted from Bodleian Library MS. Arch Seld. A. 67, but it is not suggested that it was this particular manuscript that was used in the revision of the Ethiopic.

### **Textual Notes**

The following notes are intended mainly to register differences between the Ethiopic and the Greek texts and to comment on the relationship of the Ethiopic to the Greek and Hebrew texts and to the Syriac and Syriac-based Arabic versions; to comment on the vocabulary used in the Ethiopic Ezekiel and elsewhere in the Ethiopic Old Testament; and to discuss difficulties in the Ethiopic text.

1:2 በዓመት : ተዓመመ : ኢዮአቄም : ንጉሥ :] 'in the year of the going into exile of Ιωακιμ the king'; & τοῦτο τὸ ἔτος τὸ πέμπτον τῆς αἰχμαλωσίας τοῦ βασιλέως Ιωακιμ, cf. M and S A. (1) Eth omits τὸ πέμπτον and uses a verb for the Greek noun, cf. 33:21; 40:1. (2) The spelling ኢዮአቄም :, like the underlying Ιωακιμ, is ambiguous (cf. 4 Kgs 24:6), and the reference could be to Jehoiakim instead of Jehoiachin; C Eth II ኢ.ኮን.ዮን : more closely corresponds to יהויכין/יויכין.

**ΠΠ. Δ: 4-Ch:**] <sup>6</sup> ἐν γῆ Χαλδαίων, cf. <sup>M</sup> <sup>6</sup>. **4-Ch:** is used for Χαλδαίοι here and in 11:24; 12:13; 16:29; 23:14, 15, 16, 20, 23, and for ἀσσυρίοι in 23:5, 7, 9, 12, 23. 12

1:3 ΦΦλλ:] Θκαὶ ἐγένετο = M Θ A. Eth also uses Φλλ: as the equivalent of γίνεσθαι in 3:14, 22; 8:1; 33:22 (all with λλ: λημλημς: as subject), and in 17:7; 31:13; 37:7.

ሳዕሌየ :] =  $\mathfrak{G}$  ἐπ΄ ἐμέ; A-410 V-449 prefix ἐκεῖ, cf.  $\mathfrak{A}$ ; O (Q<sup>mg</sup>)-62 add ἐκεῖ =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{G}$ .

1:4 **Φር አ.h : שרא. וו** איז פּוֹסט καὶ ἰδού πνεῦμα ἐξαῖρον;  $\mathfrak M$  ווארא והנה רוח ארס, cf.  $\mathfrak S \mathfrak A$ . Eth omits καὶ ἰδού and ἐξαῖρον; for the latter, cf. 13:11, 13.

ምስሌሁ :] 🖰 ἐν αὐτῶ; M S A Eth II do not have this.

መመብረት : ... መብርሃን :] ও καὶ φέγγος ... καὶ φέγγος. Elsewhere in Ezekiel መብረት : is used for φέγγος in 1:28; 10:4, ብርሃን : in 1:13, 27.

<sup>12</sup> Cf. Löfgren 1927, xlviii, 114; Knibb 1999, 17.

መእሳት ፡ ይበርት ፡ አምኔሁ ፡]  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ πῦρ ἐξαστράπτον ( $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$  differ).  $\mathfrak{G}$  does not have **አምኔሁ ፡**, which was probably intended as a rendering of ἐξ-; cf. v.  $7.^{13}$ 

1:5 **μων: 18: ὑΠλλ: μων ὑ-ἐ-ἐ-ἐνν:**] & ὁμοίωμα ἀνθρώπου ἐπ' αὐτοῖς, cf. M. **18:**, the regular equivalent of πρόσωπον, is used here exceptionally in Ezekiel for ὁμοίωμα; elsewhere in Ezekiel **λምሳል:** (1:5a, 16; 2:1; 8:2; 10:8, 21), **σνόλ:** (23:15), and **hων:** (1:26; 8:3 (**hων: H**); 10:1) are used for ὁμοίωμα, or the word is omitted (1:22, 26bis; 10:10).

1:6 **ΦΛΛ : છૂંછ : אר : שַּטִּ : אוֹשׁטּ :** אוֹשׁ : אוֹשׁ : אוֹשׁ : אוֹשׁ בּסׁסמִם πρόσωπα τῷ ἑνί, καὶ τέσσαρες πτέρυγες τῷ ἑνί, cf.  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Eth, like  $\mathfrak{S}$  עוֹשׁלַט , does not separately represent the second occurrence of τῷ ἑνί.

1:7 እንሪሆም :] Θ τὰ σκέλη αὐτῶν; Μ רגליהם = Θ ચ. In 7:17 አባር : is used for μηρός, but elsewhere in Ezekiel λባር : is the regular equivalent for πούς.

መይበርት : አምኔሆም : መይበርር : ከም : አፍሔም : ርስን : ብርት :] 'and there flashed out from them and flew out as it were sparks of gleaming bronze'; Θ καὶ σπινθῆρες ὡς ἐξαστράπτων χαλκός; Μ לילי בעין נחשת קלל (Β καὶ σπινθῆρες ὡς ἐξαστράπτων χαλκός; Μ יבים בעין נחשת קלל (Β καὶ σπινθῆρες ὑς ἐξαστράπτων χαλκός; Μ יבים בעין נחשת קלל (Β καὶ σπινθῆρες ὑς ἐξαστράπτων καλκός; Μ יבים בעין נחשת קלל (Β καὶ εξαστράπτων (β καὶ ἐλαφτάπτων (β καὶ εξαστράπτων (β καὶ εξαφραί. Eth has guessed at the meaning (or was influenced by v. 18); elsewhere Eth renders appropriately by Φλ (Ε καὶ 18:26; Job 7:26) and Φλλ : (Job 9:25); cf. Job 24:18, χλλ :

1:8–9 Φβ-ት ፕጵር : ገጽ Φ : Λλ C 10 ቲ ሀ Φ : Φλ ጉ ዝ : ከγω ሀ Φ : Λλ C 10 ቲ ሀ Φ : Δλ C 10 ኒ Θ C 10 ኒ Θ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Cornill 1886, 40.

- ወሶበ : የሐውሩ : ርቱዐ : የሐውሩ : ወአ.ይትመየጡ :] based on য وإذا ذهبن يذهبن يذهبن يلفتن داهن ولا يلتفتن, cf. ©; 8 and ን differ. 14
- 1:10 **Φ78 :**  $(1^\circ)$ ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ ὁμοίωσις τῶν προσώπων αὐτῶν πρόσωπον =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$ . Eth omits ὁμοίωσις τῶν προσώπων αὐτῶν.
- **ΦΊΧ : ΔυΡ : ΦΊΧ : ΤΛΟ : ΠΘΡΡ : ΛΚΟΛΟ Τ. ΤΡ** :] cf.  $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ πρόσωπον μόσχου ἐξ ἀριστερῶν τοῖς τέσσαρσι, καὶ πρόσωπον ἀετοῦ τοῖς τέσσαρσι =  $\mathfrak{M}$ .
- 1:11 **ΦλδΑτ : λC9δτνσ· :** $] <math>\mathfrak{S}$  ἄνωθεν τοῖς τέσσαρσιν;  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$  differ. Eth = ἄνωθεν τῶν τεσσάρων.
- **ΦηϾ: βηκή: 'Υξηγόν:** ] 'and with two they covered their bodies'; Θ καὶ δύο ἐπεκάλυπτον ἐπάνω τοῦ σώματος αὐτῶν; cf. v. 23.
- 1:12 **יוו : יולבו שיאלא :**] ເພື່ອ ວນ ຂຶ້ນ ກຸ້ນ τὸ πνεῦμα πορευόμενον, cf. M and ເ બ. **ይተልመ. :**] ε ἐπορεύοντο; M ילכו ; ε a differ. **ትሬ :** is the normal equivalent for πορεύεσθαι, but Eth translates here according to the sense; cf. 1:20; 5:6; 7:14; 9:5; 12:11; 25:3; 30:17; 32:14.
- 1:13  $h^{ap}$ : **Δ-λ?**:]  $\mathfrak{S}$  ὅρασις ὡς, cf.  $\mathfrak{M}$ ; 407 cII 410 ὡς ὅρασις =  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}$  Eth.
- አፍሓመ ፡ አሳት ፡ ዘይነድድ ፡]  $\mathfrak{G}$  ἀνθράκων πυρὸς καιομένων =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{G}$ . Eth is most naturally taken as equivalent to ἀνθράκων πυρὸς καιομένου.
- שלים:  $\mathfrak{S}$  כמראה (פּלאלט:  $\mathfrak{S}$  אָכמראה (פּלאלט:  $\mathfrak{S}$  אָרַס:  $\mathfrak{S}$  איין פּאָס:  $\mathfrak{S}$  כמראה (פּעויאם: as the equivalent of אָרָאָס:  $\mathfrak{S}$  אָרָאָס:  $\mathfrak{S}$  איין פּאָריאָס:  $\mathfrak{S}$  איין פּאָריאָס:  $\mathfrak{S}$  איין פּאָריאָס:  $\mathfrak{S}$
- መታትው : ዘየንቱ :] 'torches shining'; ও λαμπάδων συστρεφομένων, cf. M and S A. Eth translates according to the context.
- **Φ11C 17** 1] & καὶ φέγγος; see on v. 4.
- 1:14 Among the Greek manuscripts, B 106 do not have this verse, which is commonly regarded as an addition.
- תובה (הוביק βεζεκ; Μ הברק; εf. אבי ולניב, cf. אבי ולניב וליש. The Hebrew form is assumed to be a mistake for הברק; cf. Asmära bilingual edition of the Prophets (1977 EC) שיחלף.
- 1:15 **ΦλλCh- : ΦCλ.h- :**] <sup>©</sup> καὶ εἶδον καὶ ἰδού, cf. M <sup>©</sup> A. For **ΦCλ.h- :** as the equivalent of καὶ ἰδού in this formula, cf. 8:5; 10:1, 9; 37:8.
- ナルセピー・ ΛΩλγηη: Θ ἐχόμενος τῶν ζώων τοῖς τέσσαρσι, cf. M Θ. (1) ナルセピー・ is an interpretation of ἐχόμενος, perhaps influenced by v. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Knibb 1999, 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Mahbärä Ḥawaryat Fre Haymanot 1984/1985.

Elsewhere λ '- ' : (1:9), λ '- ' : ምስለ : (10:16), ምስለ : (1:19; 3:13; 10:19; 11:22), ' 1 : (9:2; 10:6, 9; 42:1bis), Η ' 1 : (48:21), Λ (10:9), and ት - 1 : (48:13) are used to translate ἐχόμενος, often in agreement with the Syro-Arabic. (2) Eth = τῶν ζώων τῶν τεσσάρων.

1:16 ΛΛΔ74 : ] & τῶν τροχῶν = M S Eth II.

ተርሴስ :] 🖰 θαρσις; Μ שישיח; 🛎 דיבים, cf. 10:9; 28:13.

**ΠΦ-Λ-ተ : አምሳΛ : λርባዕቴኒዮσ :**] 'in the form of the four of them';  $\mathfrak G$  καὶ ὁμοίωμα εν τοῖς τέσσαρσι =  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak G$  Eth II. εν misread as εν.

hơ : σ τροχῷ. ἀ τος : 10 τος : σ τροχῷς ἀν εἴη τροχὸς ἐν τροχῷ. ἀ τλ : is the normal equivalent of τροχός in Ezekiel, but σ τ τος : is used here and in 10:9bis; 10:10bis (C Eth II); 23:24; 26:10.

1:17–18 **ΠΦ·Λτ : ½ : ἸΠΨτυσ· : ΦΥΛΦ· : ΦΛ. Δτσν Π· : ΗΠΥσ· :** ΛΛ : ΥΛΦ· : ] 'on their four sides. And they went, and their backs did not turn as they went'; Θ ἐπὶ τὰ τέσσαρα μέρη αὐτῶν ἐπορεύοντο, οὐκ (καὶ οὐκ 147 = ચ Eth) ἐπέστρεφον ἐν τῷ πορεύεσθαι αὐτὰ οὐδ' οἱ νῶτοι αὐτῶν. Eth takes **ΠΦ·Λτ : ½ : ἸΠΨτυσ· :** with what precedes and omits αὐτὰ οὐδ'. **ΦCΛ. h· : ዘΠΥσ· :** ] Θ καὶ εἶδον αὐτά, καὶ οἱ νῶτοι αὐτῶν.

1:19 **ΦΛΠ : ዮሐውሩ :**] <sup>©</sup> has in addition τὰ ζῷα = M © Ϥ; 147 αὐτά = Eth. **ምስሌሆሙ :**] <sup>©</sup> ἐχόμενοι αὐτῶν, cf. M; see on 1:15. ስረገላሂ :] = <sup>©</sup> M; <sup>©</sup> ἐχόμενοι αὐτῶν að A1 E5 AS Eth II.

1:20 **ຜາດ** : ]  $\mathfrak{G}$  oὖ αν =  $\mathfrak{M}$ ;  $\mathfrak{B}^{\mathsf{c}}$  233 καὶ οὖ αν =  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}$  Eth.

**υ?: υλο: Φλ.Λ:**] & ἐκεῖ τὸ πνεῦμα τοῦ πορεύεσθαι. Eth conformed to v. 12.

ወይትነሥሉ :] =  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ ἐξήροντο; A'-106 62' L C omit καί =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$  Eth II.

1:21 የሐውር : ... ይቀውም : ... ይትነሣእ :] ອ m s Eth II plural. ይትነሣእ :] ප ἐξήροντο; O 410 add οἱ τροχοί = m s a C Eth II.

1:22 ΦΦΑδΑΤ : CλΛΦ :] =  $\mathfrak{A}$ ;  $\mathfrak{B}$  καὶ ὁμοίωμα ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς =  $\mathfrak{M}$  Eth II. ΛΦ-λΤ:  $\lambda$ λΛΛ :]  $\mathfrak{B}$  αὐτοῖς τῶν ζῷων; 147 L''-Z<sup>V</sup> 198 710 αὐτῶν τῶν ζῷων = Eth. L

**hơካ : ሰማይ :**] & ὡσεὶ στερέωμα; א רקיע. ሰ**ማይ :** is also used as the equivalent of στερέωμα in vv. 23, 25, 26 and 10:1; cf. Deut. 33:26; Ps. 18(19):2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Cf. Knibb 1999, 31, 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Cf. Zimmerli 1969, 7.

Elsewhere **m&C**: (e.g. Gen. 1:6, 7) and **%70**: (e.g. Exod. 24:10) are used; cf. **%76**: in Ezek. 13:5.

**Πሕብረ : በረድ :**] & ὅρασις κρυστάλλου. For **ሕብር :** as the equivalent of ὅρασις, cf. Rev. 4:3.

1:23 Φλ Դ ዝ : ፭ : በካልሉ :] 'and touched one another'; & πτερυσσόμεναι έτέρα τῆ έτέρα; Μ S I differ. Eth influenced by v. 9; contrast 3:13. ΦΩΕΕ : ԻՐԴ : ԻՐԴ Ի Թ :] & ἑκάστῷ δύο ἐπικαλύπτουσαι τὰ σώματα

αὐτῶν, cf. M ©. For the construction with  $\mathbf{\Omega}$ , cf. v. 11.

1:24 hơ : ድምዕ : ጣይ : ብዙ ነ : ውስሙ : ድምዕ : ሥራዊት : ውስሙ : ቃለ : ት ሪይንት :] 'like the noise of much water and like the noise of an army and like the sound of a camp'; Β ὡς φωνὴν ὕδατος πολλοῦ; A and other MSS ὡς φωνὴν ὕδατος πολλοῦ, ὡς φωνὴν ἱκανοῦ ἐν τῷ πορεύεσθαι αὐτὰ, φωνὴ τοῦ λόγου ὡς φωνὴ παρεμβολῆς; cf. M. (1) Eth follows A, but omits ὡς φωνὴν ἱκανοῦ · ἐν τῷ πορεύεσθαι αὐτά and smooths out the text. (2) ሰራዊት : derives from a misreading of λόγου as λαοῦ, 18 or, more probably, Eth has supplied a word similar in meaning to ተዕይንት :

ያስተጋብሉ : ከነፊሆሙ :] 'they folded their wings';  $\mathfrak G$  κατέπαυον αἱ πτέρυγες αὐτῶν;  $\mathfrak M$  , πρείπους, cf.  $\mathfrak G$ .

1:25 שיהי און און איז איז איז און פון איז איז און פון איז איז און פון פון איז איז  $= \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}$  Eth II. Cf. 9:11.

1:26 መማልዕልተ : ሙትቲ : ሰማይ : ዘዲበ : ፎኒስሙ :] B does not have this; A and other MSS καὶ ὑπεράνω τοῦ στερεώματος τοῦ ὑπέρ κεφαλῆς αὐτῶν =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S}$ .  $\mathfrak{S}$ .  $\mathfrak{S}$ .  $\mathfrak{S}$ .  $\mathfrak{S}$  ταιτ כסא  $\mathfrak{S}$  ταιτ כסא  $\mathfrak{S}$  ταιτ כסא  $\mathfrak{S}$  ταιτ  $\mathfrak{S}$  ταιτ  $\mathfrak{S}$  ταιτοῦς  $\mathfrak{S}$  αὐτοῦς corresponds to  $\mathfrak{S}$  τοῦς  $\mathfrak{S}$  which  $\mathfrak{M}$  has later in the verse. For  $\mathfrak{S}$  and Eth, the throne is on a base of sapphire; see on 10:1.19

שלו : שיאור : פיאור : פור : פיאור : פור : פור : פיאור : פור : פיאור : פור : פיאור : פור : פיאור : פ

1:27 **Φh\sigma** : **¿-λ?** : **λ¹** : **λ?** : **! Φ·h\sigma** : **Øh\sigma** · **?** : **]** B does not have this; A and other MSS ὡς ὅρασις πυρὸς ἔσωθεν αὐτοῦ κύκλω, cf. M and  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Cf. Cornill 1886, 185; Löfgren 1927, 133.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See also Cooke 1936, 21.

**አምሑቃሁ ፡ እስከ ፡ ሳዕሉ ፡**]  $\mathfrak G$  ἀπὸ ὁράσεως ὀσφύος καὶ ἐπάνω, cf.  $\mathfrak M$   $\mathfrak G$  Eth II.  $\mathfrak G$  has in addition καὶ ἀπὸ ὁράσεως ὀσφύος καὶ ἕως κάτω εἶδον, cf.  $\mathfrak M$   $\mathfrak G$  Eth II.

1:27–28 Φης : HλΦ- : hΦ : Δ-λ : Φὴ : ΚΦ : HλΨ : hΔΨ : hΔΨ : ΦὴΦ : Δ-λ : ΦηΔ : λΦ- : ] 'And the brightness of it all around [was] like the appearance of the rainbow in time of rain and like the appearance of lightning all around'; Θ καὶ τὸ φέγγος αὐτοῦ κύκλῳ. ὡς ὅρασις τόξου, ὅταν ἦ ἐν τῆ νεφέλῃ ἐν ἡμέρᾳ ὑετοῦ, οὕτως ἡ ὅρασις τοῦ φέγγους κυκλόθεν, cf. Μ Θ. (1) Eth takes v. 28 with what precedes; contrast Θ Μ Θ. (2) Eth omits ὅταν ἦ ἐν τῆ νεφέλᾳ. For Φὴ : καν :, cf. Sir. 50:7. (3) For Πς ? : and ΦηΔ : as renderings of φέγγος, see on v. 4.

2:1 hapi : hCh. : ] cf.  $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{S}$ 

ቃስ ፡ ይብለኒ ፡]  $\mathfrak{G}$  φωνὴν λαλοῦντος (+ πρός με 62 L' 534) καὶ εἶπε πρός με =  $\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{S}$ ; cf. v. 3.

አንተ : inserted here from vv. 6, 8, cf. v. 3; ይመፎ do not have this.

2:2 Φλλμλί :] & has in addition καὶ ἐξῆρέ με (M & differ).

2:3  $\mathfrak{G}$  (except 147 764-233-403') has at the beginning καὶ εἶπε πρός  $\mu\epsilon = \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{S}$ , cf. v. 1.

አንተ :] ይጠ © do not have this; see on v. 1.

Υυ: λωγοή: ] & έξαποστέλλω έγώ σε, cf. M S.

אל : אלייטליגי] (1)  $\mathfrak S$  has in addition οἴτινες παρεπίκρανάν με =  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S$ . (2) Eth consistently renders παραπικραίνειν and related forms by forms of **σייטט**, παραπικραίνειν itself occurs in the Septuagint as the stereoptyped rendering of ארד (so here), אור מרה מרה (so here).  $\mathfrak S$ 0

**ΟΛωλ:** B 106 do not have this; A and other MSS ήθέτησαν ἐν εμοί =  $\mathfrak{M}$  Eth; L καὶ ήθέτησαν ἐν ἐμοί =  $\mathfrak{S}$  E1 E2.

እስከ ፡ ዮም ፡] 🖰 ἔως τῆς σήμερον ἡμέρας; cf. 20:29, 31, እስከ ፡ ዮም ፡ ዛቲ ፡ ዕ ለት ፡.

2:4 **κθφω: Λκ': '7κ: Φλή-. β': Δη: λω 3σή: '10.0' σ:**] 'Children of those who are stubborn and evilhearted—to them I send you'; B does not have this; A and other MSS καὶ υἱοὶ σκληροπρόσωποι καὶ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See Lee 1980, 115–116.

στερεοκάρδιοι, έγω ἀποστέλλω σε πρὸς αὐτούς, cf. M S. λh- β: is a broader term than στερεοκάρδιοι (only here in the Septuagint).

ችግዚአብሔር : እግዚአ :] В 147' 46 κύριος; A and other MSS κύριος κύριος = Eth; L-311-449 κύριος ἀδωναί; 538-V ἀδωναὶ κύριος;  $\mathfrak M$  אדני יהוה אדי ; פּריים: פּרי

2:5 λσ : ይሰም : σβ. αυ : [ 'Even if they hear and fear'; Θ 'Εὰν ἄρα ἀκούσωσιν ἢ πτοηθῶσι; Μ ιπτή ικαι ικαι της εκένευ εμένει εκίνευ εκίνει εκίνευ εκίνευ εκίνευ εκίνευ εκίνευ εκίνευ εκίνευ εκίνευ εκίνει εκίνευ εκίνευ εκίνευ εκίνει εκ

תר: מיינט איני אור ווו. 'they are a house of those who are bitter', or perhaps 'they are a house of those who cause bitterness', a stereotyped rendering of איני מרי המה איני איני מרי המה מרי המי המה מרי ה

2:6 λλω: ይረው-ዓ-h: ωይቀው-ሙ-: Λόλ h: ωየዐግ-ቱ: h:] lit. 'for they will attack you and rise up against you and surround you';  $\mathfrak S$  διότι παροιστρήσουσι καὶ ἐπισυστήσονται ἐπὶ σὲ κύκλ $\mathfrak Q$ ;  $\mathfrak M$   $\mathfrak S$  differ. <sup>21</sup>

ወኢ ትፍራህ ፡ አምቃሎሙ ፡]  $\mathfrak{G}$   $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$  do not have the copula; 62  $86^{\circ}$  106 καὶ τοὺς λόγους αὐτῶν μὴ φοβηθῆς = Eth.

2:7 ליש : ይሰምው : שלא שלא האלי (היי שמעו האם ישמעו הא

2:8 Hλ'9Lh: ] =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}$  τοῦ λαλοῦντος πρὸς σέ. **Φλ. † h-?**: ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  μὴ γίνου =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$ ; Q-62 C καὶ μὴ γίνου =  $\mathfrak{E}$ th.

2:9 **ΦCh.h·: λR·: ΦRh·**]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ εἶδον καὶ ἰδοὺ χεὶρ ἐκτεταμένη, cf.  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$ . Eth has omitted καὶ ἰδοῦ and uses a word with a general meaning for ἐκτεταμένη.

መጽሐፍ :] <sup>©</sup> κεφαλὶς βιβλίου, cf. M <sup>©</sup>. Eth uses a single word for the compound expression, but also has **መጽሐፍ** : in 3:1, 2, 3 where κεφαλίς alone is used.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> For the rendering of παροιστρᾶν ('to sting, sting to madness') by the general term **CR**: ('to attack'), see Knibb 1999, 99.

- 2:10 **whu** + [] ε καὶ ἀνείλησεν αὐτήν, cf. M ε. Eth 'open' is not a precise rendering of the Greek 'unroll', but the translator/copyist was perhaps thinking in terms of a codex not a scroll.
- መጽ ሕፍት : ይእቲ : ውስጣ : መለፍአሃ :] lit. 'and it was written on the inside and on the outside'; cf. ସ ເቀደው بطنه وظهره 등 καὶ ἐν αὐτῆ γεγραμμένα ἦν τὰ ἔμπροσθεν καὶ τὰ ὅπισθεν, cf. እ ©. Eth omits ἐν αὐτῆ.
- **Φρ. 11Δ : ΦΡ. 145 :**] 'and the document read' or 'and the writing read'; B καὶ ἐγέγραπτο; 147 C'-534 καὶ ἐγέγραπτο ἐν αὐτῆ; other MSS καὶ ἐγέγραπτο εἰς αὐτήν, cf. M ©. For the formula used in Eth, cf. Esdras b 16:6 (Neh. 6:6).
- 3:1 ΦΑς: Πλοσοι ] cf. M &; & καὶ πορεύθητι καὶ λάλησον.
- 3:2 **Λ.β.λ.t.** : **Φ.Κ.Λ.F.** :]  $\mathfrak S$  την κεφαλίδα; O-62' L''- $Z^V$  86 410 την κεφαλίδα ταύτην =  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S$  Eth.
- 3:3 እንተ : መሀብኩ h :]  $\mathfrak{G}$  τῆς δεδομένης εἰς σέ;  $\mathfrak{A}$  الذي اعطيك, cf.  $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{M}$ . Eth active for Greek passive, perhaps influenced by  $\mathfrak{A}$  ( $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{M}$ ).
- **δσ-Φ: ከናፍር: Φከበ-**Χ: **Δጎ?:**] A and other MSS βαθύχειλον καὶ βαρύγλωσσον; Β βαθύγλωσσον.
- اکن ه ه ه الکن ه ه الکتار الکت الک ه ه ه الکتابی الکت
- 3:7 **ኢ.ይሰምን ት : እስሙ : ኪ.ያየ : ኢ.ሰምን :**]  $\mathfrak S$  οὐ μὴ θελήσωσιν εἰσακοῦσαί σου, διότι οὐ βούλονται εἰσακούειν μου, cf.  $\mathfrak M$ ;  $\mathfrak S$  באב אל האב אל , cf.  $\mathfrak S$ . Eth omits θελήσωσιν and βούλονται.
- አኩ. ያን ፡ ወጽጉ-ዓን ፡ ልብ ፡]  $\mathfrak G$  φιλόνεικοί εἰσι καὶ σκληροκάρδιοι;  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak G \mathfrak A$  differ.  $^{22}$
- 3:8 **Φλλ7δ: ጎይΛh: λምኅይΛ-σ٠-:**] 'and I will make your power stronger than their power';  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ τὸ νεῖκός σου κατισχύσω κατέναντι τοῦ νείκους αὐτῶν;  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$  differ. Eth gives a free translation of νεῖκος, which it interpreted as νῖκος = νίκη ('victory').
- 3:9 **Φትጸ76**:] probably understood as 'and you will be stronger'; <sup>(5)</sup> καὶ ἔσται ... κραταιότερον.
- ወኢትደንባፅ : ]  $\mathfrak{G}$  μηδὲ πτοηθῆς; see on 2:5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> For the rendering of φιλόνεικος by λh-ε: ('evil'), see Knibb 1999, 99–100.

3:10 δΦ $\mathbf{0}$  : ΠΑ-Π $\mathbf{h}$  :] 'keep in your heart', a free rendering of  $\mathfrak{G}$  λάβε εἰς τὴν καρδίαν σου =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .

3:11 ຳ  $\mathbf{n}$  :  $\mathbf{n}$  :  $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{n}$  :  $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{n}$  :  $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{n}$  :  $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{n}$  :  $\mathbf$ 

שרשי בין פּגע λαλήσεις πρὸς αὐτούς καὶ ἐρεῖς πρὸς αὐτούς =  $\mathfrak{M}$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}$  שישי (א בעשם פּגע). Eth omits καὶ ἐρεῖς πρὸς αὐτούς and uses an imperative for the Greek future tense, as normally in this formula; cf.  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$ 

3:14 **Φ 76.**ħ :]  $\mathfrak{G}$  τὸ πνεῦμα; A and other MSS τὸ πνεῦμα κυρίου. **ΦΛΖΔ : -ΠΥ : ΦΛ-Ch- :**] 'and flew with me, and I went'; B καὶ ἀνέλαβέ με, καὶ ἐπορεύθην ἐν ὁρμῆ τοῦ πνεύματός μου, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ ; A and all other MSS καὶ ἀνέλαβέ με, καὶ ἐπορεύθην μετέωρος ἐν ὁρμῆ τοῦ πνεύματός μου. (1) Eth

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Cf. Knibb 2005, 242.

**ΦΛΖΖ: ૧૧** : translates καὶ ἀνέλαβέ με ... μετέωρος according to the sense. (2) Eth omits ἐν ὁρμῆ τοῦ πνεύματός μου.

3:15 **Φληλλ :**] 'and brought me';  $\mathfrak B$  καὶ εἰσῆλθον ... μετέωρος;  $\mathfrak M$   $\mathfrak S$   $\mathfrak A$  differ. Eth omits μετέωρος and continues the third person construction from v. 14

**ΦΠΆλλ・: ΤΠ : ΙΥΛ•Φ• : λΛ : ΕΥΠ-: &Λ7 : ԻΓC :**]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ περιῆλθον τοὺς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τοῦ ποταμοῦ τοῦ Χοβαρ τοὺς ὄντας ἐκεῖ;  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$  differ. Eth omits the awkward τοὺς ὄντας ἐκεῖ and inserts  $\mathfrak{h}$   $\Lambda$ •Φ• :

እንዝ ፡ አንሶሱ :] = ଓ ἀναστρεφόμενος understood as 'go about, walk about'.

3:16 ወ ... ነበበኒ ፡ እግዚአብሔር ፡ ወይቤለኒ ፡] see note on 6:1.

3:17  $\mathbf{PAP}$ : ]  $\mathfrak{G}$   $\pi\alpha\rho$ '  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o\hat{\upsilon} = \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}$ .

hመ: ይትመየጥ:] 'to turn'; T9 E1 E2 hመ: ይነስሕ: (Φ)ይትመየጥ: 'to repent and turn'; Θ ἀποστρέψαι; מ إليتوب ويرخع; M ⊗ do not have this. T9 E1 E2 have a double reading that may reflect the influence of 𝔄; cf. 14:6; 33:11.

3:19 **λም ነπ. λ ቱ** :]  $\mathfrak E$  ἀπὸ τῆς ἀνομίας αὐτοῦ καὶ τῆς ὁδοῦ αὐτοῦ, cf.  $\mathfrak M$   $\mathfrak E$ . Eth omits καὶ τῆς ὁδοῦ αὐτοῦ.  $^{24}$ 

ይመውት : በጌንዩ :]  $\mathfrak G$  ὁ ἄνομος ἐκεῖνος ἐν τῆ ἀδικία αὐτοῦ ἀποθανεῖται, cf.  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S$ . Eth omits ὁ ἄνομος ἐκεῖνος.

3:20 እንዘ : አርአዮ : ውቅሥፍቶ :]  $\mathfrak G$  καὶ δώσω τὴν βάσανον;  $\mathfrak M$  ונתתי מכשול, cf.  $\mathfrak S.^{25}$ 

**Ψተ: β.σ.ω.ት: ΠЪ.24:**] & αὐτὸς ἀποθανεῖται, ὅτι οὐ διεστείλω αὐτῷ, καὶ ἐν ταῖς ἁμαρτίαις αὐτοῦ ἀποθανεῖται, cf. M ⑤. (1) Eth omits αὐτὸς ἀποθανεῖται, ὅτι οὐ διεστείλω αὐτῷ, καί, perhaps by homoioarcton within

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> 3:19b–4:5 are missing in the Bodleian Library manuscript of the Syro-Arabic version, MS. Arch Seld. A. 67.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> For **λCλγ:** as a rendering of διδόναι, see Knibb 1999, 100.

the Ethiopic. (2) For **P+: β.σου-+:** as the rendering of ἀποθανεῖται, cf. 3:18; 18:13.

3:21 **שֹּלְשׁלּח** : אַ פּי אַ פֿאַ אַ

**σλληή: σ-λ+½: ೩૯.Φ: ሕይσΦ: ዮሐዮ:**] 'and he does not sin, that righteous man shall surely live'; ε καὶ αὐτὸς μὴ ἁμάρτη, ὁ δίκαιος ζωῆ ζήσεται, ὅτι διεστείλω αὐτῷ, cf. M and ε. (1) In the Ethiopic, **σ-λ+½:** is most naturally taken with **೩૯.Φ:**. (2) Eth omits ὅτι διεστείλω αὐτῷ.

3:22 ተንሥት : ሖር : ] & Άνάστηθι καὶ ἔξελθε; Μ κχ ; Θ ΦΦΦ ΦΦΦ.

3:23 שבאיז (איים: פּ אַמּגוֹ אַמּטּ):  $\mathfrak{S}$  ס. For the use of באיז (איים: פּ אַנוֹהנה: פּ סּ אַרוּהנה: פּ סּ אַרוּהנה: פּ סּ אַרוּהנה: פּ סּ אַרוּהנה: פּ סּאַרוּהנה: פּ סּאַרוּהנה: פֿ סּאַרוּהנה: פֿי סּאָרוּהנה: פּי סּי סּאָרוּהנה: פּי סּאָרוּהנה: פּי

h :  $\lambda$  :  $\lambda$ 

3:24 **Φριλί:** ]  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ ἐλάλησε πρός με καὶ εἶπέ μοι =  $\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{S}$ . Eth omits καὶ εἶπέ μοι.

ባት : ተወጻ :] ይ Εἴσελθε καὶ ἐγκλείσθητι; Μ כא הסגר באם; ይ ביש של באר.

 $3:25 \text{ k3+} : ] \mathfrak{S} \text{ kai } \sigma \acute{\text{u}} = \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S}.$ 

**TU:** Ψቃሕħ-ħ: ΦλήCh-ħ: Φλ, ϮΦ-δλ: λማλħሎΦ-:] 'Behold I have fettered you, and I have bound you, and you will not escape from them'; Θ ἰδοὺ δέδονται ἐπὶ σὲ δεσμοί, καὶ δήσουσί σε ἐν αὐτοῖς, καὶ οὐ μὴ ἐξέλθης ἐκ μέσου αὐτῶν, cf. Μ ε. Eth uses a first-person active construction in place of the Greek impersonal active, to conform with the use of the first person in the following verse.<sup>28</sup>

3:26 **Φλ. ትክል : ተናግሮ :**] 'and you will not be able to speak to them';  $\mathfrak G$  καὶ οὐκ ἔση αὐτοῖς εἰς ἄνδρα ἐλέγχοντα =  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak G$ . Eth simplifies to provide a smoother text.<sup>29</sup>

3:27 **λግዚλብሔር : λግዚλ :**] Β κύριος; A and other MSS κύριος κύριος = Eth: OL ἀδωναὶ κύριος.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> For **σ**, rather than a causal conjunction, as the rendering of διότι, see Knibb 1999, 60–61.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See also Knibb 1999, 30–31, 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See Knibb 1999, 71–72.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> For the use of **172**: III.3, see Knibb 1999, 91.

שוראת. : אחר יחדל יחדל יחדל מתבושביים: פיניאב ביסבים: פיניאב ביסבים: פיניאב ביסבים: פיניאב ביסבים: See the notes on 2:5, 7; 3:11. Here, in contrast to 3:11, the Ethiopic follows the Greek.

4:1 Φλληλ. ... ΦΡΟΑ :] & καὶ θήσεις ... καὶ διαγράψεις, cf. M; see also & ρακία ... καὶδιαγράψεις cf. M; see also & γηλ :, cf. &.

4:2 ምስስ : ጥቅጣ :] 'with its walls' or 'with its fortifications'; εκαὶ δώσεις ἐπ' αὐτὴν περιοχήν = M; ε בבי בלים האלים. Eth simplifies and uses a word with a general meaning for περιοχή. Elsewhere in Ezekiel Eth uses ማዕንት : (12:13) and ሉሕ-ር : (17:20).

**ጎበ ፡ ይትቃተሉ ፡**] ଓ προμαχῶνας.<sup>30</sup>

**Φ+λΧ** •: **Π+9.67** •] 'and you shall blockade it with camps'; & καὶ περιβαλεῖς ἐπ' αὐτὴν χάρακα καὶ δώσεις ἐπ' αὐτὴν παρεμβολάς; M and & differ. Eth omits χάρακα καὶ δώσεις ἐπ' αὐτήν.

**λλλ :**] & τὰς βελοστάσεις, cf. M and S. Eth translates as if the Greek had βέλος. Eth omits βελόστασις in 17:17, gives an etymological translation in 21:22, and mistranslates the word in Jer. 28(51):27 (**1.35.** β<sup>2</sup> γ · (archers').

4:3 Φγγλ : ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ σὸ λάβε  $= \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S}$ .

**ቴንን : ຳλ.ን :**] & τήγανον σιδηροῦν.<sup>31</sup>

**ΦትΦ.F.T**:] Θ καὶ ἑτοιμάσεις = M Θ; Symmachus καὶ στρέψεις, but Eth has probably independently translated according to the context. The normal equivalent for ἑτοιμάζειν, ἑτοιμάζεσθαι is **ΚΛΦ**: IV.2 and 3 (cf. 4:7; 20:6; 38:8) and III.2 (cf. 38:7), but **ΦΛΟ**: II.1 is also used in 38:7.

**10.7**:] <sup>©</sup> has in addition καὶ ἔσται ἐν συγκλεισμῷ καὶ συγκλείσεις αὐτήν = M ⑤.

4:4 ወትሰከብ :]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ σὸ κοιμηθήση, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S}$ . **ለደቂቀ : አስሪ-ኤል :**]  $\mathfrak{S}$  τοῦ οἴκου Ισραηλ =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S}$ . **ዘስከብከ :**]  $\mathfrak{S}$  has in addition ἐπ' αὐτοῦ =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S}$ .

4:6 Toht: Μαλβh: Δh: Δh: Δh: Δm: ዓመት:] so L4, 'for forty days, and I have assigned you a day as a year'; ઉ τεσσαράκοντα ἡμέρας. ἡμέραν εἰς ἐνιαυτὸν τέθεικά σοι = M ε. All other Ethiopic manuscripts corrupt, 'and I have assigned you forty days as a year (or 'as years')'. But the text of L4 may be the outcome of a secondary correction against the Hebrew.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> For the translation, see Knibb 1999, 96, n. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> For the transliteration of technical terms, see Knibb 1999, 93–97.

4:7 **λλ** • **?07** • **?** • **λλ.?** • **?** • **!** ] lit. 'for the time when they besiege Jerusalem', hence 'for the time when Jerusalem is besieged'; ⑤ εἰς τὸν συγκλεισμὸν Ιερουσαλημ. Eth uses a verbal construction with **07** • **!** for συγκλεισμός, but elsewhere uses **δ ?** • **!** (v. 8) and **ο ?** • **!** III.1 (5:2).

4:8 **ΦΓυ:** ħτ:] Θ καὶ ἐγὰ ἰδού; A and other MSS καὶ ἰδοὺ ἐγά = Eth. ħληΔη:] Θ δέδωκα ἐπὶ σὲ δεσμούς. For the translation of the Greek phrase by a verb, cf. the use of **Ψτη:** in 3:25. ħσ: ħτσοΥΤ:] Θ καὶ μὴ στραφῆς.

4:9 **Φληλ** : ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ σὸ λάβε =  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

**ħ7** $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  :  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ **ħ** $\boldsymbol{C}$  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ ? :  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ **•↑** $\boldsymbol{C}$  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$  :  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ **•**  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ 

መትገብር :]  $\mathfrak S$  καὶ ποιήσεις αὐτά, cf.  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S \mathfrak A$  (A1) Eth II. በጕልቈ : መዋዕል :]  $\mathfrak S$  καὶ κατὰ ἀριθμὸν τῶν ἡμερῶν; O L'-311 534-239' 927 κατὰ ἀριθμὸν τῶν ἡμερῶν =  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S \mathfrak A$  Eth.

4:10–11 **ΦΛCh** : ΛCh : ϮΛ·t :] 'and towards evening you shall drink';  $\mathfrak G$  ἀπὸ καιροῦ ἕως καιροῦ φάγεσαι αὐτά. καὶ ὕδωρ ἐν μέτρῳ πίεσαι τὸ ἕκτον τοῦ ιν ἀπὸ καιροῦ ἕως καιροῦ πίεσαι, cf.  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S \mathfrak A$ . (1) For ΛCh : ΛCh :, cf. Judith 12:9 (= πρὸς ἑσπέραν). It is not clear why Eth should have used this expression for ἀπὸ καιροῦ ἕως καιροῦ. (2) Eth has lost part of the text by homoioteleuton; cf.  $\mathfrak C$  and Eth II.

4:12 ወዳፍንታ ፣ ትሴሴይ ፣]  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ ἐγκρυφίαν κρίθινον φάγεσαι αὐτά =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}$ . 
ወታበስሎ ፣ ውስተ ፡ ትዮስሐ ፡ ስብአ ፡ ትደፍኖ ፣] 'and you shall cook it, in human excrement you shall bake it';  $\mathfrak{G}$  ἐν βολβίτοις κόπρου ἀνθρωπίνης ἐγκρύψεις αὐτά;  $\mathfrak{A}$   $\mathfrak{G}$  . Eth has a double reading: ትድፍኖ ፣ is a translation of ἐγκρύψεις αὐτά, and ወታበስሎ ፣ is an alternative that may be based on واخبزه على واخبزه على واخبزه على واخبزه به داخبزه المحتوان واخبزه المحتوان والمحتوان واخبزه المحتوان والمحتوان و

4:13 **Φት Πλοσο**:] & καὶ ἐρεῖς; 147' καὶ ἐρεῖς αὐτοῖς = Eth. **Ի λλο**:] 'their excrement'; & ἀκάθαρτα. **Ի λλ**: is one of the equivalents for κόπρος (cf. e.g. Isa. 36:12), and we expect **Ch-λ**: or **Ch-λ**:. But **h λλ**: is used for ἀκάθαρτα in Hos. 8:13; 9:3.

4:14 ሐሰ : ሲተ : ] <sup>6</sup> Μηδαμῶς, cf. 20:49.

ኢ.ተገምነት :]  $\mathfrak{B}$  εἰ ... οὐ μεμίανται. Eth does not separately represent εἰ. **ወበምዊት : ውብላዕ : አርዌ : ኢ.በላዕት :**]  $\mathfrak{B}$  καὶ θνησιμαῖον καὶ θηριάλωτον οὐ βέβρωκα, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ . Eth is corrupt, and we expect **ወምዊት :** or **ወጣው ታ :**. **ኮጎ :**  $\mathfrak{P}$  : Ch- $\mathfrak{h}$  :]  $\mathfrak{B}^c$   $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -544 πᾶν κρέας ἕωλον; other MSS πᾶν κρέας βέβηλον = Eth.

5:1 ተግየጥ :]  $\mathfrak{S}$  κτήση αὐτήν, cf.  $\mathfrak{M}$ ; A and other MSS κτῆσαι αὐτήν. **ውተሳጸይ :** ባቲ :] 'and shave with it';  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ ἐπάξεις αὐτὴν ἐπί =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ . λብን : **መዳልው :**] 'weights';  $\mathfrak{S}$  ζυγὸν σταθμίων, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ . **ወትዶልዎ :**] 'and you shall weigh it';  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ διαστήσεις αὐτούς;  $\mathfrak{M}$  πητήση, cf.  $\mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .

5:2 በንጉልቱ : ማዋዕል : ዘትትወዩ :] 'according to the number of the days that you are confined'; אוֹ κατὰ τὴν πλήρωσιν τῶν ἡμερῶν τοῦ συγκλεισμοῦ = M; אוֹ אַ אַבּים אַ בּּים אַבּים אַ בּים אַבּים אַ יַּם אַבּים אָבּים אָבּים אָבּים אָבּים אָבּים אָבּים אָבּים אַבּים אַבּים אָבּים א

5:4 Φρωδλ:] & M do not have a conjunction.

5:5 **አዶናይ : እግዚአብሔር :**] Β 544 927 κύριος; A and other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριος = Eth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> See also Knibb 1999, 77–78.

- ማእከለ : ኢሕዝብ : አንበርክዋ : ለኢየሩሳሌም : መለአድ ያሚህ :] <sup>©</sup> Αὕτη ἡ (B<sup>c</sup> A''-106 147 τῆ) Ιερουσαλημ ἐν μέσφ τῶν ἐθνῶν τέθεικα αὐτὴν καὶ τὰς κύκλφ αὐτῆς χώρας, cf. Ϻ <sup>©</sup>. Eth omits Αὕτη—or Αὕτη was not present in its *Vorlage*—and has smoothed out the remainder of the text.
- 5:6 መትንባር : ሕግየ : ለአሕዛብ : ኃዮአን : ውሥርዐትየ : ለበሓውርት : ዘአው-ኝ :] 'and you will declare my statute(s) to the wicked nations and my law(s) to the countries round about her'; ও καὶ ἐρεῖς τὰ δικαιώματά μου τῆ ἀνόμῳ ἐκ τῶν ἐθνῶν καὶ τὰ νόμιμά μου ἐκ τῶν χωρῶν τῶν κύκλῷ αὐτῆς (꽤 and ⑤ differ).
- **Φλ. 716: Πρυ CO TP**: 'and they have not acted in accordance with my laws'; Θ καὶ ἐν τοῖς νομίμοις μου οὐκ ἐπορεύθησαν ἐν αὐτοῖς. (1) Eth has translated πορεύεσθαι according to the sense, cf. v. 7. (2) Eth does not represent ἐν αὐτοῖς.
- 5:7 haph : ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  διὰ τοῦτο τάδε =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .
- አዶናይ ፡ እግዚአብሔር ፡ ] B κύριος; A and other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριος = Eth.
- አስመ ፡ ምክንያት ፡ ኮታስሙ ፡ አሕዛብ ፡ አለ ፡ አውድ ነሙ ፡] 'because the nations that are round about you have become a pretext for you';  $\mathfrak E$  Άνθ' ὧν ή ἀφορμὴ ὑμῶν ἐκ τῶν ἐθνῶν τῶν κύκλῷ ὑμῶν ( $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S \mathfrak A$  differ).
- 5:8 hση :]  $\mathfrak{S}$  διὰ τοῦτο τάδε =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .
- **አዶናይ** : **አግዚአብሔር** :] Β κύριος; A and other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριος = Eth.  $\mathbf{F} \boldsymbol{v}$  :  $\mathbf{\lambda} \mathbf{b}$  **. አካ** :  $\mathbf{h}$   $\mathbf{m}$   $\mathbf{h}$   $\mathbf{h}$   $\mathbf{h}$   $\mathbf{h}$  :  $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{m}$   $\mathbf{h}$   $\mathbf{h}$   $\mathbf{h}$  :  $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{m}$   $\mathbf{h}$   $\mathbf{h}$   $\mathbf{h}$  :  $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{$
- 5:9 **Φλ.β?τΩ: λ?h: hশυ:**]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ ἃ οὖ (A'' L'-311 927 καὶ οὖ μή = Eth) ποιήσω ὅμοια αυτοῖς ἔτι. Eth omits αὐτοῖς.
- 5:10 ΛλΛ : ተC4 : ΦλC4 : ΦλC4 : Θ πάντας τούς καταλοίπους σου = M. 33
- 5:11 **አዶናይ : λግዚአብሔር :**] Β 927 κύριος; all other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριος = Eth.
- ሶበ ፡ አት ፡ መቅደስየ ፡ ዘአርኩ ስኪ ፡] 'Surely you have defiled my sanctuary';  $\mathfrak{G}$  εἶ μὴν (A and other MSS εἶ μή) ἀνθ' ὧν τὰ ἄγιά μου ἐμίανας =  $\mathfrak{M}$ . (1) For

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Cf. Knibb 1999, 30, 34.

the use of Λ**n**: λ**h**: in the oath formula, compare the similar use of λ**o**: λ**h**: in Num. 14:28. (2) Eth omits ἀνθ' ὧν.

**Πὴτ Λ- : Τ΄ Λ΄ Ch. : ΦΠὴτ Λ- : Ch τ ἡ h. :**] B ἐν πᾶσι τοῖς βδελύγμασί σου; all other MSS ἐν πᾶσι τοῖς προσοχθίσμασί σου καὶ ἐν πᾶσι τοῖς βδελύγμασί σου E Eth.

ወእን ፡ ገደፍት-ኪ ፡]  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ ἐγὼ ἀπώσομαί  $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{E}=\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{cf}.\mathfrak{M}.$ 

**ΦΟβ ?? \: λ. ትምυhh. : Φλ\: λ. β. Ψυλh. :**] cf.  $\mathfrak{S}$  ઊ;  $\mathfrak{S}$  οὖ (A''-410 62 καὶ οὖ) φείσεταί μου ὁ ὀφθαλμός, καὶ ἐγὰ οὖκ ἐλεήσω (+ σε A and other MSS = Eth).

5:13 ΦλήΑΤ : ΦΟΤΡ : ΦΦΦΨΥΤΡ :]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ συντελεσθήσεται ὁ θυμός μου καὶ ἡ ὀργή μου. For the use of the first-person active construction in Eth, cf.  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}$ .

ዘንበብኩ  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{A}$   $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}$ ;  $\mathfrak{g}$   $\lambda$ ελάληκα  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}$ .

5:14 **Φλπ κλh.** : **Μλ κ.h.** :]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ θήσομαί σε εἰς ἔρημον καὶ τὰς θυγατέρας σου, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ . For the use of **π κλ** : II.1 as the equivalent of θήσομαι ... εἰς ἔρημον, cf. 6:14; 14:8, 15; 15:8.

5:15 **Φትት** Φ' ΚΩ : Φ' ΚΥΡΥ :] 'and you will be in distress and will be terrified';  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ ἔση στενακτὴ καὶ δηλαϊστή ( $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S}$  differ). (1) Eth, as in the case of στενάζειν, apparently connected στενακτή with στενός and στενοχωρεῖσθαι; cf. 26:15; Isa. 59:10; Lam. 1:8.  $^{34}$  (2) δήλαια and δείλαια occur as variants of δηλαϊστή; Eth seems to have read δείλαια and to have connected it with δειλιᾶν.

**ΠΦΟΤ**: **ΦΠΦΦΨΕΤ**! Β 233 ἐν ἐκδικήσει θυμοῦ μου; A and other MSS ἐν ὀργῆ καὶ ἐν θυμῷ καὶ ἐν ἐκδικήσει θυμοῦ μου.

5:16 ħΦ: λ&Դ: λሕጻΥ: Ν&ЭΠ:] & ἐν τῷ ἐξαποστεῖλαί με τὰς βολίδας μου τοῦ λοιμοῦ; cf. M & A. Eth, which may derive from a misreading of ħΦ: as ħΦ: takes the words with what precedes: 'I, the Lord, have spoken in order that I might send my arrows of famine'.

**Φλω ? Μ. : Γλα Φη π. : Π. : 1** Θ καὶ ἔσονται εἰς ἐξάλειψιν;  $^{35}$  O'-922 L'' 106' 403' add ἃ ἀποστελῶ αὐτὰ διαφθεῖραι ὑμᾶς καὶ λιμὸν συνάξω ἐφ' ὑμᾶς, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ . Eth has omitted καὶ ἔσονται εἰς ἐξάλειψιν (ἔκλειψιν), but otherwise abbreviates the text of O'-922 L'' 106' 403'.

**ληλη.** [] 8 στήριγμα ἄρτου σου. See on 4:16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Dillmann 1865, col. 681.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> So Ziegler 1977, 109; Cornill 1886, 207; all MSS ἔκλειψιν.

- 5:17 σεσκλ: Λολλ: ΠεΠε: σηνς <math>τ: σεσε: λσκλ:] ε καὶ θάνατος καὶ αἶμα διελεύσονται ἐπὶ σέ, καὶ ἡομφαίαν ἐπάξω, cf. ε ε ε ε ε and εεε ε ε have been transposed.
- 6:1 שלחחל : እግዚአብሔር : שבּתַתְּל :] the regular translation of the introductory formula that occurs repeatedly in Ezekiel;  $\mathfrak S$  Καὶ ἐγένετο λόγος κυρίου πρός με λέγων;  $\mathfrak M$  היהי דבר־יהוה אלי לאמר.
- 6:3 ΦΠΛ·σ·: ΛλΚ·ΠΖ: ΛλΛ·λΑ:] Θ καὶ ἐρεῖς Τὰ ὄρη Ισραηλ = Μ; Θ Δίωκτ κτας ίσκο.
- **አግዚአብሔር :**] = B 46 26 239' 544 κυρίου; A and other MSS ἀδωναὶ κυρίου. **አዶናይ : አግዚአብሔር :**] B 106 κύριος; A and other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριος = Eth.
- **ΦΛħፌC : ΦΛ&Λት :**] & καὶ ταῖς φάραγξι καὶ ταῖς νάπαις; A-106-403' O L 534 transpose. Eth most probably follows the order of A, and ħፌC : should be regarded as the equivalent of νάπη (♣Λ: is the regular equivalent of φάραγξ); cf. 36:6.
- של "נכשיה : ለዐበይት ከሙ :]  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ ἐξολεθρευθήσεται τὰ ὑψηλὰ ὑμῶν. (1) For the use of a first-person active construction in Eth, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ . (2) Eth here gives an etymological translation of τὰ ὑψηλά = במוח, but in v. 6 translates by መታፍድ : ('towers, temples').
- 6:4 **σይትቀጠቀጥ : ምሥዋዓቲክሙ :**] <sup>®</sup> has in addition καὶ τὰ τεμένη ὑμῶν.
- 6:5 ወይወድቅ : አብድንቲሆሙ : ለደቂቀ : እስራኤል : ቅድመ : አማልክቲሆሙ :] B 106 do not have v. 5a.
- **Φρωρ : ΚΠΡ. 7-1.00.** :] all MSS except B 106 καὶ δώσω τὰ πτώματα, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ . (1) The Ethiopic construction and vocabulary is the same as that of v. 7. (2) **ΠΡ. 7** :, one of the regular equivalents for τραυματίας in Ezekiel (so e.g. in v. 4) is used here for the sole occurrence in Ezekiel of  $\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ .
- **Φράος : ምግባሪከሙ :**] B 233 do not have this; other MSS καὶ ἐξαλεῖφθωσιν (cI-403' ἐξαλειφθήσονται) τὰ ἔργα ὑμῶν = Eth.
- 6:7 **λ-Π.Κ-Դ-Էἡσ** $\bullet$ :]  $\mathfrak G$  τραυματίαι ( $L^{\bullet-46}$ -311 + ὑμῶν = Eth);  $\mathfrak G$  has in addition ἐν μέσφ ὑμῶν =  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S \mathfrak A$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> For the formula, see Zimmerli 1969, 88–90.

- 6:8 ΦλτCፍ: λΛ: ይድንጉ: አምውስቴትከሙ: አምኩናት: በውስተ: አሕዛብ:] 'But I will leave some of you who will escape from the sword among the nations', cf. כּ אים ישבים אים ישבים ישלים ישבים ישלים ישבים ישלים פֿי τῷ κρυκσθαι) ἐξ ὑμῶν ἀνασωζομένους ἐκ ῥομφαίας ἐν τοῖς ἔθνεσι.
- **ΦΛΠ : ΗΖΦ-ħ-ħΦ- :**]  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ ἐν τῷ διασκορπισμῷ ὑμῶν; 534 καὶ ἐν τῷ διασκορπῆσαι με ὑμᾶς. <sup>37</sup>
- 6:9 **ΛΑΓσ• : ΗΗσ•σ : σCλΦ : λ9•k? :** $] <math>\mathfrak{G}$  τῆ καρδία αὐτῶν τῆ ἐκπορνευούση (+ τῆ ἀποστάση OL; + καὶ τῆ ἀποστάση 239) ἀπ' ἐμοῦ.
- **ΠΡΤ:** ] 🖰 τῶν ἐπιτηδευμάτων αὐτῶν; 410 τῶν ἐπιτηδευμάτων = Eth.
- **Πλ7+: λh?: ምግባሮ :**] B does not have this; other MSS περὶ τῶν κακιῶν ὧν ἐποίησαν = Eth.
- **πhh.: λ. πλλη τ. υ. σ...** 1] & ἐν πῶσι τοῖς βδελύγμασιν αὐτῶν. The use of **λ. πλη τ.** for τὰ βδελύγματα instead of the regular equivalent **c. h. n.** : (e.g. 5:9, 11) makes explicit the reference to idolatry. Elsewhere in Ezekiel της: (7:7(3)) and **πρ. τ.** (11:18, 21; 33:26, 29) are also used.
- 6:10 ħσ : λγ : λημληλο I λh : ħħγ : Ηρηπh : Πλγ : ħ .: ዝγ : λħγ σ : ἡ 'that I [am] the Lord; [it was] not in vain that I spoke concerning all this evil of theirs'; Β διότι ἐγὼ κύριος λελάληκα; Α and other MSS ὅτι ἐγὼ κύριο ς οὐκ εἰς δωρεὰν λελάληκ α τοῦ ποιήσα ι αὐτοῖς ἄπαντ α τὰ κακὰ ταῦτα. Eth is a corrupt version of the A-text.
- 6:11 **TF.h.**: **Πλεξήσω**: **Φλόλή**: **Πλ7ሪήσω**: **ΦΠΛ**:] <sup>©</sup> Κρότησον τῆ χειρὶ καὶ ψόφησον τῷ ποδὶ καὶ εἶπον. Eth I is couched in the plural as if the people, not the prophet, were being addressed.
- **ΦΠΖ2Π: ΦΠΠΡ.ΠΡ:**  $\mathbb{C}$   $\mathbb{C}$  καὶ ἐν θανάτφ καὶ ἐν λιμῷ; Ο' L''- $\mathbb{Z}^V$  534-403' καὶ ἐν λιμῷ καὶ ἐν θανάτφ =  $\mathbb{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$  Eth.
- 6:12 **HCλΦL**: **Lord**: **Charas**: **C**
- **ΦΗ+C&:** Φὴ+: ሀገር: የጎልት: በሬታብ:]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ ὁ περιεχόμενος ἐν λιμῷ συντελεσθήσεται; A and other MSS καὶ ὁ ὑπολειφθεὶς καὶ ὁ περιεχόμενος ἐν λιμῷ συντελεσθήσεται; ( $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$  differ). Eth gives a free translation of the A-text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Cf. Knibb 1988, 29.

6:13 ΦλλΨ. [ $\Theta$  καὶ γνώσεσθε =  $\mathfrak{M}$  C; 534 καὶ γνώσονται =  $\Theta$  all Ethiopic MSS except C. In the majority of the Ethiopic MSS the person of the verb has apparently been assimilated to the context, and C alone has the original reading. But it is also possible that the reading of C represents a secondary correction.

ሶበ : ወድቀ : አብድንቲክሙ : ማእከለ : አማልከቲክሙ : ወአው ደ : ምሥዋዓቲ ከሙ :] so B L1 E3 C, cf.  $\mathfrak G$  ἐν τῷ εἶναι τοὺς τραυματίας ὑμῶν ἐν μέσῷ τῶν εἰδώλων ὑμῶν κύκλῷ τῶν θυσιαστηρίων ὑμῶν; all other Ethiopic MSS have third-person suffixes and assimilate the passage to the context, cf. 534 (αὐτῶν for ὑμῶν in each case)  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S \mathfrak A$ .

**σηλή : Καλή : Κε ης :**] B does not have this; other MSS καὶ ἐν πάσαις κορυφαῖς τῶν ὀρέων.

ወታሕተ ፡ ኩሉ ፡ ቈጽል ፡] Β does not have this; other MSS καὶ ὑποκάτω πάσης δρυὸς δασείας.

**Omb.** 1 ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  έδωκαν =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ ; cf. 20:28. 38

6:14 אייר א אריידי א (פּנּשׁ בּנּבְיבּ פּי אייר ארידי א (פּנּשׁ בּנּבי ארבי א פּרּפּי פּנּשׁ בּנּבי א פּרּפּי פּנּשׁ בּנּבי א פּרּפּי פּרּא ; פּרְפּשׁ בּנּבי א פּרּידי א פּרּידי א (פּנּשׁ בּנּבי א פּרִידי א פּרּידי א פּרּידי א פּרידי פּר

እስከ ፡ ብሔረ ፡ ኤብላታ ፡] ও ἀπὸ τῆς ἐρήμου Δεβλαθα. Eth I is corrupt, and we expect እምበድወ ፡ ኤብላታ ፡ or እምበዳ ፡ ኤብላታ ፡. Eth II partially corrects the text.

**Πὴ Λ- : ΠΑΦ-C Τ. Ι' Φ- :**]  $\mathfrak{G}$  έκ πάσης τῆς κατοικίας; L ἐν πάσαις ταῖς κατοικίαις αὐτῶν =  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

ወይአምሩ : ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ ἐπιγνώσεσθε; L καὶ ἐπιγνώσονται  $=\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$  Eth.

7:2 ወልደ ፡ እንስ ፡ አመሕያው ፡]  $\mathfrak B$  has in addition εἶπον =  $\mathfrak S\mathfrak A$ ; Eth =  $\mathfrak O\mathfrak M$ . አዶናይ ፡ አግዚአብሔር ፡]  $\mathfrak B$  147'  $\mathfrak Z^V$  (?) 410 κύριος;  $\mathfrak A$  and other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριος = Eth.

**ΦΊΛΑ** : ] 🖰 τὸ πέρας ἥκει.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> See also Knibb 1999, 100.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Knibb 1999, 30, 34.

7:3–9 The Greek text that corresponds to vv.  $6a\beta$ –9M appears in B  $O^{-Q}$  after v. 2, and the numbering of the verses in editions of the Greek text follows this order ( $3 = 6a\beta M$ , 4 = 7M, 5 = 8M, 6 = 9M, 7 = 3M, 8 = 4M, 9 = 5M). The order of the text in the other manuscripts of the Greek largely corresponds to that of M, but in these manuscripts the equivalent of vv. 3-5M was placed not after v. 2B, but after v. 3B (=  $6a\beta M$ ). The Ethiopic version follows the order of the majority of the Greek manuscripts (including A), but at the end of v. 9B (= 5aM) includes, like other witnesses, material corresponding to vv.  $5b-7a\alpha M$  that B A-106 do not have.

7:3(6aβ)-7(3) በጽሐ : ዕድሜሁ : መዐደው : ጎቤኪ : ]  $\mathfrak G$  ἥκει τὸ πέρας, νῦν τὸ πέρας πρὸς σέ;  $\mathfrak G$  حصد صح حليد  $\mathfrak G$  . والان الأجل عليك  $\mathfrak G$  ;  $\mathfrak G$  ; حصد صح حليد  $\mathfrak G$  .  $\mathfrak G$   $\mathfrak G$ 

7:8(4) **ΦΟΡ ??** : **λ. Ε Ψυhh.** : **Φλ. Ε Ψυλh.** :]  $\mathfrak{G}$  οὐ φείσεται ὁ ὀφθαλμός μου (+ ἐπὶ σέ A and other MSS = Eth), οὐδὲ μὴ ἐλεήσω.

σληπλ: Λόλλ:  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{f}$ τλ: σ $\mathbf{f}$ τλι: σ $\mathbf{f}$ λλλι:  $\mathbf{g}\mathbf{f}$ λλλλι:  $\mathbf{g}\mathbf{f}$ διότι τὴν ὁδόν σου ἐπὶ σὲ δώσω, καὶ τὰ βδελύγματά σου ἐν μέσω σου ἔσται =  $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{g}\mathbf{g}$ .

አኪት : አኪት : በጽሐት : መበጽሐ : ዕድሜን : ጎቤኪ : መተንሥአት : መናሁ : መጽአት : መተፀፍሬት :] 'Disaster! Disaster has come, and the appointed time has come upon you, and it has risen up, and see it has come and entwined itself'; B A-106 do not have this; O L and other MSS κακία μία, κακία ἰδοὺ πάρεστι(ν) (ἔρχεται L). (6) τὸ πέρας ἥκει, ἥκει τὸ πέρας, ἐξηγέρθη πρός σέ, ἰδοὺ (+ ἥκει τὸ πέρας L) ἥκει ἡ πλοκή . Eth gives an abbreviated and smoother version of the text that appears in O L. It uses a verbal form for the obscure ἥκει ἡ πλοκή (Μει τὸ πέρας), but keeps to the basic meaning of πλοκή ('twining, twisting'); cf. v. 10.

7:6(9) **Φλ. β. Ψυλλ. : ΦΟ β. ?? : λ. ት. ምυλλ. :**] 8 οὐ φείσεται ὁ ὀφθαλμός μου, οὐδὲ μὴ ἐλεήσω (+ σε 534 = Eth); cf. 8:18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> On the order of the text in the Hebrew and Greek, see Zimmerli 1969, 159–160; cf. Rahlfs 1935, II, 778; Knibb 2015, 39. The numbering of the verses in Knibb 2015 follows that of the Göttingen edition of the Septuagint (Ziegler 1977).

ዘእቀሥፍ :] ® ὁ τύπτων, cf. M; © הכייבי = T9 E1 E2 ዘእቀሥራኪ :.

7:11 **Φλh** : **Πን ን λ** :] at the end of the verse all Greek MSS except B add καὶ οὐκ ἐξ αὐτῶν εἰσιν, οὐδὲ ὡραϊσμὸς ἐν αὐτοῖς (or similar), cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ ; Eth = B.

7:13  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  ... Η ΤΥΥΓΜ : ] & διότι ὁ κτώμενος, cf. M; L'-311-Z καὶ ὁ κτώμενος =  $\mathfrak S$   $\mathfrak E$ th.

**Φ94.: Φ**·Λተ: ሕይወት: የሐይዉ: አስመ: ርአይ: **Φ**·Λተ: ከ Λንታሃ: **Φλ.ተ-ΨΦ· π**: λንħ:] Β 233 do not have this; A and other MSS (with some minor variants) καὶ ἔτι ἐν ζωῆ τὸ ζῆν αὐτῶν ὅτι ὅρασις εἰς πᾶν τὸ πλῆθος αὐτῆς, (+ καί 46 534-86<sup>mg</sup> = Eth) οὐκ ἀνακάμψει = Eth.

**Φλρωί: Λή·ηλ: Φ.Κ. ...:** κοβ **7.1:υ-:**] 'and the life of a man [is] before his eyes'; <sup>(5)</sup> καὶ ἄνθρωπος ἐν ὀφθαλμῷ ζωῆς αὐτοῦ οὐ κρατήσει. Eth has nothing corresponding to οὐ κρατήσει and gives a completely free translation.

7:14 **76.7: ΦC1: ΦΛ1Λ: ΛΙΥΛ•Φ•:**] ઉ σαλπίσατε ἐν σάλπιγγι καὶ κρίνατε τὰ σύμπαντα. Eth presupposes κηρύξατε instead of κρίνατε and was no doubt influenced by the similar passages in Hos. 5:8; Joel 2:1; cf. Jer. 4:5.

**ΦλΑΠ : ΗΣΘΠλ : λὴσ : σὴν** ፍትዮ : ላዕለ : ት ለንታሃ :] B does not have this; other MSS (with some minor variants) καὶ οὐκ ἐστι(ν) πορευόμενος εἰς τὸν πόλεμον, ὅτι ἡ ὀργή μου εἰς πᾶν τὸ πλῆθος αὐτῆς = Eth.

7:15 **ΦΗΦ-ΛΤ: UTC: ΥΤΑΦ: ΠΖΡΠ: ΦΠΠΣ-ΠΣ**]  $\mathfrak{G}$  τοὺς δὲ ἐν τῆ πόλει λίμος καὶ θάνατος συντελέσει, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .

7:16 **OBYNY**: ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  kal égovtal =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**how: CPA: H.β-ት-ΜυC: 1664:**] 'like doves learning to fly'; B does not have this; other MSS ὡς περιστεραὶ μελετητικαί (or similar). The translators linked μελετητικαί with μελετάω understood as 'to practise', 'to study', and then supplied **1664:** to fill out the sense. <sup>41</sup>

**Φλ+λησ»: Λh'λ»σ»: Π'λη, λ+λησ»:**] & πάντας ἀποκτενῶ, ἕκαστον ἐν ταῖς ἀδικίαις αὐτοῦ, cf. M & A. (1) Eth does not render ἕκαστον. (2) Eth uses second-person masculine plural pronominal suffixes in vv. 16b–18 in a direct address to the audience, cf. v. 14.

7:18 **Φት Φንቲ :** ... **Φβ Κ Κ Υ Ν Ι** Β΄ καὶ περιζώσονται ... καὶ καλύψει αὐτούς = Μ Θ.

ውንፍሬት ፡ ውስተ ፡ ገጽ ከሙ ፡]  $\mathfrak G$  καὶ ἐπὶ πᾶν πρόσωπον αἰσχύνη ἐπ' αὐτούς, cf.  $\mathfrak M\mathfrak G$ .

ወውስተ ፡ ርእሰ ፡ ትልክሙ  $\cdot$  ]  $\mathfrak S$  καὶ ἐπὶ πᾶσαν κεφαλήν, cf.  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S$ .

7:19 **Φ-λ-ナ: Φ-Cλ-Λ:**]  $\mathfrak{G}$  has in addition καὶ τὸ χρυσίον αὐτῶν ὑπεροφθήσεται, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .

**Φλ. \mathcal{S} \mathcal** 

**Πλ7ተ:** σφωντ: τα,λησω: μτησω:] 'because (**Πλ7τ:** for **Πλ7τ:** μ) the punishment of their sin has come on them'; ε διότι βάσανος τῶν ἀδικῶν αὐτῶν ἐγένετο. Eth adds 'on them'.

7:20 **٦૯٠ : Λ9Λም : ΛትዝΊርቶሙ : ૮ΛΡ :**] 'The choice things of the world they made into [objects of] their arrogance'; & ἐκλεκτὰ κόσμου εἰς ὑπερηφανίαν ἔθεντο αὐτά. Eth gives a literal translation of the Greek, but

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Cf. Knibb 1988, 27.

took κόσμου as 'world' not as 'ornament' and added the third-person plural possessive suffix to 'arrogance'.

716: Λοσο :] & καὶ ... ἐποίησαν. Eth adds 'for themselves'.

**λ ຳ Λ C h P σ :** ] 'I have humbled them' or 'I have shamed them'; & δέδωκα αὐτὰ αὐτοῖς εἰς ἀκαθαρσίαν. (1) The 'them' in Eth most naturally refers to the subject of ∠Λ P : and ¬ Λ :, but in the Greek αὐτά refers to the 'choice things' (ἐκλεκτά), the gold and silver made into images; cf. L4. (2) The normal equivalent of ἀκαθαρσία in Ezekiel is Ch :, and we would have expected a form of ∠ h · not ¬ λ · i for διδόναι εἰς ἀκαθαρσίαν; cf. v. 22.

7:21 Φλ? Πλ :] Θ καὶ παραδώσω αὐτά. In this verse the verbal suffixes in the Ethiopic, like the repeated αὐτά in the Greek, refer to the images (v. 20).

መየሐበልይዎ : ኃፕአን : ምድር :] 'and the sinners of the earth will despoil them', cf.  $\mathfrak A$  : ৬ καὶ τοῖς λοιμοῖς τῆς γῆς εἰς σκῦλα, cf.  $\mathfrak M$   $\mathfrak S$ .

7:22 In the Greek it is not clear whether it is the inhabitants of the land of Israel or the foreigners from whom God turns his face and who cause defilement, but in the Ethiopic it is clearly the former.

**λλω: λCh-λ: μιανο**ῦσι τὴν ἐπισκοπήν μου, cf. M ε. Eth appears to have found difficulty with ἐπισκοπή in this context and gives a completely free translation.

**σελησ»: Φεκηξρο»: σεκηξρο»: σεληλίσουσι** and they will come upon them, and surprise them, and treat them with ignominy; ε καὶ εἰσελεύσονται εἰς αὐτὰ ἀφυλάκτως καὶ βεβηλώσουσιν αὐτά. (1) The subject in both the Greek and Ethiopic is most obviously the foreigners, but while in the Greek αὐτά refers again to the images (v. 20), the 'them' in Eth are the inhabitants of the land of Israel who defiled the law. (2) For **λ της:** as the equivalent of βεβηλοῦν, cf. the translation of διδόναι εἰς ἀκαθαρσίαν (v. 20).

7:23 Beginning. & καὶ ποιήσουσι φυρμόν, cf. M & A; Eth omits.

λስመ : ከመ : መልአት : ምድር : አሕዛበ : መመልአት : ሀገር : ጎጢአተ :] 'Because as the land is full of peoples, [so] the city is full of  $\sin$ ';  $\mathfrak S$  διότι  $\mathfrak h$  γ $\mathfrak h$  πλήρης λαῶν, καὶ  $\mathfrak h$  πόλις πλήρης ἀνομίας;  $\mathfrak m$   $\mathfrak S$   $\mathfrak M$  differ. Cf. 9:9.

7:24 **Φλσκλ** : λħ-𝒮': λλη : **ΦΕΦC** $\rat{DP}$  : λη  $\rat{P}$  :  $\rat{B}$  B does not have this; other MSS καὶ ἄξω πονηροὺς ἐθνῶν καὶ κληρονομήσουσιν τοὺς οἴκους αὐτῶν =  $\rat{M}$ , cf.  $\rat{S}$   $\rat{A}$ .

7:25–26 **Φρωγλ : ΗΡΟCΦ :**]  $\mathfrak{G}$  έξιλασμὸς (49 καὶ ἐξιλασμὸς) ήξει ( $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$  differ). 42

**መድንጋዔ**: **በዲበ**: **ድንጋዔ**:] 'and terror upon terror'; & καὶ ἀγγελία ἐπὶ ἀγγελίαν ἔσται, cf.  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ . **ድንጋዔ**: is used for ἀγγελία in place of the expected **LF**: (cf. 21:7; Isa. 28:9; 37:7); it has perhaps come in from v. 18 where it is used for θάμβος.

¿λρ: λη, β: ] & ὅρασις ἐκ προφήτου, cf. Μ € Ϥ C Eth II.

**Φትት7ደፍ : አሪት : አምካሀናት :**] 3 καὶ νόμος ἀπολεῖται ἐξ ἱερέως. Elsewhere in Ezekiel **784**: is used for ἀπόλλυμι in 34:4, 16; 39:3.

**Φት** ተፈሳሪ : **PhC** : ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ βουλή =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ . The verb has perhaps been added in Eth from Jer. 18:18, where **∠ΛΟ** : III.1 is used for ἀπολεῖται.

7:27 **Φρίλ.** : **٦٠** $\mu$  :] B does not have this; other MSS ὁ (καὶ ὁ L) βασιλεὺς πενθήσει =  $\mathfrak{M}$ , cf.  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}$ .

ששא : ይለብስ : באלה ; ' and the prince will be clothed in ignominy'; Θ καὶ ὁ (Β does not have καὶ ὁ) ἄρχων ἐνδύσεται ἀφανισμόν = Θ ປ; Μ עמבה . Eth gives a free translation of ἀφανισμόν, perhaps influenced by the thought of v. 18.

**Πλου: ከ" ' Έυ συ :** ] & έν τοῖς κρίμασιν αὐτῶν = M & A Eth II.

8:1 እንዘ : ሀሎት : እንብር :] cf. © ბაბო 그ბა ベンベ; ও ἐγὼ (om. A 147') ἐκαθήμην.

ውስተ ፡ ቤትየ ፡]  $\mathfrak{G}$  ἐν τῷ οἴκῷ; OL add μου =  $\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}$  Eth.

መረበናተ : ይሁና : ] & has in addition ἐκαθήντο, cf. M S II.

**እግዚአብሔር ፡ አዶናይ ፡**] B 26 403' 544 κύριου; A and other MSS ἀδωναὶ κυρίου.

8:2 **ወር** ໄດ້  $\mathbf{h}$  : ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  has in addition καὶ ἰδού =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A} A 2^2$ .

**λλh: Λόλ-:**]  $B^{txt}$  147' V 534 ὑπεράνω; other MSS ὑπεράνω αὐτοῦ; 410 ἕως ἄνω αὐτοῦ = Eth.

h**ω : Cλየተ : አው ራስ : አምሳለ : አለ : ቄጥሩ :**] Β ὡς ὅρασις ἠλέκτρου; other MSS ὡς ὅρασις αἴρας, ὡς εἶδος ἠλέκτρου = Eth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Cf. Knibb 1999, 63.

8:3 **Φλ7 ሥአ : hመ : Ηλ**  $\mathbf{\mathcal{R}}$  :]  $\mathbf{\mathfrak{G}}$  καὶ ἐξέτεινεν ὁμοίωμα χειρός, cf.  $\mathbf{\mathfrak{M}}$   $\mathbf{\mathfrak{G}}$  (પ્રવત્)  $\mathbf{\mathfrak{A}}$  (ખ્રાવ્ય). See on 6:14.

ማእከለ : ሰማይ : መምድር : ] =  $\mathfrak A$  שين السما والأرض ;  $\mathfrak B$  ἀνὰ μέσον τῆς γῆς καὶ ἀνὰ μέσον τοῦ οὐρανοῦ =  $\mathfrak M$   $\mathfrak S$ .

**10 : λ74% : Φ-1 π. :**] Β 407 ἐπὶ τὰ πρόθυρα τῆς πύλῆς; other MSS add τῆς ἐσωτέρας = Eth; Eth omits τὰ πρόθυρα.

ምስል :]  $\mathfrak{G}$  ή στήλη τοῦ κτωμένου; O' L'-311- $Z^V$  403' ή στήλη τῆς εἰκόνος τοῦ ζήλου(ς) τοῦ κτωμένου;  $\mathfrak{M}$  המקנה המקנה. Eth has abbreviated the text and gives, with ምስል :, an interpretation of ἡ στήλη in the light of the context.

8:4 **መሬክብի.** •] 🖰 καὶ ἰδοὺ ... ἦν; Μ תוהה; 🤝 ܡΦΦ; מ לבו છ. See on 3:23. **#ከመ : ሮኤ.h. • ዮዲመ. •**] 'such as I had seen before'; Θ κατὰ τὴν ὅρασιν, ῆν εἶδον. Eth gives a free translation.

8:5 **Φλ2Ch**:]  $\mathfrak{S}$  has in addition τοῖς ὀφθαλμοῖς μου, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .

**ΦCλ.h.** : ] & καὶ ἰδού = M & A; see on 1:15.

ምንገስ : ደቡብ : ጎበ : ኆንተ : ምሥዋዕ : ምስስ : ዘው ኢተ : ቅንኢት : ወኢምህ የ : ደበው እ : ለመንገስ : ጽባሕ : ] 'towards the north, by the altar gate, the image of jealousy, where the entrance lies (lit. 'and from there it leads [one] in') towards the east';  $\mathfrak G$  ἀπὸ βορρᾶ ἐπὶ τὴν πύλην τὴν ( $\mathfrak B$  τῆς) πρὸς ἀνατολάς; <sup>43</sup> Α''-106' 46  $\mathfrak CII$ -86'-239' ἀπὸ βορρᾶ ἐπὶ τὴν πύλην τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου ἡ εἰκὼν τοῦ ζήλους τούτου ἐν τῷ εἰσπορεύεσθαι (+ σε Α\*-544) αὐτὴν τὴν βλέπουσαν πρὸς ἀνατολάς, cf.  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S \mathfrak A$ . (1) Eth is based on the A-text, but does not represent this accurately. (2) **መትምህየ :** is perhaps a corruption of an original **ትንዝ :**.

8:6 **ΗΡΊΠሩ : ቤተ : ληλ-ኤል :**] Β ποιοῦσιν; other MSS ἃς ὁ οἶκος Ισραηλ ποιοῦσιν = Eth.

8:7 መሬክብኩ : ሰተራተ : ሙስተ : አሬፍት :] B does not have this; other MSS καὶ εἶδον, καὶ ἰδοὺ ὀπὴ μία ἐν τῷ τοίχῷ =  $\mathfrak{M}$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}$  ત્રેનેવાન હાર્મ વાર્ષ છે. For መሬክብኩ :, see on 3:23.

8:8 **ħሪ : Φ·ስተ : λረፍት :**] Β 106' ὄρυξον; other MSS ὄρυξον δὴ ἐν τῷ τοίχ $φ = \mathfrak{M}$  ( $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ ).

ውስተ ፡ አረፍት ፡  $(2^{\circ})$ ] B A do not have this; O C other MSS ἐν τῷ τοίχ $\phi = \mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}$ .

שבאת (פ אבים β (פ καὶ ἰδού, m והנה. See on 3:23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> So Rahlfs 1935, II, 780.

8:10 **ΦCh.h·**  $\mathbf{i}$ ] =  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ εἶδον καὶ ἰδού =  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

**λምሳስ**: ከሌ : λόዋፍ : Φλγη : hγ: : ΦCh : ] 'representations of all [kinds of] birds and of vain and unclean animals'; Β μάταια βδελύγματα; other MSS πᾶσα ὁμοίωσις ἑρπετοῦ καὶ κτήνους, μάταια βδελύγματα, cf. M ©. Eth follows the majority Greek text, but does not represent this accurately; in particular the origin of λόዋፍ : is not clear.

ጽሑፍ : ውስተ : አውዳ :]  $\mathfrak G$  διαγεγραμμένα ἐπ' αὐτοῦ κύκλφ ( $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S \mathfrak A$  differ). Eth does not separately represent ἐπ' αὐτοῦ, cf. 28:23.

8:11  $\mathfrak{PF7}$ : ] an inner-Ethiopic corruption of  $\mathfrak{G}$  Σαφαν;  $\mathfrak{M}$  τον  $=\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}$ . **ΦΗ Λ. Φ.:**  $\mathfrak{PRΦ-}$ :  $\mathfrak{PRΦ-}$ :  $\mathfrak{POM7+}$ :] 'and all of them carried censers';  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ ἔκαστος θυμιαστήριον αὐτοῦ εἶχεν ἐν τῆ χειρί, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .

8:14 **λንተጸ**: **O**ጻጹ: ቤተ: **λግዚአብሔር**:] & ἐπὶ τὰ πρόθυρα τῆς πύλης οἶκου κυρίου = M & A. (1) Eth **O**ጻጹ: derives from a misreading of πύλης as αὐλῆς; the same mistake is found in 9:2 in 62, and the reverse mistake in 8:7 (Q V 239); 8:16 (26); 10:4 (*cII*). (2) The majority reading ቤተ: ληλ-λ-λ : was caused by the repeated occurrence of the phrase in the preceding verses (vv. 6, 10, 11, 12).

8:15 **HPON.: 'ἸΜ.λ-Ρ-ΦΡ-!**] 'their sins, which are greater';  $\mathfrak A$  είμενω είνενων είνεν είνει είνενων είνενων είνενων είνεν

8:16 **ΦΡΡΦ: "ΓΤΤ: ΦΡΡΛ:**]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ ἰδού (om. καὶ ἰδού  $\mathfrak{B}$  O-407 =  $\mathfrak{E}$ th) ἐπὶ τῶν προθύρων τοῦ ναοῦ =  $\mathfrak{M}$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}$  πλωπι  $\mathfrak{S}$  πλωπι =  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**Τως: δκω:** ] Β ώς εἴκοσι ἄνδρες; all other MSS ώς εἴκοσι καὶ πέντε ἄνδρες =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$  Eth, cf. 11:1.

መንገስ ፡ ጽባሕ ፡]  $\mathfrak G$  ἀπέναντι; L-311-46-449- $Z^V$  κατέναντι πρὸς ἀνατολήν; V κατ' ἀνατολήν =  $\mathfrak M$   $\mathfrak S$   $\mathfrak A$   $\mathfrak E$ th.

ወይሰማዱ :] ଓ καὶ οὖτοι προσκυνοῦσι.

**ስፀሓይ** :] B τῷ ἡλί $\phi = \mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$  Eth; all other MSS κατ' ἀνατολὰς τῷ ἡλί $\phi = \mathfrak{M}$ .

8:17 ታስተቃልል፦ : ለቤተ : ይሁዳ : ዘንተ : ዘይገብሩ : ኀጢአተ : በዝየ :] 'Do you think this sin, which they commit here, a light thing for the house of Judah?';  $\mathfrak B$  μὴ μικρὰ τῷ οἴκῷ Ιουδα τοῦ ποιεῖν τὰς ἀνομίας, ἃς πεποιήκασιν ὧδε;, cf. χ;  $\mathfrak S$  <a href="డਾ ਨਾਰਕਾਰ ਨਾ

ማየቶሙ :] <sup>©</sup> ἀνομίας. The usual equivalents in Ezekiel for ἀνομία/ἀνομίαι are ኀጢሉት : and ጌጋይ :, but ማየት : is used here, and ዐመባ : in 18:12; 28:16.

שסאשה: שאשי 'and they have been unfaithful to me and have provoked me to anger'; B does not have this; other MSS καὶ ἐπέστρεψαν (ἀπέστρεψαν L'-311) τοῦ παροργίσαι με; Μ וישבו להכעיסני. The verb is used here with a following verb in the sense 'to do again', and the Greek follows this construction, but Eth has interpreted the verb 'to turn' in the light of the context.

**ΦΥυ:** ] 8 καὶ ἰδοὺ αὐτοί (om. αὐτοί 407 = Eth).

**λንԴ : 0% Φ Φ : ΦΡήΔ Φ :**] Β ώς μυκτηρίζοντες; other MSS ἐκτείνουσι τὸ κλῆμα (+ αὐτῶν 407 = Eth) ώς μυκτηρίζοντες.

8:18 **λትቤቀሎሙ : Πመዐትዮ :**] 'I will punish them in my wrath'; <sup>©</sup> ποιήσω αὐτοῖς μετὰ θυμοῦ. Eth translates according to the sense; cf. 5:10, 15; 11:9.

መኢ.ይግሀሎሙ ፣ መዐይንየሂ ፣ ኢ.ትምህኮሙ ፣]  $\mathfrak G$  οὐ φείσεται ὁ ὀφθαλμός μου, οὐδὲ μὴ ἐλεήσω =  $\mathfrak M \mathfrak S \mathfrak A$ ; cf. 7:6(9).

**ΦΡΟΦΡ.Φ.:** Φ-Λ-ተ: ληγ: ΛΟΛ.Β.:  $\cancel{P}$ Α: ΦΑ.ΕΛΥΡΥ. ] B does not have this; A and other MSS καὶ καλέσουσιν ἐν τοῖς ἀσίν μου (κεκράξονται εἰς τὰ ἀτα μου L-311-V-46- $Z^V$ ) φωνῆ μεγάλη (om. φωνῆ μεγάλη A) καὶ οὐ μὴ εἰσακούσω αὐτῶν. **ΡΟΦΡ.Φ.:** may be based on κεκράξονται rather than καλέσουσιν, cf. 27:30.

שלייה איי איז יור פקדות העיר פקוות העיר פקעוע פעויעופור זיי פֿאָר פֿאַר פֿאָר פּאָר פּאָר פּאָר פּאָר פֿאָר פֿאָר פֿאָר אָאָר אָאָר אָאָר אָאָר פֿאָר פֿאָר פֿאָר פֿאָר אָאָר אָאָר אָאָר אָאָר אָאָר אָאָר אָאָר אָא

של לישי : שיל לישי : אַרְּעָרְיִיי : אַרְּעָרְיִיי : אַרְרָּעָרִיי : אַרָּעָרָיי : אַרָּעָרָי : אַרָּעַרִי : וווי : אַרָּעַרִי : וווי : אַרָּעַרִי : וווי : אַרָּעַרִי : וווי : אַרָּעַרִי : וווי : אַרָּעַרָי : וווי : אַרָּעַרַי : וווי : ווווי : וווי : ווווי : וווי : ווווי : וווי :

9:2 **மரு المنابع الم** 

**λምፍኖተ** : **λንቀጽ** :]  $\mathfrak{G}$  ἀπὸ τῆς ὁδοῦ τῆς πύλης τῆς ὑψηλῆς (om. τῆς ὑψηλῆς 410 = Eth).

70: ምሥዋዕ: ] & ἐχόμενοι τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου; see on 1:15.

9:3 **λም h.ሩ-በ**:]  $\mathfrak{G}$  ἀπὸ τῶν χερουβιν;  $Q^{txt}$ -88 ἀπὸ τοῦ χερουβ =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ . (1) Eth has the singular, but the form should be taken as a collective, cf. 10:5 **h'ሬ-ሀ'**  $\mathfrak{M}$ : (2)  $\mathfrak{G}$  has in addition ἡ οὖσα ἐπ' αὐτῶν, cf.  $\mathfrak{M}$  ( $\mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$  differ).

መቦአ : ቤተ :] 🖰 εἰς τὸ αἴθριον τοῦ οἴκου = M S A. Eth is corrupt and perhaps originally read መጣለ : ቤት :, cf. 10:4.

9:4-5 Eth has assimilated God's instruction to the man clothed in a long robe and his instruction to the other men to the context of Chapter 8 in which there are repeated references to God speaking to the prophet, and it has made the prophet the addressee in both 9:4 (OPAN:) and 9:5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> For (M): β<sup>ν</sup>ιζω·Ρω·: as a translation of τὰ σκεύη τῆς ἐξολεθρεύσεως, cf. Knibb 1999, 96, n. 3.

 $<sup>^{45}</sup>$  L2 $^{mg}$  has a virtually identical text of the latter part of this.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Cf. Zimmerli 1969, 195–196.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Da Bassano 1922/1923–1925/1926, iv.215.

(ወበሎሙ ፡ ለአሉ ፡ አንዘ ፡ አስምዕከ ፡). Eth II does have the required reading in v. 4 (ወይቤሎ ፡), but probably as a result of a secondary correction.

9:4 **Φριλί: λημληλι :**] Β 46 καὶ εἶπε πρὸς αὐτόν; all other MSS καὶ εἶπε κύριος πρὸς αὐτόν =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$  Eth II.

መደይ ፡ ዘንተ ፡ ትእምርተ ፡] Eth II (መጸሐፍ ፡ ሔትዮተ ፡) can only be explained in terms of direct knowledge of the Hebrew text.<sup>48</sup>

**λΛ : ተከዙ :**]  $\mathfrak{G}$  τῶν καταστεναζόντων καὶ τῶν καταδυνωμένων =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .

9:5 ΦΠΛ-Φ-: ΛλΛ-: λλΗ: λΛΦΟΛ:] 'And say to the others while I am listening to you'; & καὶ τούτοις εἶπεν ἀκούοντός μου = M, cf. & A; see note above. Da Bassano corrects to ΦΡΩΛ-Φ-: ΛλΛ-: አλΗ: λΛΦΟ :.49

ውስተ ፡ ሀገር ፡ ድኅሬሁ ፡] word order =  $OL\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}$  ὀπίσω αὐτοῦ εἰς τὴν πόλιν.

**መኢትምህትሙ : ወይንከሙ : መኢትምሐሩ :**]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ μὴ φείδεσθε τοῖς ὀφθαλμοῖς ὑμῶν καὶ μὴ ἐλεήσητε, cf.  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$ . For the possibility that **መኢትምሐሩ :** is to be taken with what follows, see on v. 6.

**λ9° δ,Κω· :**] 106 ἀπὸ τῶν ἀνδρῶν =  $\mathfrak{A}$ ; other MSS ἀπὸ τῶν ἀνδρῶν τῶν πρεσβυτέρων =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$ , cf. C Eth II.

እስ ፡ ውስተ ፡ ቤት ፡]  $\mathfrak G$  οῦ ἦσαν ἔσω (om. ἔσω L-311-V-46) ἐν τῷ οἴκῷ;  $\mathfrak M$  אשר  $\mathfrak G$ , cf.  $\mathfrak S$   $\mathfrak A$ .

9:7 **σምልሉ : σ-ስተ : ϜϚው :**]  $\mathfrak S$  καὶ πλήσατε τὰς ὁδοὺς νεκρῶν =  $\mathfrak M$   $\mathfrak S$   $\mathfrak A$ ; cf. C and Eth II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> See Knibb 1988, 33–34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Da Bassano 1922/1923–1925/1926, iv.215.

9:8 ΦΛΛ : ΤΗΦ- : ]  $\mathfrak G$  καὶ ἐγένετο ἐν τῷ κόπτειν αὐτούς =  $\mathfrak M$ . Eth does not render ἐγένετο, cf.  $\mathfrak G \mathfrak A$ .

አዶናይ : እግዚአ : ] Β κύριε; all other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριε = Eth.

#mshort : ] & (εί) ἐξαλείφθεις σύ, cf. M S A. Eth does not represent σύ.

ስሕለ : ተርፉ : እስራኤል :] cf.  $\mathfrak{S}$  בישארית ישראל  $\mathfrak{S}$  τούς καταλοίπους τοῦ Ισραηλ,  $\mathfrak{M}$  ; $\mathfrak{S}$  τούς καταλοίπους τοῦ Ισραηλ  $\mathfrak{S}$  የلأسرايل

9:9 **ሬ.ዶ. ሩ.ዶ. :**]  $\mathfrak G$  σφόδρα σφόδρα =  $\mathfrak M$ ; 407  $36^*$ -311 490-86-403' 26 410 σφόδρα =  $\mathfrak E$  Eth.

ወይብሉ:  $] = \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{S}$  ὅτι εἶπαν  $= \mathfrak{M}$ .

**Φλ. β. Δλ.** :] 8 οὐκ ἐφορᾶ ὁ κύριος, cf. M S ચ.

9:10 **ΦΟΣΤ : λ. † ምυኮ** : **Φλ. ይም λ (\* σ · )** Θ καὶ οὐ φείσεται ὁ ὀφθαλμός μου, οὐδὲ μὴ ἐλεήσω, cf. M.

9:11 عندم ع بالمنام (المندم عند) . Cf. 1:25.

10:1 **ΦCλ.h**: ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ ἰδού =  $\mathfrak{M}$ ; see on 1:15.

אשר על־ראש א להרבים אשר על־ראש ב τοῦ ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς τῶν χερουβιν, Μ אשר על־ראש א הכרבים. The Cherubim are mentioned repeatedly in Chapter 10, and Eth I consistently has h לה ו, to be understood as a collective, as the equivalent of τὰ χερουβιν/הכרובים (the Hebrew has the singular in vv. 2, 4); see on 9:3. However, h לה ו is also used in vv. 7, 9, 14 as the equivalent of ὁ χερουβιν, and in these passages h לה ו is singular.

ከመ ፡ አምሳለ ፡ ሰንፔር ፡ ]  $\mathfrak{G}$  ώς λίθος σαπφίρου. For ከመ ፡ አምሳለ ፡, cf.  $\mathfrak{G}$   $\sim$   $\sim$   $\sim$   $\sim$   $\sim$   $\mathfrak{A}$ 

**ΦΡ. Π.**  $\boldsymbol{U}$ :  $\boldsymbol{h}$   $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$   $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$   $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$   $\boldsymbol{\eta}$   $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$   $\boldsymbol{\sigma$ 

10:2 **%%** :]  $\mathfrak{G}$  τὴν στολήν; 130 τὸν ποδήρη = Eth. But Eth may have been assimilated to 9:2, 3, 11; cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .

እዴክ :]  $\mathfrak{G}$  τὰς δράκας σου, cf.  $\mathfrak{M}$  , πσεις  $\mathfrak{G}$  (; A  $22^{c}$  C''-86'-239' τὰς χεῖράς σου. **መዝርዎ** :] 'and scatter it', cf.  $\mathfrak{A}$ ;  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ διασκόρπισον.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Cf. Knibb 1999, 30, 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> For the use of **4.89**:, see Knibb 1999, 101.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> See also Cooke 1936, 21, 111.

10:4 ΦΠλ : ቤተ :]  $\mathfrak{G}$  εἰς τὸ αἴθριον τοῦ οἴκου =  $\mathfrak{M}$ , cf.  $\mathfrak{G}$  ( $\mathfrak{A}$ ). See on 9:3. ΦΦΛλ :  $\mathfrak{ORR}$  : ΦΠΛΦ : ΛΠΛΤΨ : ΛλημληΛΓ :]  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ ἡ αὐλὴ ἐπλήσθη τοῦ φέγγους τῆς δόξης κύριου. The construction of Eth has been assimilated to that of the preceding clause.

10:5 በጻናል: 0ጻጵ: ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  έως τῆς αὐλῆς τῆς ἐξωτέρας =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C}$  Eth II. hơ:  $\mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{A}$ : λημληλα: Ηβγηη: Ληβ: ] 'like the voice of the Lord Almighty speaking';  $\mathfrak{S}$  ώς φωνὴ θεοῦ Σαδδαι λαλοῦντος. We would expect Ληβ: to follow λημληλα:, and Ληβ: may have been transposed during the transmission of the text.

10:7 **Φλንሥλ : አዲሁ :**] <sup>©</sup> καὶ ἐξέτεινε ... τὴν χεῖρα αὐτοῦ, cf. M <sup>©</sup> (ערבור) See on 6:14.

ከ.ሩብ :  $(1^{\circ})$ ] B does not have this; A and other MSS  $\acute{o}$  χερου $β = \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$  Eth. ለዝኩ : ብእሲ : ... ውስተ : እዲሁ : ] cf.  $\mathfrak{S}$   $\prec$  ነጋላ ፣ መንደውው =  $\mathfrak{A}$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}$  εἰς τὰς χεῖρας =  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

10:8 **λደ : ሰብλ :**] 🖰 χειρῶν ἀνθρώπων; cf. v. 21.

10:9 **ΦCλ.h**: ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ ἰδού =  $\mathfrak{M}$ ; see on 1:15.

'Μ : ኪሩብ : ... ΔΔ΄ : ኪሩብ :] 🖰 ἐχόμενοι τῶν χερουβιν ... ἐχόμενος χερουβ ἑνός; see on 1:15.

 $\vec{b}$  : σολος εἰς ἐχόμενος χερουβ ἑνός; A and other MSS add καὶ τροχὸς εἰς ἐχόμενος χερουβ ένός (τοῦ χερουβ A), cf.  $\mathfrak{M}$  L4.

ወርእየቶሙ : ለኪሩብ :]  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ ἡ ὄψις τῶν τροχῶν =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .

ከመ : አፍሓም :] ଓ ὡς ὄψις λίθου ἄνθρακος.

10:10 **Φλαν: Λλαθτίναν:**] 3 καὶ ἡ ὄψις αὐτῶν ὁμοίωμα εν τοῖς τέσσαρσιν. Eth I does not render ὁμοίωμα εν; see on 1:5.

10:11 **ወሶበ ፡ የሐውሩ ፡**] 🖰 ንን do not have a copula.

ወኢይትመየጡ :] & m do not have a copula.

ብሔረ ፡ ጎበ ፡ አንጻረ ፡ ፩ ፡ ቀዳማዊ ፡ ዮሐውሩ ፡] 'wherever a leader faced, they went'; ଓ ὅτι εἰς δν ἄν τόπον ἐπέβλεψεν ἡ ἀρχὴ ἡ μία, ἐπορεύοντο; 꽤 כֹי

ילכו אחריו אחרייפנה אשר־יפנה, cf.  $\mathfrak S$   $\mathfrak A$ . Eth gives a literal translation of the Greek, which is based on a misreading of האחד.

10:12 ΦΛΖ 7 1 1 9 καὶ οἱ τροχοί.

**ΛΩ : ΛΔ7Λυ' συ- :**] Β τοῖς τέσσαρσι τροχοῖς; all other MSS τοῖς τέσσαρσι τροχοῖς αὐτῶν = Eth.

10:14  $\varpi$  : າາະ: ...  $\varpi$  ເວລາ ເປັນ : າາະ: າາຕະ B does not have this verse. Eth gives a simplified version of the Greek text attested by the other MSS, cf.  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

10:15 **ΦΛ**24: **九**41:] 'and the Cherubim flew up';  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ ἐπῆραν τὰ χερουβιν =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ . Eth uses the verb that serves as the equivalent of μετεωρίζεσθαι in vv. 16, 17, 19.

10:16 **እንዘ : እንተብን : ምስሌሆ** :] 🖰 καὶ οὖτοι ἐχόμενοι αὐτῶν, but 534 omits καὶ οὖτοι, cf. Eth; אצלם אָ , כּ בּפּישָּה, אָ בּלִּה. Eth has a double translation, but see on 1:15.

שף את או ייי א היי אין '(from) beside them' (lit. 'being near with them'); B V do not have this; other MSS καίγε αὐτοὶ ἀπὸ τῶν ἐχόμενα αὐτῶν; Μ מביהם (או differs). Eth has been influenced by the translation in the first half of the verse; see on 1:15.

10:18 **እምቤት :**] = B ἀπὸ τοῦ οἴκου; other MSS ἀπὸ τοῦ αἰθρίου τοῦ οἴκου, cf.  $\mathfrak{M}$  הבית אפתן הבית  $\mathfrak{S}$  , מעל מפתן הבית  $\mathfrak{S}$  , מעל מפתן הבית  $\mathfrak{S}$ 

10:19  $\Lambda$ **Π**:  $\sigma$ δ $\Lambda$ : ] = B O C ἐν τῷ ἐξελθεῖν αὐτά,  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ ; other MSS ἐν τῷ ἐξαιρεῖν αὐτά.

ምስሌሆሙ : ] ල ἐχόμενοι αὐτῶν; Μ בתכשם = ચ; see on 1:15.

ንበ : አንቀጸ : ኤኤ : ጸናፊ :] ଓ ἐπὶ τὰ πρόθυρα τῆς πύλης. Eth has added ጸናፊ : <sup>54</sup>

እግዚአብሔር :]  $\mathfrak{G}$  θεοῦ Ισραηλ =  $\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{S}$ ; A''-239'-403' 62 κυρίου θεοῦ Ισραηλ. **መልዕል ቴሆሙ :**]  $\mathfrak{G}$  ὑπεράνω =  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Cf. Zimmerli 1969, 232.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> For the translation equivalents, see Knibb 1999, 105–106.

10:21  $\mathbf{\tilde{Q}}$ : 7% :] so I read with C, but the reading of C represents a correction of  $\mathbf{\tilde{Q}}$ : hhav:/hhavar: attested by the other MSS;  $\mathfrak{G}$  τέσσαρα πρόσωπα =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .

**λ.Κ.: Λ·Πλ.:**] & χειρῶν ἀνθρώπου; 147' χειρός ἀνθρώπου = Eth; cf. v. 8.

10:22  $\mathbf{σ}$ β †  $\mathbf{σ}$ Λ :  $\mathbf{γ}$ Λ

hστι: 18στι: ] ε ταῦτα τὰ πρόσωπά ἐστιν; see on 2:1.

hመዝ : ርሕየ-ዮሙ :] cf. 🕾 ເວັດພາມ ຫລາວຫລຸ રા; B does not have this; A and other MSS τὴν ὄρασιν αὐτῶν.

ση φων : ] Θ καὶ αὐτὰ ἕκαστον; Θ το τος, cf. A.

11:1 **ΗΡΈΧ΄ : ΚΊΧ΄ : ΧΊΧ΄ :** Τὴν κατέναντι τὴν βλέπουσαν κατὰ ἀνατολάς, cf. Μ Θ Ϥ. Eth omits τὴν κατέναντι.

ቅድመ : ኆኅተ : ኤኤ :] ଓ ἐπὶ τῶν προθύρων τῆς πύλης.<sup>55</sup>

ሺወ፭ ፡ ዕደው ፡]  $\mathfrak{G}$  ώς εἴκοσι καὶ πέντε ἄνδρες, but 130-233-534 omit  $\dot{\omega}\varsigma = \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$  Eth; cf. 8:16.

**ስኢ ኮን.** hoን : ] = B A 407 130-233-534 τὸν Ιεχονιαν; other MSS τὸν Ιεζονιαν =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ ; cf. 8:11.

ውልደ ፡ ሳፍ ፡ ሊቆሙ ፡ ለሕዝብ ፡]  $\mathfrak G$  τοὺς ἀφηγουμένους τοῦ λαοῦ =  $\mathfrak M$   $\mathfrak G$   $\mathfrak A$  C A2 L2 L4; 130 τοῦ Ασαφ ἡγουμένους τοῦ λαοῦ =  $\mathsf{Eth}$ .

11:2 **ወይቤላኒ**  $[] = \mathfrak{M}$  אלי אלי אלי אלי פֿוֹתב אטסוֹסכ אָססֹס עב =  $\mathfrak{S}$  אוויאמר אלי פֿוֹר אַססוֹסכ אָססֹס עב =  $\mathfrak{S}$  אַ

መእኩየ ፡ ይመክሩ ፡]  $\mathfrak{G}$  καὶ βουλευόμενοι βουλὴν πονηράν =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ . Eth does not separately represent βουλήν.

11:3 OP 11 :] & M do not have a copula.

እንተሙሰ : ] = 147' V ὑμεῖς δέ;  $\mathfrak G$  ἡμεῖς δέ =  $\mathfrak M$   $\mathfrak G$   $\mathfrak A$  Eth II.

11:4 **+10.8**: (2°)] omitted by most Ethiopic MSS, perhaps under the influence of the Syro-Arabic which also does not repeat the command.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> For the translation equivalents, see Knibb 1999, 105–106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Cf. Ziegler 1977, 19; Knibb 1988, 28–29.

11:5 ΦΦΆ  $\mathbf{\lambda}$  :]  $\mathbf{S}$  καὶ ἔπεσεν =  $\mathbf{M}$   $\mathbf{S}$   $\mathbf{M}$ . Eth has been assimilated to 2:2; 3:24. Φ7  $\mathbf{A}$   $\mathbf{M}$  :  $\mathbf{\lambda}$   $\mathbf{M}$   $\mathbf{A}$   $\mathbf{M}$   $\mathbf{A}$   $\mathbf{M}$   $\mathbf{A}$   $\mathbf{M}$  :  $\mathbf{M}$ :  $\mathbf{M}$  :  $\mathbf{M}$  :  $\mathbf{M}$  :  $\mathbf{M}$ :  $\mathbf{M}$  :  $\mathbf{M}$ :  $\mathbf{$ 

አን :]  $\mathfrak{S}$  καὶ ... ἐγώ =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**1.7.** 'iniquity';  $\mathfrak{G}$  τὰ διαβούλια =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ . Eth uses the same contextual rendering for τὰ διαβούλια in Hos. 7:2.

11:6 ወአብዛንክሙ :] ይጠ © do not have a copula.

11:7 **አዶናይ : λግዚአብሔር :**] Β *L*-311 κύριος; A and other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριος = Eth.

ዘተዋተለ :] based on A''-106' ἐφονεύσατε; all other MSS ἐπατάξατε, commonly amended to ἐτάξατε =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{A}$ .

11:8 **λዶናይ : λግዚአብሔር :**] Β κύριος; A and other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριος = Eth.

11:9 **ΘC**:] 'enemies';  $\mathfrak{G}$  ἀλλοτρίων =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ . Eth also uses **ΘC**: for ἀλλότριος in 30:12; 31:12, but has the expected equivalent h  $\mathfrak{C}$ : in 7:21; 28:7.

11:10 ០៤០៩៤ ៀ ៩៣ ៩៧ do not have a copula.

ውስተ ፡ አድባሪ ፡] 88-Syh<sup>mg</sup>-407 ἐπὶ τῶν ὁρίων =  $\mathfrak{M}$  ( $\mathfrak{S}$  حصل هم =  $\mathfrak{A}$ ); all other MSS ἐπὶ (A''-106' 538-46  $\mathfrak{C}$  καὶ ἐπὶ) τῶν ὀρέων =  $\mathfrak{E}$ th. **ወኢት ንንክ**ም :]  $\mathfrak{S}$  κρινῶ ὑμᾶς =  $\mathfrak{M}$   $\mathfrak{S}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}$ 1°-449 καὶ κρινῶ ὑμᾶς =  $\mathfrak{E}$ th.

11:11-12 B 46 534-86 do not have these verses; cf. A1 E5.58

11:11 ΦβλτΥ: ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  αὐτή =  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

በውስተ ፡ አድባረ ፡]  $Q^c$ -88-407 V ἐπὶ τῶν ὁρίων =  $\mathfrak{M}$  ( $\mathfrak{S}$  حصمه  $\mathfrak{L}_0 = \mathfrak{A}$ ); all other MSS ἐπὶ (233-403' καὶ ἐπὶ) τῶν ὀρέων = Eth.

11:13 **Φλምη** : ] <sup>(8)</sup> καὶ ἐγένετο = M; <sup>(6)</sup> τΔο = A; see on 10:6.

አዶናይ : እግዚአ : ] Β κύριε; A and other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριε = Eth.

ከመ ፡ ታጥፍአመት ፡] 538 26 239' 410 εἰ συντέλειαν; other MSS εἰς συντέλειαν = Eth, cf.  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> For λέγε as a hexaplaric addition, see Ziegler 1977, 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> See Ziegler 1977, 129. Contrast Zimmerli 1969, 200.

καταλοίποι as elsewhere (cf. e.g. 9:8; 17:21), $^{59}$  although here  $\mathfrak S$  and  $\mathfrak A$  have a noun.

11:15 የንልቁ :] 'are finished', cf.  $\mathfrak{S}$  בשביש,  $\mathfrak{A}$  בילה  $\mathfrak{R}$  'are finished', cf.  $\mathfrak{S}$  בשביש,  $\mathfrak{A}$  בילה  $\mathfrak{R}$  'are finished', cf.  $\mathfrak{S}$  השביש:  $\mathfrak{S}$  συντετέλεσται;  $\mathfrak{R}$  συντετέλεσται;  $\mathfrak{R}$  συντετέλεσται;  $\mathfrak{R}$  τη  $\mathfrak{R}$  ε  $\mathfrak{R}$  ( $\mathfrak{R}$  ε  $\mathfrak{R}$ ) 'the men of Jerusalem';  $\mathfrak{S}$  οί κατοικοῦντες Ιερουσαλημ =  $\mathfrak{R}$  ε  $\mathfrak{A}$ ; cf. 25:16; 26:17. Elsewhere κατοικεῖν is normally rendered by forms of της  $\mathfrak{R}$ , cf. e.g. 12:19; 39:9.

እስሙ :] = © ነጁ, cf. ચ; ଓ m have nothing corresponding to this word.

11:16 እበ :] אַמֹר אַ Both here and in v. 17 εἶπον was taken by Eth I as the first person singular of the indicative.

አዶናይ ፡ እግዚአብሔር ፡] Β κύριος; all other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριος = Eth.

11:17 **እቤ :**] see on v. 16.

**አዶናይ ፡ እግዚአብሔር ፡**] Β 538 233 κύριος; A and other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριος = Eth.

**አትሜጠይም** :] <sup>©</sup> Καὶ εἰσδέξομαι αὐτούς.

'10 : ΗΔΦ' 19 Φ · 1 ] & οδ διέσπειρα αὐτούς ἐν αὐταῖς.

11:18  $\hbar$   $\hbar$  :  $\Pi$ ?  $\star$  : ]  $\mathfrak{S}$  πάντα τὰ βδελύγματα αὐτῆς. For  $\Pi$ ?  $\star$  : as the rendering of τὰ βδελύγματα, see on 6:9.

11:19 **Φλυ-Γισ»:** (2°)] <sup>©</sup> καὶ ... δώσω ἐν αὐτοῖς, cf. <sup>©</sup> <sup>Q</sup>; 147 C'-534-393 410 544 καὶ ... δώσω αὐτοῖς.

**ΔΠ : λ-Π? :**] 🖰 τὴν καρδίαν τὴν λιθίνην = Eth; A and other MSS τήν καρδίαν αὐτῶν τὴν λιθίνην.

11:20 End] A and other MSS add λέγει κύριος.

11:21 ΦΑς: ΠΑΠ: ΜΡΕΦ: ΦΤΜ, ΚΕΦ: ΩΝΦ: ΔΝΦ:] 'But they walked according to the heart of their abominations and of their sins, in accordance with their heart'; ઉκαὶ εἰς τὴν καρδίαν (A other MSS καὶ κατὰ τὰς καρδίας) τῶν βδελυγμάτων αὐτῶν καὶ τῶν ἀνομιῶν αὐτῶν, ὡς ἡ καρδία αὐτῶν ἐπορεύετο. 60 The contrast with the promise of salvation (vv. 17–20) is awkward, and it is not clear to whom the verse refers, but in Eth it was apparently understood as a reference to the past sin of the exiles as the explanation for their punishment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Cf. also Knibb 1999, 30, 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> See Knibb 1999, 62.

**σετηνω: Κη: Ελήω: አηγλή: Λοω:** ] 5 τὰς ὁδοὺς αὐτῶν εἰς κεφαλὰς αὐτῶν δέδωκα.

አዶናይ ፡ እግዚአብሔር ፡ ] B V κύριος; all other MSS ἀδωναὶ κύριος = Eth.

11:22 **ምስሌሆ** [ ]  $\mathfrak{A}$  ,  $\mathfrak{S}$  .  $\mathfrak{S}$  εχόμενοι αὐτῶν, cf.  $\mathfrak{M}$ ; see on 1:15.

11:24 **10**: **19.00**: **1** 

በራእየ ፡ መንፈሰ ፡ እግዚአብሔር ፡ ]  $\mathfrak G$  ἐν ὁράσει ἐν πνεύματι θεοῦ =  $\mathfrak M$ , cf.  $\mathfrak S\mathfrak A$ .

11:25 **ΛλΛ : ተዔው. :**] 'to those who were held captive';  $\mathfrak{G}$  πρὸς τὴν αἰχμαλωσίαν =  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{A}$ ; see on v. 24.

End] A other MSS add κύριος.

## List of Ethiopic, Greek and Arabic Manuscripts mentioned in the Article

## Ethiopic manuscripts

Aksum Şəyon, Təgray, Ethiopia MS containing prophetic books of the Old Testament

Berlin, Germany

Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin Preussischer Kulturbesitz: Petermann II, Nachtrag 42

Cambridge, UK

Cambridge University Library: Add. 1570

Collegeville, MN, USA

Hill Museum & and Manuscript Library, Ethiopian Manuscript Microfilm Library (= EMML): 25; 26; 1768; 2080; 2082

Lake Tana, Ethiopia

Monastery of St Gabriel, Kebran: Ṭānāsee 9

London, UK

British Library: Add. 24, 991; Or. 501; Or. 502; Or. 504

Paris, France

Bibliothèque nationale de France: Abbadianus 35; Abbadianus 55

#### Greek manuscripts

London, UK British Library: Codex Alexandrinus

Vatican City

Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana: Codex Vaticanus

#### Arabic manuscript

Oxford, UK

Bodleian Library: MS. Arch Seld. A. 67

#### References

- Da Bassano, F. 1922/1923–1925/1926. ባለ-ይ : ኪ.ዳን ። ዘተሰናአመ ፡ ምስስ ፡ መጻሕፍት ፡ ቀደምት ፡
  ዘተበራና ፡ መምስስ ፡ መጻሕፍት ፡ ሶርያ ፡ መጽርእ ፡ መዓረብ ። (Bəluy kidan. Zätäsäna awä məslä
  mäṣahəft qäddämt zäbərana wäməslä mäṣahəftä sorya wäṣər wä aräb), I–IV, ed. F. da
  Bassano (Aśmära: Franciscan Printing Press, 1915–1918 EC = 1922/1923–1925/1926
  CE).
- Cooke, G. A. 1936. A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Book of Ezekiel, The International Critical Commentary (Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1936).
- Cornill, C. H. 1886. *Das Buch des Propheten Ezechiel*, ed. C. H. Cornill (Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs'sche Buchhandlung, 1886).
- Dillmann, C. F. A. 1865. Lexicon linguae aethiopicae, cum indice latino. Adiectum est vocabularium tigre dialecti septentrionalis compilatum a W. Munziger (Lipsiae: T. O. Weigel, 1865).
- Elliger, K. and W. Rudolph 1977. *Biblia Hebraica Stuttgartensia*, eds K. Elliger and W. Rudolph, 4th edn, 5th revised edn edited by A. Schenker 1997 (Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 1977).
- Knibb, M. A. 1988. 'Hebrew and Syriac Elements in the Ethiopic Version of Ezekiel?', *Journal of Semitic Studies*, 33 (1988), 11–35.
- 1999. Translating the Bible: the Ethiopic Version of the Old Testament, The Schweich Lectures of the British Academy, 1995 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999).
- 2005. 'Two Notes on the Ethiopic Text of Ezekiel', in G. Khan, ed., Semitic Studies in Honour of Edward Ullendorff, Studies in Semitic Languages and Linguistics, 47 (Leiden–Boston: Brill, 2005), 236–244.
- 2008. 'The Greek Vorlage of the Ethiopic Text of Ezekiel', in A. Voitila and J. Jokiranta, eds, Scripture in Transition: Essays on Septuagint, Hebrew Bible, and Dead Sea Scrolls in Honour of Raija Sollamo, Supplements to the Journal for the Study of Judaism, 126 (Leiden–Boston: Brill, 2008), 413–421.
- 2014. 'Reflections on an Edition of Ethiopic Ezekiel: Agenda for the Future', in A. Bausi, A. Gori, and G. Lusini, eds, *Linguistic, Oriental and Ethiopian Studies in Memory of Paolo Marrassini* (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag, 2014), 503–509.
- 2015. The Ethiopic Text of the Book of Ezekiel: a Critical Edition (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015).
- Lee, J. A. L. 1980. 'Equivocal and Stereotyped Renderings in the LXX', *Revue Biblique*, 87 (1980), 104–117.
- Löfgren, O. 1927. Die äthiopische Übersetzung des Propheten Daniel (Paris: Librairie orientaliste Paul Geuthner, 1927).
- Maḥbärä Ḥawaryat Fre Haymanot 1984/1985. መጽሐፌ : ትንቢተ ፡ ነቢያት ፡ (Mäṣḥafä tən-bitä näbiyat) (Aśmära: Kokäbä Ṣəbaḥ betä maḥtäm, 1977 EC = 1984/1985 CE).
- Mulder, M. J. 1985. The Old Testament in Syriac according to the Peshitta Version, III/3: Ezekiel (Leiden: Brill, 1985).
- Rahlfs, A. 1935. Septuaginta: id est Vetus Testamentum graece iuxta LXX interpretes, I-II, ed. A. Rahlfs (Stuttgart: Privilegierte Württembergische Bibelanstalt, 1935).

#### Textual Commentary on the Ethiopic Text of Ezekiel 1-11

Ziegler, J. 1977. Septuaginta. Vetus Testamentum Graecum Auctoritate Academiae Scientiarum Gottingensis editum, XVI/1: Ezechiel, 2nd edn (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1977).

Zimmerli, W. 1969. *Ezechiel 1, Ezechiel 1–24*, Biblischer Kommentar, Altes Testament, 13/1 (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1969).

#### **Summary**

This article provides a textual commentary on the Ga°sz text of Ezekiel 1–11 as edited by Michael Knibb in his recently published edition, *The Ethiopic Text of the Book of Ezekiel: a Critical Edition* (2015), and complements what is said in the introduction to the edition. It also serves to complement Knibb's Schweich Lectures, *Translating the Bible: the Ethiopic Version of the Old Testament* (1999). The textual notes are primarily concerned to provide a detailed comparison of the Ethiopic version with the underlying Greek text in the light also of the Hebrew text and of the Syriac and Syriac-based Arabic versions; to comment on the vocabulary used in the Ethiopic version of Ezekiel; and to discuss difficulties in the Ethiopic text. The notes demonstrate clearly the dependence of the Ethiopic text of Ezekiel on the Alexandrian text (the A-text), particularly the minuscule pair 106–410 and the minuscule 534, the close ally of 130, which has been regarded as the most closely related of the minuscules to the Ethiopic text of Ezekiel. They also provide evidence of the influence of the Syro-Arabic version on the text.